LB1H9111 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 In re Terrorist Attacks on 03 MD 1570 (GBD) (SN) September 11, 2001 4 Hearing -----x 5 New York, N.Y. November 1, 2021 10:10 a.m. 6 7 Before: 8 HON. SARAH NETBURN, 9 U.S. Magistrate Judge 10 **APPEARANCES** LANKLER SIFFERT & WOHL LLP 11 Attorneys for Nonparty Fawcett 12 BY: MICHAEL GERBER HELEN GREDD 13 GABRIELLE FRIEDMAN 14 KIRSCH & NIEHAUS PLLC Attorneys for Kreindler & Kreindler and all witnesses 15 BY: EMILY KIRSCH LISA FREY -and-16 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 17 BY: MEGAN WOLFE BENETT 18 EMERY CELLI BRINCKERHOFF ABADY WARD & MAAZEL LLP BY: HAL R. LIEBERMAN 19 20 KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD FIGEL & FREDERICK, PLLC Attorneys for Defendant Kingdom of Saudi Arabia 21 BY: MICHAEL KELLOG MARK C. HANSEN 22 GREGORY G. RAPAWY ANDREW C. SHEN 23 CHRISTOPHER YOUNG 24 25

(Pages 2 through 11 SEALED) 1 (In open court) 2 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Your Honor, this is in the matter 4 of In Re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001, case number 5 is 03MD1570. 6 Starting with counsel for Mr. Fawcett, would you 7 please state your appearance for the record. 8 MR. GERBER: Good morning, your Honor. Michael 9 Gerber, Helen Gredd, and Gabrielle Friedman, from Lankler 10 Siffert & Wohl on behalf of Mr. Fawcett. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel for Kreindler & Kreindler. 13 MS. KIRSCH: Emily Kirsch from Kirsch & Niehaus, for 14 Kreindler & Kreindler and the witnesses. With me is Ms. Frey. This is Ms. Benett, of course, from Kreindler & Kreindler, and 15 Hal Lieberman, who is cocounsel with me on this matter from the 16 17 firm of Emery Celli and also consulting on ethics issues. 18 THE COURT: OK. 19 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. 20 For the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. MR. KELLOGG: Good morning, your Honor. Michael 21 22 Kellogg, on behalf of Saudi Arabia. With me are Mark Hansen, 23 Gregory Rapawy, Andrew Shen, and Christopher Young. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25 Let me begin by acknowledging Mr. Lieberman's

LB1H9111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presence. I didn't realize he would be here. I was a partner at the law firm of Emery Celli Brinckerhoff Abady. I left the firm in 2010. Mr. Lieberman was not a partner of the firm at that time, although our firm did consult with him on ethics matters during the course of my time at that firm. I don't see any issue, but I did want to raise that since it was news to me.

> Anyone wish to be heard? Anyone have any questions? MR. GERBER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So good morning to everybody.

We're here for the hearing on the issue related to the breach of the protective order and are going to take testimony this morning. As I ordered previously, the declarations that were submitted in connection with this breach issue have already been admitted as the direct testimony, and so we will begin with cross-examination, and then we'll have an opportunity for redirect.

I think we also discussed that we were not going to have any opening arguments. I'll allow post-hearing briefing. We can discuss schedules for that when we conclude with our proceedings. So I think, unless there's any reason to delay, I will turn to the Kingdom and ask which witness they anticipate calling first.

MR. HANSEN: Good morning, your Honor. Mark Hansen for defendant, the Kingdom. We'd like to proceed with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

examination of James Kreindler.

THE COURT: OK. Can I ask Ms. Kirsch or Ms. Benett if you could bring Mr. Kreindler, just let him know.

MS. BENETT: I'll bring him in.

THE COURT: That would be great.

While Ms. Benett is getting the witness, we took the biggest courtroom we could find given all of the COVID-compliance issues. We don't have a HEPA filter for the witness here, so my understanding of the court's protocol under our COVID Response Team is that the witness needs to keep his or her mask on during the proceeding. So I think, Mr. Hansen, I think the protocol for the court -- I apologize. I wish it were otherwise, but I don't want to be in violation of a court order. So I think we need to all have our masks on, given that this room is a little bit smaller than what we would like and given that we don't have a HEPA filter here for the witness.

MR. HANSEN: Of course, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, with your permission, we've provided an exhibit binder to the witness on the witness stand and also to counsel.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. HANSEN: Those are the exhibits we may be using in today's examination.

THE COURT: Thank you.

LB1H9111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, just a quick housekeeping matter, if I may?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. KIRSCH: As your Honor knows, we had requested a copy of these exhibits at the same time that they were delivered to the Court on Friday, and counsel did not accommodate our request, and then they were delivered just as we were in the robing room a moment ago. So in the moment I had to flip through them, it appears that a lot of them are quite irrelevant and go way beyond the scope of this hearing. I guess I'll apologize in advance that I'll need a moment to look at each document as they try to introduce them, but I do expect we will have many objections to the relevance and scope of these documents.

THE COURT: My hope is that we can proceed with this hearing as smoothly as possible, with giving everybody every opportunity to be heard. Obviously, this is a bench hearing, and so objections as to relevance may also be better raised in post-trial briefings, but, certainly, you have every opportunity to be heard.

MS. KIRSCH: Thank you, your Honor.

The issue really is the incredible sweeping scope that this is, as we understand it from your October 4 order and subsequent orders, that we are investigating this breach to understand whether any of the other Kreindler attorneys, or

LB1H9111

```
anybody else, was involved with Mr. Fawcett's actions or had
1
 2
      knowledge. So a lot of these documents, which date back to
 3
      2002, 2003, we will have objections that it's not in any way
 4
      related to the issues of this hearing.
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
6
               Mr. Kreindler.
 7
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
               THE COURT: Come forward.
8
9
               Good morning, Mr. Kreindler.
10
               THE WITNESS: Good morning, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: I was explaining to everyone before you
12
      arrived that I'm afraid you'll have to keep your mask on during
13
      the proceeding.
14
               THE WITNESS: OK. OK.
15
               THE COURT: Ms. Slusher, would you swear in the
16
      witness.
17
      JAMES PAUL KREINDLER,
18
           called as a witness by the Defendant,
           having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
19
20
               THE WITNESS: James Paul Kreindler.
21
               THE COURT: Thank you.
22
               You may be seated.
23
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24
               THE COURT: Counsel, you may begin.
25
               MR. HANSEN: Thank you, your Honor.
```

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. HANSEN:
- 3 Q. Mr. Kreindler, good morning.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- Q. You agree that court orders are entitled to respect, don't
- 6 you?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. You agree that deliberate violations of court orders is a serious matter, don't you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So serious that it may result in criminal sanctions?
- 12 | A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. We're here today because of the deliberate violation of two court orders, are we not?
- MS. KIRSCH: Objection, your Honor. I'm not sure that
 there is a violation of the FBI order, so I object to the
 question.
 - THE COURT: I believe that the -- why don't we proceed with respect to the MDL protective order, which I think everybody admits has been breached.
 - MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, in fairness, there's no question that the MDL -- the MDL and the FBI had been breached because the FBI had 30 days after the receipt of the transcript to object, and until such time has passed, the entire transcript is protected by the FBI protective order. So I

believe it's --1

2 THE COURT: That's true. That's true. Proceed.

BY MR. HANSEN: 3

- So do you want my question back, Mr. Kreindler? Q.
- 5 A. Your question was, do I agree that two court orders were
- 6 breached?
- 7 Q. OK.

- A. The first court order was breached. I did not recall the 8
- 30-day window you referred to, but in that's the case, then, 9
- 10 yes, both were.
- Q. Wait a second, Mr. Kreindler, you're cochairman of the 11
- 12 plaintiffs' executive committee in this MDL case, aren't you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you are telling us even today, as we sit here going
- through serious violations of protective orders, you're not 15
- even familiar with the terms of the FBI protective order? 16
- 17 I'm very familiar with the terms.
- 18 Q. SO you're not familiar with the 30-day window for the FBI
- 19 to object?
- 20 A. I did not remember it now, whether it was 30 days or a
- 21 different period. My focus was on the breach of the first
- 22 court order.
- 23 Q. You're telling us you didn't even read the FBI protective
- 24 order before coming here to testify today?
- I read it when I signed it, but I did not read it recently. 25

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 8670-1 Filed 10/25/22 Page 9 of 219 19 LB1H9111 Kreindler - Cross Q. So, Mr. Kreindler, continuing on, your firm has admitted that these violations of two court orders were committed by Kreindler & Kreindler, correct? A. Yes. (Continued on next page)

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 BY MR. HANSEN:
- 2 Q. Your researcher, John Fawcett, leaked a confidential
- 3 | transcript to Michael Isikoff of Politico, according to
- 4 | Kreindler & Kreindler, correct?
- 5 A. I don't think Michael Isikoff was in Politico.
- Q. You're absolutely right. I apologize. Bad question. Let me rephrase that.
- 8 Your firm Kreindler & Kreindler has told the court
- 9 | that your researcher, John Fawcett, leaked a confidential
- 10 | transcript to Michael Isikoff of Yahoo?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Isn't it true that Mr. Fawcett did that because you wanted
- 13 | him to do that?
- 14 A. No, that is not true at all.
- 15 Q. So, let's get into some basics about you and your firm and
- 16 how we came to be here today, Mr. Kreindler.
- 17 You are the partner in charge of the 9/11 case at
- 18 | Kreindler & Kreindler?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And I believe we mentioned a minute ago you are the co-lead
- 21 of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in this case?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You have a team of Kreindler & Kreindler professionals
- 24 | working with you?
- 25 A. Yes.

LB189112 Kreindler - Cross

- 1 Q. That includes Mr. Fawcett?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. The team is about ten or so in size?
- 4 A. No. I could tell you the members of the team.
- 5 | Q. Sure. Please do.
- 6 A. So, Andrew Maloney, who we all call Duke, has been working
- 7 on the case for many years. Steve Pounian joined the team when
- 8 | JASTA was passed. And about the same time Megan Benett has
- 9 | also joined the team. And John has been working on 9/11 for
- 10 almost 20 years, John Fawcett.
- 11 Q. Was Ms. or Mr. Simpson also part of your team?
- 12 A. Recently Gavin Simpson, yes, in the last couple of months.
- 13 Q. Ms. or Mr. Pagan?
- 14 A. She is a paralegal that we asked to help out, but fairly
- 15 recently.
- 16 Q. Mr. or Ms. Sienski?
- 17 | A. Yes. Julia is client liaison.
- 18 | Q. And Mr. or Ms. Ranieri?
- 19 A. Lisa Ranieri has been a secretary to the firm for probably
- 20 | three decades, and is Steve Pounian's secretary.
- 21 || Q. So, the team members on the 9/11 case all worked for you,
- 22 | didn't they, Mr. Kreindler?
- 23 | A. Well, that's not how I'd put it. For example, Lisa is
- 24 | Steve's secretary, so when Steve needed secretarial work he
- 25 would ask his secretary to do it. I had very few occasions to

Kreindler - Cross

- ask Steve's secretary to do something. I might ask my secretary.
- 3 Q. Good qualification. Putting aside assistants, isn't it
- 4 | true that all of the professionals at Kreindler & Kreindler
- 5 working on the 9/11 case were under your supervision?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And you are responsible for supervising that team, aren't
- 8 you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it fair to say you set an example for your team?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Is it fair to say they watch what you say and do?
- 13 A. I hope so.
- 14 | Q. And they follow your lead?
- 15 A. I believe so.
- 16 Q. So, Mr. Kreindler, you believe there is a lot of money at
- 17 | stake in this case, don't you?
- 18 A. There is, undoubtedly.
- 19 Q. And your firm represents your clients on a contingency
- 20 | basis, isn't that true?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you have told the press in one of your many press
- 23 | statements that you believe this case is -- and I am quoting
- 24 here -- the biggest case ever. Do you recall saying that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you would like to have a settlement in this case, wouldn't you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Because a settlement would produce a substantial amount of money for your clients, but also for the lawyers working on the
- 6 | case, correct?
- 7 A. It would.
- Q. How much of any settlement would the legal team get in total, roughly, a third?
- 10 A. No. Our retainer fees are significantly less than a third.
- 11 | They are 15 percent retainer fees. Many of the cases, other
- 12 | local lawyers who brought the cases to us will receive a
- 13 portion of that 15 percent.
- Q. We agree, do we not, there could be a lot of money at stake for Kreindler & Kreindler.
- MS. KIRSCH: I would like to put an objection here.
- 17 We are a little bit away from the scope, but also any more
- 18 specific terms of retainer agreements should be subject to
- 19 | privilege. So I would just caution the witness not to give too
- 20 | much detail into those arrangements.
- 21 MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I am moving on.
- 22 | THE COURT: Very well.
- 23 BY MR. HANSEN:
- 24 | Q. Just to conclude on that topic, Mr. Kreindler, we can all
- 25 agree there could be a lot of money in this for Kreindler &

- Kreindler, right?
- A. For all of the lawyers, sure.
 - Q. So, Mr. Kreindler, you have been making public statements about this case for years to put settlement pressure on the defendants, haven't you?

MS. KIRSCH: I am going to object one more time. We can stipulate right here and now that Mr. Kreindler talks to the press. That's not related to whether there would be any motive or opportunity or anything of the kind to violate a court order.

So if we can just cut through a lot of this, we can stipulate Mr. Kreindler talks to the press about the case.

It's a matter of great public importance. He is the face of the case. That happens. That has nothing to do with why somebody would or would not violate the court order. It's not relevant.

MR. HANSEN: It has everything to do with the case.

As I believe we will demonstrate, Mr. Kreindler's press

strategy is exactly the reason why we have protective order

violations, and I expect to be able to demonstrate that. It's

cross-examination, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. I think that this goes to motive as well as modus operandi.

MR. HANSEN: I am not sure there was an answer to my last question. Can the reporter let me know if there was an

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 answer and read it back, please.

(Record read)

- A. I would say to put pressure on the defendant Saudi Arabia, yes. The form of a resolution may or may not be what we traditionally think of as a settlement.
 - Q. Mr. Kreindler, I am not going to go into this in detail.

Mr. Kreindler, you speak on the record for attribution to reporters, correct?

- A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. You also speak to reporters about this case off the record,
 11 correct?
- A. I don't think so. I don't recall a time when I have ever said to a reporter, I am speaking to you off the record.
- 14 Whether I am quoted by that reporter is up to the reporter.
- Q. So, you're telling us here today under oath that you never, in the past 20 years, have spoken to any reporter off the
- 17 record about the 9/11 case?
- A. I don't recall ever doing so, and that's not the way I
 would deal with the press. I tend to say things that are
 public, and I'm glad to have them public.
- Q. Mr. Kreindler, obviously, you make these statements about the 9/11 case to the press because you think they are helpful to the case or you wouldn't make them, correct?
- 24 A. Of course.
- 25 | Q. Now, let's talk about the lines you know you're not

Kreindler - Cross

1 supposed to cross in litigating your case in the press.

You are bound by two separate court orders not to reveal or disclose the contents of protected material, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. The first is the MDL protective order issued by Judge Casey
- 6 in 2006?

2

3

4

- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. I would like to put up Exhibit 2 on the screen so we can get oriented.
- 10 It's in your binder at Exhibit 2, Mr. Kreindler.
- 11 A. Is it the same thing that's on the screen?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. I will look at it right there.
- 14 | Q. You are familiar with that order?
- 15 | A. I am, yes.
- 16 Q. You read it?
- 17 A. I certainly read it when I signed it many, many years ago.
- 18 Q. Under this order, you cannot disclose or talk to the press
- 19 | about anything that's been designated confidential, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Let's go to the second protective order in the case.
- There was a second protective order entered by the
- 23 | Honorable Sarah Netburn in 2018 relating to the FBI production
- 24 of materials, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Kreindler - Cross

1 Q. I will put that up on the screen as Exhibit 15.

You're familiar with that order, correct?

A. Yes.

2

3

- 4 Q. That was an order requested by our United States Federal
- 5 | Bureau of Investigation to cover information that the FBI has
- 6 decided cannot be disclosed because disclosure would harm the
- 7 U.S. public interest, correct?
- 8 A. I think it was requested by the Department of Justice, not
- 9 the FBI directly.
- 10 | Q. Thank you for that clarification.
- The Department of Justice has made that representation
- 12 | to the court, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And this order means that you cannot disclose or talk to
- 15 the press about anything that's been designated confidential
- 16 under the terms of this protective order, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And just to be clear, and I know we had a little bit of
- 19 confusion about this earlier, all 600-plus pages of the
- 20 | al-Jarrah deposition transcript was confidential both under the
- 21 | MDL protective order and the FBI protective order, correct?
- 22 | A. I don't recall the number of pages in the deposition, and I
- 23 don't recall if there was any small portion that wasn't
- 24 confidential, but certainly all of the substance was marked
- 25

that way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

Kreindler - Cross

MR. HANSEN: I am having a hard time hearing. Can we read back the answer.

(Record read)

- Q. Mr. Kreindler, I am a little curious. This is a very serious matter, the proceeding we are on here today, and you are telling us you don't know sitting here today whether the al-Jarrah deposition transcript was confidential under both orders?
- 9 A. I said I knew all of the substance was confidential, but I
 10 didn't remember at the time whether there was something
 11 innocuous that wasn't confidential.
- 12 Q. If it was designated confidential, who decides whether it's
 13 innocuous so that it wouldn't be covered by the order?
 - A. There is a misunderstanding. I knew that the deposition was certainly by and large confidential. There might have been a page or two that wasn't confidential. That's all I'm saying.

 I knew -- the deposition was confidential. Whether there was
- some innocuous page or two of background that wasn't, I didn't recall. But I checked on that.
- 20 Q. Did you check?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So you know it's all confidential, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. So part of your press strategy, Mr. Kreindler, is to tell reporters that these two court orders that you told us a few

Kreindler - Cross

minutes ago were entitled respect, are -- and I am quoting your
words here -- "gag orders," in quotes, and "disgusting," in

- 3 quotes, isn't that right?
- 4 A. Yes.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. It's part of your stump speech, isn't it?
- A. I would not call it a stump speech. I would call it my heartfelt belief.
 - Q. Let's get some of the statements. Then I am going to ask you what you think your team learns from your statements.

You have called the courts' orders "these disgusting protective orders imposed upon us by the Department of Justice with the blessing of the court," correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You have talked about this "hated protective order" and "these protective orders that we hate," correct?
 - A. I remember the first quote. I don't remember that quote, but I would agree with that.
 - Q. You have said "you are angered and disgusted with the protective orders that the FBI and Saudi Arabia have insisted on," correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And just recently, in a podcast of Mr. Isikoff, you called the protective orders "a damn gag order imposed on us by the Saudis, our Department of Justice, and the court," correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Would it be fair to say, Mr. Kreindler, that every member of your team is aware of the contempt you have for these court orders?
- MS. KIRSCH: I am going to object, your Honor, to that, and ask Mr. Hansen to rephrase the question. Obviously, contempt has a legal implication. It's an improper question.

THE COURT: You may rephrase the question.

MR. HANSEN: Sure.

- Q. Mr. Kreindler, would it be fair to say your statements reflect contempt for the courts' orders?
 - MS. KIRSCH: Same objection.
- THE COURT: Counsel, can you ask the question without using the word "contempt."

MR. HANSEN: Sure.

- Q. Mr. Kreindler, would you agree with me that these orders communicate to your team and everybody else that you lack respect for these court orders?
- A. It's not a -- I would not say I lack respect. I would say that every lawyer representing the victims dislikes the fact that we are under these orders and looks forward to these orders being lifted, or largely lifted. But I would not use the word lack of respect. It is the court orders that we have to live with until they are changed.
- Q. What kind of message do you think is sent to your team to have the leader of the team call two court orders disgusting

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gag orders?

- The message is very clear. We all hate the orders, but we 2 have to live with them until they are lifted soon, and 3 hopefully soon is very soon. 4
 - Q. Let's talk about that.

In truth, Mr. Kreindler, you use these court orders as a tactical weapon in your press strategy, don't you?

- I would not say I use it as a tactical weapon. I am offering my view of the orders that we wish we didn't have.
- Q. Let me make my question a little clearer.

Your modus operandi, if you will, is to hint to the press that there is this great evidence that you have but can't fully reveal, correct?

- A. Not hint. I say it outright. There is important evidence of Saudi government involvement with al Qaeda that I am not permitted to share with our clients, the family members, the press or the public.
- Q. And you hint at what that evidence is and no one can correct you because the evidence is all sealed, correct?
- Incorrect. I don't hint at it at all. I am always clear in saying there is, I believe, very important, very dramatic evidence of what Saudi government officials did, but until these orders are lifted, I can't tell the families what that is, the press or the public.
- Let's see what you have actually told the press and the

Kreindler - Cross

1 public and whether you have done what you have said.

Going back a minute, you say you want to have these orders lifted, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. The MDL order has been in place since 2006, correct?
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. The FBI protective order has been in place since 2018,
- 8 correct?

2

3

4

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And you have made numerous statements saying you were going
- 11 | to get these orders lifted so you can get all this evidence to
- 12 | the world, correct?
- 13 A. Yes. Exactly what Joe Biden did a month ago.
- 14 | Q. In fact, Mr. Kreindler, let me be very clear about this,
- 15 you have never, not once, filed one single motion with this
- 16 court to lift either the MDL order or the FBI order, isn't that
- 17 | true?
- 18 A. Yes, that's absolutely true.
- 19 Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Kreindler, that you sought the entry of
- 20 | the FBI protective order?
- 21 \parallel A. We sought the entry of it?
- 22 | Q. Let's put up Exhibit 14. Didn't your firm tell the court
- 23 | that you supported the entry of the FBI protective order?
- Take as long as you want to look at it.
- 25 A. I only see the caption here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

If you want to look at the whole document, it's Exhibit 14. 1 Q.

We can go to the last page which cuts to the chase.

"For all of the foregoing reasons, the PECs respectfully submit that the Court should overrule Dallah Avco's objection to the proposed protective order, and promptly enter the protective order in the form submitted jointly by the United States and PECs, so that the FBI production can proceed without delay."

Here is my question.

Are you aware, Mr. Kreindler, that your partner Mr. Maloney signed this letter to the court requesting that the court enter this hated, disgusting protective order?

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I have an objection here. This goes to the legal strategy of how this case is being litigated, not even only by Mr. Hansen but by other partners at his firm, and also the other PECs. The steps that are taken, the motions that are taken, all of that is the subject of work order and strategy, and delving into why decisions were made is entirely improper in this hearing.

THE COURT: Your objection is overruled.

Can I answer the question? Α.

THE COURT: Yes, please.

- The operative phrase is "so that the FBI production can --" Α.
- Can you please answer my question? You can explain when 24 25 your counsel examines you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Kreindler - Cross

Didn't you ask the court to enter this protective order, yes or no?

MS. KIRSCH: I am going to make the same objection here, your Honor.

THE COURT: Your objection remains overruled.

- Q. Yes or no, Mr. Kreindler?
- A. Yes. That's exactly what the document says.
- Q. OK, Mr. Kreindler.

You'd rather make inflammatory and misleading statements to the press about protected information, when your statements can't be proved false, isn't that right?

- A. Absolutely wrong. I have never made incorrect or inflammatory statements. I have done my best to say truthfully what I am permitted to say, my honest opinion, and not reveal anything I am not permitted to reveal until such time as I am permitted to reveal it.
- Q. We know, do we not, Mr. Kreindler, that you violated the protective order in 2017 doing exactly the opposite, right?
- A. That I personally did? I personally did not. There was a violation found two and a half years ago by the court.
- Q. You didn't read the court's October 21 order that says, in these very words, James Kreindler violated the protective order in 2017? You didn't bother to read the court's order?
- 24 A. Which order?
- 25 Q. A recent order in this case, October 21, just a few days

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ago, in a court order issued by this court, the court said, James Kreindler violated the protective order.

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, the record stands on its own. I don't think this is an appropriate line of questioning. 2017 transcript says what it says. Your Honor's October 21st order says what it says.

THE COURT: I agree. Let's move on.

Q. The court warned you, Mr. Kreindler, in 2017 that it was really -- I am quoting here -- "really unfair to the parties, who will be turning over a lot of confidential and personal information, to have those documents portrayed in the light that has a particular viewpoint."

That's the transcript of the 2017 hearing at page 9. Were you in court and heard that warning?

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, again, first of all, it says what it says, and it's a transcript of this court's proceeding. It's not appropriate and that objection was just sustained.

Second of all, if Mr. Hansen would like to read something into the record, we would respectfully request that he point us to the document and show it to Mr. Kreindler.

THE COURT: I am going to allow Mr. Hansen to ask some questions related to prior instances where the court has found that there have been breaches of the protective order. I don't want this entire hearing to be about the events of the past.

To the extent, Mr. Hansen, you have a copy of the

3

4

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HANSEN: I do. It's Exhibit 10. We will put it up on the screen.

- BY MR. HANSEN:
- Q. Do you recall being present and hearing that warning from the court, Mr. Kreindler?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. That's what you were doing in 2017 when you and Mr.
- 9 Fawcett, in a tag-team fashion, violated the court's protective order?
- 11 A. No, that's incorrect.
- Q. Let's see what happened in 2017 and whether it bears a remarkable similarity to what happened this past summer.
 - In early 2017, you gave an interview to a journalist named Caleb Hannan of Politico Magazine, correct?
 - MS. KIRSCH: I object again, your Honor. That was in 2017. The scope of this hearing is whether there was any involvement in this breach, that Mr. Fawcett leaked the transcript in connection with a July 15th article. What happened in 2017 was obviously fully litigated. We obviously have a decision as to what happened, and quite frankly, I think this is an improper line.
 - THE COURT: I am going to allow this line of questioning. I do think it lays a reasonable foundation for the issues that are presented here.

3

4

5

6

7

I will ask, Mr. Hansen, to move with some alacrity.

MR. HANSEN: I am trying, your Honor, but I believe these long talking objections are going to take a lot of our

time up. I will try and move quickly to make the point.

BY MR. HANSEN:

- Q. Mr. Hannan in 2017, in his article, described in detail the confidential document that had been produced by a party in this
- 8 | litigation subject to confidentiality protection, correct?
- 9 A. No, incorrect.
- 10 Q. Let's take a look at it. In the article, at Exhibit 11,
- 11 | the top of page 8 -- by the way, this is an article you
- 12 | reported in repeatedly?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Mr. Hannan describes -- first he talks about how you looked
- 15 | at thousands and thousands of pages of these confidential
- 16 documents from the Bayoumi organization. And he writes,
- 17 | "There, at the top of the single page, it found a note from
- 18 | Khaleid Sowailem written on official letterhead from the
- 19 | ministry. On that note was Sowailem's phone number at the
- 20 | Saudi Embassy in Washington, D.C."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You provided that information to Mr. Hannan, didn't you?
- 24 | A. I did not, no.
- 25 | Q. You did it by directing John Fawcett to provide that

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | information to Mr. Hannan, didn't you?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. The only people from Kreindler & Kreindler who talked to
- 4 | Caleb Hannan were you and John Fawcett, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. So, if he got that information, he had to have gotten it
- 7 | either from you or John Fawcett, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. Now, after the court found a violation of the protective
- 10 order in 2017, you didn't fire John Fawcett, did you?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. You didn't discipline him in any way, correct?
- 13 A. I spoke to him about what happened.
- 14 | Q. Did you discipline him in any way?
- 15 | A. Discipline him? I really don't know what you mean. We did
- 16 | not fire him, and I certainly didn't spank him. We talked
- 17 | about it and how to make sure that that sort of thing doesn't
- 18 happen again.
- 19 Q. You consider that discipline?
- 20 | A. It's your word. That's not a word I would pick.
- 21 | Q. You didn't cut off Mr. Fawcett's employee log-in access to
- 22 | every single confidential document in the 9/11 case, which he
- 23 | had at that point, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. By the way, up until September 27th of this year, John

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

Fawcett had employee log-in privileges that gave him full and unfettered access to every single 9/11 case document, correct? Yes. He is at the heart of the documents. In fact, not only that, he continued up until September of Q. this year to be the Kreindler & Kreindler professional responsible for managing the entire document collection of confidential documents at Kreindler & Kreindler, correct? MS. KIRSCH: I am going to object. It's a leading question, obviously, but this is attorney work product. I don't think this is a proper line of questioning either. It has nothing to do with what happened, that we know what happened with Mr. Fawcett, and how Kreindler & Kreindler manages its internal work is not relevant here. THE COURT: I don't think the responsibilities of Mr. Fawcett fall within the parameters of work product. And, certainly, the role that Mr. Fawcett played at the firm, his responsibilities, his access to information, is at the core of this hearing. So I am going to allow this line of questioning. MS. KIRSCH: Just to be clear, we stipulate that he had access to all of the confidential information. That's not a matter that's in dispute. THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. HANSEN: I am sorry. Did you have a chance to answer the question, Mr. Kreindler?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- I think your question was, did John have access to all the information? 2
 - Q. I am sorry. Before the objection I had a different question. You agreed he had access to all information.

My next question was, up until and possibly beyond September 27, 2021, John Fawcett was the Kreindler & Kreindler professional responsible for managing the entire 9/11 case document collection, wasn't he?

Α. Yes. 9

to him.

- Even while you were doing an investigation of him for potentially leaking the transcript, right?
- No, that's not right, not on September 17. 12
- 13 Q. We will get into the investigation in a minute.

So you never investigated John Fawcett at any time between July 15 and September 27, more than two months later? Investigate him? No, I did not investigate him.

Q. OK. We will get to that in a minute.

After the 2017 protective order violation, you didn't even direct Mr. Fawcett to stop talking to the press, did you?

- And he did talk to the press as part of his Kreindler & Kreindler duties, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.

Α.

No.

And he billed for his time doing that, correct? 25 Q.

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 A. I don't know. That I don't know.
- 2 | Q. Well, he put in billing records, like your associates do,
- 3 | for the time he spent working on the case, and got paid for it,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I think so, yeah.
- Q. Do you think he also included all his press duties as part of his billable time?
- 8 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. Calls for speculation. He 9 just said he didn't look at the time records.
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 | Q. In fact, you knew that he was, among other things,
- 13 entertaining reporters at the Kreindler & Kreindler offices,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. He was not entertaining reporters. He would speak to
- 16 reporters on occasion when I asked him to.
- 17 | Q. And he did that at the Kreindler offices?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And he provided reporters with documents, correct?
- 20 A. Over 20 years, he made sure that if he provided a document
- 21 to a reporter, it was not under either of the orders we have
- 22 | been talking about. In other words, if a reporter wanted
- 23 | information, he would go through material and provide only that
- 24 which he is permitted to provide.
- 25 | Q. Well, we know that's not true because, according to you, he

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- provided a sealed transcript to reporters. Would you like to 1 2 retract your prior answer?
 - A. I thought you were talking about up until this past September.
 - Q. Let me clarify.

You have agreed that he does, as part of this Kreindler & Kreindler duties, talk to reporters and provide documents to reporters? And exactly what the documents are we will get into in a minute. Correct?

- A. Not correct fully. He provides material when I or one of my partners would ask him to provide material that is public.
 - So, for example, in June of this year, he invited NPR reporter Laura Sullivan to come to the Kreindler offices so he could brief her in advance of you giving quotes to the reporter for a story, correct?
- A. No, you have the chronology wrong.
- MR. HANSEN: I am going to put up Exhibit 12, your Honor, which I believe has been produced in this matter.
- 19 Do you know who Laura Sullivan is? Q.
 - I do, yes. Α.
- Who is she? 21 Q.
- 22 A. Laura Sullivan is an NPR reporter who I first met in
- 23 Washington, D.C. and gave her a pretty lengthy interview.
- 24 Were you aware that in June of this year, Mr. Fawcett was 25 inviting Ms. Sullivan to come to the Kreindler offices so that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

he could have discussions with her?

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, could I have a moment to read the document, please? I didn't get this previously.

THE COURT: Sure. Let me know when you're ready.

- A. May I answer now?
- Q. Yes.
- A. John did not invite her. I told her that, as a follow-up to my talk with her, she should contact John to see the documents that we are permitted to show her and members of the

press. And then you have this e-mail about the time she would

- be at the office. But John is not doing this of his own
- 12 volition, his own initiative.
- Q. This is in June of 2021. Are you aware that Mr. Fawcett was doing this, as indicated in this chat, in June of this
- 15 year?
- 16 A. Yeah. I put the two of them in contact so she could follow
- 17 up and see public documents after the interview I did with her.
 - Q. Mr. Fawcett did it again in September of this year, less than two months ago, after the leak of the confidential
- 20 transcript, correct?
- I am directing you still to Exhibit 12.
- MS. KIRSCH: I don't know what is meant by "did it again."
- MR. HANSEN: I will rephrase the question.
- 25 Q. Were you aware -- and directing your attention to Exhibit

LB189112 Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | 12 -- that Mr. Fawcett had further discussions with
- 2 Ms. Sullivan about the 9/11 case? And I am directing you to
- 3 | the September entries on page 4.
- 4 A. Is that what I have in front of me now?
- 5 Q. We will put it up on the screen.
- 6 A. OK. I was aware that Laura Sullivan was coming back to see
- 7 more documents that we were permitted to show, yes.
 - Q. So he was doing this at your direction?
- 9 A. Yeah.

- 10 | Q. If you look down toward the bottom of the page, there is a
- 11 reference to, "Megan says no problem for those two documents"?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. So, would it be fair to say that Mr. Fawcett followed the
- 14 | practice of checking with a Kreindler & Kreindler partner
- 15 before he released documents to a reporter?
- 16 A. He certainly did here. Over 20 years, I can't say that
- 17 | that was the procedure for every time John would show public
- documents to a reporter, but he certainly did here. And what I
- 19 am trying to get at is, particularly after the 2017 violation
- 20 | that the court found, we always went to great pains to make
- 21 doubly sure that every document we are showing one of the
- 22 | family members or a reporter was the public version and not the
- 23 prohibited version. So that's what happened here.
- 24 | Q. Just to be clear, you just made a reference to the 2017
- 25 | violation. You were aware, were you not, that the court gave

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

you a warning in 2017 and said -- we will put it up, Exhibit

10, page 9. The court wrote, after not imposing a sanction,

the court wrote, "Going forward, Mr. Kreindler, to the extent

you are going to be continuing to speak to the press, or any

other lawyer in this case, I expect the parties to hew much

more closely to the confidentiality order and to be exceedingly

You were present in court and heard that warning, didn't you?

discreet in the information they reveal."

- A. Yes.
- 12 Q. But you kept right on talking to the press about the case after that, didn't you?
- 13 A. Of course.
- 14 | Q. When you did speak, you were not discreet at all, were you?
- 15 A. I disagree with that.
- Q. Let's look at some of the speeches you made in public after you got that warning.

Were you exceedingly discreet in your public statements at Dartmouth College in 2019?

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I object to this line of questioning. We know that Mr. Kreindler speaks to the press. We have stipulated to that. If there was something that was leaked, it has been brought to this Court, it has been discussed. If there was something inappropriate, it has been brought to the Court's attention. It's not relevant to this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

breach. And this is not an opportunity to relitigate matters that have been annoying to the Kingdom in the past.

THE COURT: I would object then to the concept of it being annoying to the Kingdom. It's a violation of the court orders. It is a violation of the court orders when these protective orders are breached. It's not the Kingdom that is annoyed; it's the court whose orders are being violated. So, first, I would not consider this to be something about what is annoying the Kingdom.

With respect to the historical facts, I have limited discovery on these issues. I do think that we are making a foundation here. I am going to allow Mr. Hansen to continue this line of questioning, as I have already indicated. I am watching the time. I am hoping that we are going to get to the heart of the matter shortly, but I do think this line of questioning is appropriate and lays a reasonable foundation for the heart of the issues.

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, just to clarify, I agree a hundred percent that violation of the court order is a very serious matter. I was referring to Mr. Kreindler's Dartmouth speech, which was not a violation of the court order, and that's why I said what I said. I was not trying to minimize that we are here on this breach of this court order, and it is a very serious matter.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. HANSEN:

- Q. Mr. Kreindler, my question before your counsel's objection was about whether you believed you were exceedingly discreet in your public statements at Dartmouth College. Do you believe you were?
- A. I believe I was exceedingly appropriate.
 - Q. No, no. The question was exceedingly discreet. Will you answer my question? It's a yes or no question.
 - A. If we understand discreet the same way, yes.
 - Q. Let's play some of your statements to Dartmouth College on the screen, and we will talk about whether you have violated the protective order there and whether these were discreet comments.

(Audio played)

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I have another objection. No exhibits were provided to us prior to this hearing. It is entirely inappropriate to launch into a multimedia presentation when I have no idea what is about to go up on the screen, number one.

Number two, I renew my objection that anything that happened in the Dartmouth speech has already been litigated in this court and the findings are what they are, and this is not an opportunity to go over old ground. That's already been done. It's not relevant to this hearing.

THE COURT: To facilitate the proceeding, I note your

objection to matters of conduct that happened before the breach this summer. I am overruling those objections for the reasons that I have stated, but I will accept your general objection to this line of questioning.

I don't know if we have other video that we are going to play, if you can give counsel a heads-up. Obviously, this is Mr. Kreindler's speech, and this issue I think is reasonably within the bounds of the subject matter.

Mr. Hansen, I don't know how much longer until you want to start talking about the breach this summer. It's now 11:30. I am hoping we can move to that in the next five to ten minutes.

MR. HANSEN: Just a couple of questions about this, and then I will move exactly to that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HANSEN: I think it's important, your Honor, and I do want to just get Mr. Kreindler's testimony on this important point.

- 19 BY MR. HANSEN:
 - Q. What we just played, Mr. Kreindler, was you purporting to summarize the contents of a document that had been provided by the FBI under confidentiality, correct?
 - A. Wrong. Absolutely wrong.
- 24 | Q. That's what our FBI told the court, didn't they?
- 25 A. No. You are wrong.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Our government came to this court and said, in a filing, 1 that you were improperly and misleadingly describing the 2 contents of the confidential FBI document, isn't that true? 3
 - It is not true because what I was talking about is the public 2012 review.
 - Q. Wait. I am asking you a question about what the FBI represented to this court, not what you think it is.

MS. KIRSCH: And if that was the question, your Honor, I have an objection. Mr. Hansen is an experienced trial lawyer. I am sure he knows very well how to present the document to the witness and ask the witness to take a look and then identify it, whether he recognizes it, and then we can talk about what the FBI did or didn't say.

MR. HANSEN: We will take the time.

I just asked the question, did Mr. Kreindler know one way or the other whether the FBI, or the Department of Justice on behalf of the FBI, came to this court and said that you, James Kreindler, in your Dartmouth College speech, had misleadingly and improperly purported to describe the contents of a protected document? Do you know?

MS. KIRSCH: We can look at the document, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kreindler, do you recall that submission?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

THE COURT: Let's move on.

LB189112

Kreindler - Cross

1 BY MR. HANSEN:

4

7

8

9

- Q. We can all agree that whatever you did at Dartmouth you did after you got the first warning from the court, correct?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Let's get to the heart of the matter, as the court informed us.

Three months ago you went on Michael Isikoff's podcast to talk about the sealed al-Jarrah deposition, correct?

- A. That was not the only topic, no.
- 10 | Q. It was one of the topics, wasn't it?
- 11 A. It's a topic I said I wish I could talk about, but I can't.
- 12 | Q. Let's see in a minute whether you talked about it.
- Certainly you know Mr. Isikoff, don't you?
- 14 A. I know who he is, and I have spoken to him over the years.
- 15 Q. For how long have you known him?
- A. A long time. I can't -- for 20 years, there is maybe a
 dozen reporters who have followed the 9/11 Saudi involvement
 story, and he is one of them. But I can't remember the first
- 19 | time I ever spoke to him.
- 20 | Q. How often do you speak to Mr. Isikoff?
- 21 \parallel A. It isn't on a regular basis. There tends to be a lot more
- 22 media interest as we approach the anniversaries, or something
- 23 | happens, like Joe Biden's Executive Order. So I might talk to
- 24 | him frequently at one point in time and then not again for
- 25 years.

In the sworn declaration you filed with the court in

- 1
- 2 September, you said you recalled two telephone conversations
- 3 | within the relevant period, correct?
- 4 | A. Yes, I do.
- Q. In fact, now that we have the record, we see there are
- 6 actually three conversations, correct?
- 7 A. I just remember two.
- 8 | Q. Submitting sworn testimony to the court is a pretty serious
- 9 matter, isn't it?
- 10 A. Of course.
- 11 | Q. Did you check your cell phone, office phone, or other phone
- 12 records to see how many calls you had with Mr. Isikoff?
- 13 A. I have no such phone records. I remember two phone calls
- 14 | with Mike Isikoff.
- 15 | Q. Wait a second. You say there are no phone records you can
- 16 check to see your calls with Mr. Isikoff?
- 17 | A. There might be, but I would not know how to check phone
- 18 records. I wasn't in the office at all because of COVID. On
- 19 | my cell phone I can scroll through texts, but I don't know how
- 20 | to look at my cell phone and find all calls.
- 21 | Q. Whether you can do it or not, you have a very capable
- 22 staff. My question is, Mr. Kreindler, is, before submitting
- 23 sworn testimony to the court about a subject that's objectively
- 24 determinable, such as how many phone calls you had with the key
- 25 reporter in this matter, are you telling us you didn't even

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- bother to check or have someone check for you what the record 1 showed about your calls? 2
- A. What I am telling you is I distinctly remember two phone 3 calls with Mike Isikoff. 4
 - I know you said that. Did you check the records or have someone check the records?
 - There are two different questions.
- Q. My question is, did you check the records or have someone 8 check the records? That's the question. 9
 - Someone may have checked the records. I did not check the records.
 - Q. If someone had checked the records, you couldn't have put in a truthful statement that there were only two, could you?
 - A. As far as I know, there were only two phone calls. You're alluding to a third phone call that I'm not aware of or don't remember.
 - Q. OK.

THE COURT: Mr. Kreindler, could I ask, when you submitted in your declaration, I recall having two phone calls with Mr. Isikoff, did you submit this declaration and did you prepare this declaration based solely on your recollection or did you look at any documents to refresh your recollection?

THE WITNESS: Solely on my recollection. That's why I said I recall two phone calls with Mike Isikoff, I am pretty sure on a Monday and a Wednesday.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. HANSEN: In the interest of time, I will ask 2 counsel for Kreindler & Kreindler to stipulate there were 3 actually three calls, according to the records they produced. 4 But if we don't have the stipulation, I will show Mr. Kreindler 5 the records. 6 7 MS. KIRSCH: If you direct me to the document, I will 8 take a look. 9 MR. HANSEN: Sure. Exhibit 122. 10 MS. KIRSCH: This would have been much easier to do in advance of the hearing, which is why we asked to see the 11 12 documents. 13 MR. HANSEN: There are three entries. It shouldn't 14 take that long to look. 15 Maybe it will go quicker if I put the exhibit up. Why don't we put up Exhibit 142, please. 16 17 BY MR. HANSEN: Q. Mr. Isikoff's phone number is 258-2535, area code 202, 18 correct? 19 20 I don't remember, but if you say so, sure. 21 Q. And you have a phone number 914 and starting with a 589 22 prefix? 23 MS. KIRSCH: I am sorry. Did we continue? I was 24 still looking at the phone records.

THE COURT: He was pulling them up for you. Would you

THE COURT: Thank you.

22

23

24

25

MR. HANSEN: Could we have a stipulation there were three calls?

THE COURT: Yes. She agrees there are three calls.

LB189112

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 BY MR. HANSEN:
- 2 Q. So, Mr. Kreindler, you also had text messages with
- 3 Mr. Isikoff, correct?
- 4 A. A couple, yes.
- 5 Q. Did you check those before submitting your sworn testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. So why didn't you tell us about those?
- 8 A. I thought you were asking me about phone calls.
- 9 Q. Well, I am sorry if I was unclear. Your declaration talks
- 10 about recalling two phone calls. In fact, we now know there
- 11 | are three. Your declaration doesn't say anything about text
- 12 | messages. Were there text messages in addition to phone calls
- 13 | that you did not put in your sworn declaration?
- 14 A. My declaration was about my talking to Mike Isikoff. I
- 15 | later found some, practically nothing text messages, which I
- 16 guess you have.
- 17 | Q. That's just not my question, Mr. Kreindler. I will try one
- 18 | more time.
- 19 | A. Sure.
- 20 | Q. When filing a sworn declaration with your court about your
- 21 contacts with Mr. Isikoff on September 27, were you aware that
- 22 | in addition to phone calls, you also had text messages with
- 23 | him?
- 24 A. Sorry. You know, I don't remember when we found the text
- 25 messages. We had a procedure where we had to turn over all of

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- our phones, but I don't remember whether -- I think that was after the declaration. 2
- Q. Did you do a search of your text messages before September 3
- 27 so you could provide truthful testimony to the court? 4
- 5 A. First of all, my testimony is truthful. I stated what I recall, and I recalled those two phone calls with Mike Isikoff. 6
 - Q. Not my question. Please listen carefully.

Before submitting your sworn declaration, which dealt with the subject of communications with Mr. Isikoff, did you conduct a search or have anyone working for you conduct a search of your text messages, yes or no?

- I don't think I did.
- 13 So, in addition to telephone calls and text messages with 0. 14 Mr. Isikoff, you also e-mailed Mr. Isikoff in July 2021,
- correct? 15
- 16 I don't remember.
 - MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, once again, would you like to show Mr. Kreindler a document? This is not a memory test.
 - THE COURT: Is there a particular e-mail you would like to ask Mr. Kreindler about or are you just asking whether he recalls having e-mail communication during the relevant period?
 - MR. HANSEN: The latter, your honor. I am just establishing the fact that he knew.
- 25 THE COURT: Do you have a recollection of having sent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

e-mails to Mr. Isikoff during the relevant period?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall, and I am happy to look at it, and if there is one, I can say something about it. As I sit here now, I don't recall e-mails with Mike Isikoff. I recalled the phone conversations.

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q. Mr. Kreindler, before submitting your sworn testimony about your communications with Mr. Isikoff on September 27, did you, yourself, or anybody working under your direction undertake a search of your e-mails with Mr. Isikoff?

MS. KIRSCH: I am going to object to that question as The declaration says what it says. He hasn't established that there is anything untruthful, and characterizing the declaration and implying what Mr. Kreindler should or should not have done is an improper question. He can give him the declaration. He can ask if it's true. Counsel is testifying and making misleading guestions.

THE COURT: One of the subject matters before the court is whether or not anybody submitted false statements to the court, and the inquiry that Mr. Kreindler is responding to in the September 27 declaration asks to identify all communications, whether oral or written. And Mr. Kreindler prepared his declaration based, it sounds like, on his recollection and reported two phone calls. I think Mr. Hansen is entitled to ask questions about whether there were any other You can proceed.

BY MR. HANSEN:

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you recall, Mr. Kreindler, that there were a number of e-mails between you and Mr. Isikoff in July?
- 7 A. Yes, there were some e-mails. When we found out about the leak, my partner Duke undertook --
 - Q. We will get there, Mr. Kreindler. I am just asking you a simple question.

Were you aware before September 27 that there as many as nine different e-mails between you and Mr. Isikoff? That's a yes or no question.

MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, can we please put Mr.

Kreindler's declaration in front of him so he can read it?

MR. HANSEN: I am asking about the subjects. I am not even asking about the declaration right now.

THE COURT: Let's move on to another area of questioning. I think we have established what we need to establish. To the extent you want to move to the e-mails, let's move to the e-mails.

MR. HANSEN: Thank you.

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q. You would agree, Mr. Kreindler, that e-mails from the

Kreindler & Kreindler e-mail address are readily detectable and

1 investigable by members of your staff, correct?

MS. KIRSCH: With all due respect, his declaration does reference e-mails. There is an impression being created that his declaration does not reference e-mails. He is cleverly not presenting the declaration so we can all take a look at it. These questions are inappropriate.

THE COURT: Mr. Kreindler, I will refresh your recollection. You said in your declaration, "I exchanged several e-mails with Isikoff, all of which are attached to the accompanying declaration of John Hartney." That is what is in the declaration.

Mr. Hansen, you can proceed.

BY MR. HANSEN:

- Q. So my question is, e-mails can be readily searched and found on your system, correct?
- A. I think so, but I think you should ask John Hartney about that. The computer system is not my specialty.
- Q. Let's talk about the lead-up to the leak.

You were consulting with Mr. Fawcett at the very same time you were working with Mr. Isikoff on his podcast episode that aired on July 10, 2021, correct?

- A. I work with John Fawcett every day. So, by definition, sure.
- Q. Let's just look at the call record you had with him.
 You spoke to Mr. Isikoff at 1:42, page 3.

LB189112 Kreindler - Cross

THE COURT: Can you give Ms. Kirsch an opportunity to review?

MR. HANSEN: Of course, your Honor.

Exhibit 142, page 3, a single entry.

MS. KIRSCH: OK.

Q. Mr. Kreindler, it looks like 6/28/21, that's July 28,

Mr. Isikoff's number.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

THE COURT: 6/28 would be June.

MR. HANSEN: Sorry.

O. Seven minutes.

Do you recall what you were speaking to Mr. Isikoff about on June 28?

- A. Yeah. That was a Monday, right?
- 14 | Q. I don't remember.
- A. As I said, I remember speaking to him on a Monday and a
 Wednesday after the Jarrah deposition. And the Monday
 conversation was much longer, so I assume this is the Monday
 - Q. What were you speaking with him about?

conversation. Yes. And I remember it well.

A. So he called me up. He, other reporters in the world knew
the schedule for the depositions of the Saudi government
officials who we were deposing. He called me up and said, You
guys deposed Jarrah at the end of last week, didn't you? I
said, Yeah, my partner, Megan Benett, took Jarrah's deposition.
Then he said, What can you tell me about it? And I said, Mike,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

I wish I could tell you everything about it, I would love to be able to tell you everything about it, but I can't. thing I can say is, we were very, very happy, we were delighted with how the deposition went and what it revealed. And then he was fishing for some more information, and I said, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I wish I could. Let me get back to the team and see if there is any part of the deposition that was not marked

Then the second call I think was on the Wednesday.

- Ο. We are on the first call.
- I'm just telling you the story.

confidential that we could share.

- So, after you spoke to Mr. Isikoff on the 28th, almost 12 13 right after, at 12:23 -- I am going to let your attorney look 14 at Exhibit 134, and the references I will be asking about are
- at the bottom of page 1 and the top of page 2. 15
- 17 MR. HANSEN: Let me know when you're ready to proceed.

That's 12:22 or 12:23. One minute, yes.

- MS. KIRSCH: Go ahead. 18
- So we established the call with Isikoff was around 11:36 in 19
- 20 the morning. It lasted seven minutes. And here you are
- 21 speaking with Mr. Fawcett at 12:22 and 12:23. And in those
- 22 calls, you are directing Mr. Fawcett to get 9/11 case materials
- 23 to Mr. Isikoff, aren't you?
- 24 These are calls with John? No, I don't think so.
- 25 First of all, that's not what I asked John. I asked

LB189112

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

John, is there any part of the Jarrah deposition not marked confidential that we could share? And he said, No, it's all confidential. That's why the whole call is a minute. So you specifically directed Mr. Fawcett not to share any information with the reporter? The topic -- I told you exactly what that one-minute call was. John, is there any part of the Jarrah deposition not confidential under these orders that we could share with Isikoff who is asking about the deposition? And John said no. MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, the next thing I am going to ask Mr. Kreindler about is a podcast excerpt, which we could play the audio of, but I know you have instructed us to give time for Kreindler counsel to listen to it. We have a transcript. I don't know if this is a good time to have a break. THE COURT: I was just going to raise that. Let's take a quick five-minute break. You can give Ms. Kirsch an opportunity. Thank you. We are briefly adjourned. (Continued on next page)

THE COURT: Just for some housekeeping matters, we're 1 2 going to break at 1:00 for lunch. I'd like the lawyers to 3 start coming back in at 1:45 so that we can really begin at 2:00. So for the court reporter, back at 2:00, but I want at 4 5 2 o'clock start questioning the witness again. So we've got an hour now. 6 7 Go ahead, Mr. Hansen. MR. HANSEN: Thank you, your Honor. 8 BY MR. HANSEN: 9 10 Q. Mr. Kreindler, I'm going to play part of your podcast with Mr. Isikoff, Exhibit 39, and ask you some questions about it. 11 12 (Audio played) 13 I'll play another excerpt where you purport to 14 summarize the contents of these depositions. 15 (Audio played) Mr. Kreindler, that's you talking to the press, 16 17 telling the press, about the contents of sealed depositions, isn't it? 18 19 A. No. 20 Q. So you're telling this Court under oath that when you say 21 witnesses and sworn testimony inculpated every Saudi official, 22 that's not revealing or purporting to reveal the contents of 23 those depositions?

24 \square A. No, it is not.

25

Q. After the taping on -- the taping was on July 1, is that

LB1H9113 Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | correct?
- $2 \parallel A$. I think so.
- 3 | Q. The next day you had a call with Mr. Fawcett. Do you
- 4 recall that?
- 5 A. Not specifically, no.
- 6 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 134, page 1. If you look at the
- 7 | yellow highlighting, it's an 18-minute call between you and
- 8 Mr. Fawcett.
- 9 A. OK.
- 10 | Q. In that call, following up on your podcast taping with
- 11 Mr. Isikoff, you told Mr. Fawcett to disclose transcript
- 12 | material to Mr. Isikoff, didn't you?
- 13 A. No, absolutely not.
- 14 | Q. What did you tell him about?
- 15 A. I don't know, but I didn't and never would ever, ever, ever
- 16 do that.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Fawcett reached out to Mr. Isikoff the very next day in
- 18 | a call that we've highlighted. You see those? Actually,
- 19 there's four calls. That's Mr. Isikoff's number.
- 20 Why is it that Mr. Fawcett is reaching out to
- 21 Mr. Isikoff the very day after you spoke to him following the
- 22 podcast?
- 23 A. I don't know. I don't remember.
- 24 | Q. In fact, that's about the time Mr. Fawcett leaked the
- 25 sealed transcript, the Al Jarrah deposition, to Mr. Isikoff,

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | isn't it?
- 2 A. How would I know that?
- 3 Q. The Isikoff podcast was broadcast on July 10, correct?
- 4 A. I think so.
- 5 Q. We just listened to it, and we listened to your comments
- 6 attacking the FBI protective order. And I want to direct you
- 7 | to two days later to an email on your system that was sent by
- 8 Mr. Fawcett to Mr. Isikoff.
- 9 If you could pull up 56F at page 13. This was an
- 10 email that was disclosed only after multiple court orders.
- 11 MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I'd like a minute to look at
- 12 | the document.
- 13 | THE COURT: Sure. This was submitted, I believe, by
- 14 Mr. Hartney, is that correct?
- 15 MR. HANSEN: It was attached to the Hartney
- 16 declaration in September 27, I believe, your Honor.
- 17 MS. KIRSCH: OK. That's fine.
- 18 THE COURT: You can proceed.
- 19 BY MR. HANSEN:
- 20 Q. OK. So just to get you oriented, Mr. Kreindler, we're
- 21 looking at an e-mail from John Fawcett of Kreindler & Kreindler
- 22 | to Michael Isikoff dated July 12. There's an attachment of an
- 23 unclassified privilege log, and there's no discussion. It's an
- 24 | empty message. But if we go on and look at the documents, I
- 25 | believe we can show you that this is the FBI's privilege log

4

5

6

7

8

indicating which documents the FBI was not turning over because of its concerns about the public interest.

So my question to you, sir, is were you aware that Mr. Fawcett was providing this document to Mr. Isikoff on or about July 12?

- A. I was aware that John gave him the list of -- the public list of documents the FBI was withholding. I don't recall the date.
- 9 | Q. Did you know he'd done it by email?
- 10 A. I don't know how else he would do it.
- Q. Did you know, even if you don't recall exactly, was it approximately around the time of the broadcasting of your
- 13 podcast with Mr. Isikoff?
- 14 A. More or less, perhaps. I just don't -- I don't recall when
- 15 he did it. I do recall at some point we gave Mike -- John gave
- 16 Mike Isikoff the public list of the documents that the DOJ was
- 17 | withholding, and -- I'll stop there. I can say more if you'd
- 18 like.
- 19 Q. And he did that at your instruction, correct?
- A. Probably. I don't recall a specific moment on what day I said, John, can you send the privilege log to Mike Isikoff.
- 22 Q. So the next day, on July 13, Mr. Isikoff emails you. And
- 23 we'll put up Exhibit 56F, page 24. It's an email from Michael
- 24 | Isikoff to Jim Kreindler checking in.
- 25 | A. Right.

Kreindler - Cross

Q. And Mr. Isikoff writes -- and this, by the way, is the day after Mr. Fawcett sends the FBI privilege log -- "Hi, Jim, where do you stand on your request to DOJ to lift the state secrets privilege and gag order?"

Do you recall getting that email?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. This also related to what Mr. Fawcett had sent to
- 8 Mr. Isikoff, correct? This is further discussion about these
- 9 documents the FBI is holding back, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

11

- Q. OK. Are you speaking with Mr. Isikoff around July 13?
- 12 A. I don't recall. I just remember those two conversations
- 13 | that I told you about.
- 14 Q. Surely at some point Mr. Isikoff calls you and says, in
- 15 | substance: Hey, Jim, I've got the Al Jarrah transcript. You
- 16 | care to comment on it?
- 17 | A. That never, ever, ever happened.
- 18 | Q. Why would he not? If he'd gotten the transcript from some
- 19 other source, it would be a perfectly natural thing for a
- 20 | reporter to call a willing source like you to see if you had a
- 21 | comment on it, wouldn't it?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 MS. KIRSCH: That calls for speculation as to what
- 24 Mr. Isikoff was or wasn't thinking. It's an improper question,
- 25 and it's also argumentative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Kreindler - Cross

THE COURT: The question is —— the objection is sustained with respect to what Mr. Isikoff was thinking, but I don't think it's argumentative. So it's overruled on that ground.

- Q. I'm not asking you what Mr. Isikoff was thinking, but didn't reporters, including Mr. Isikoff, call you pretty routinely for comments on materials that they had obtained from other sources?
- A. It may have happened. As I think about it, I can't remember a specific -- I don't remember that ever happening, but it could have.
- Q. Reporters always try to get quotes from sources, don't they?
 - A. I can't speak to what all reporters always do.
 - Q. Do you think the reason why Mr. Isikoff did not reach out to you for comment on the transcript was because he knew that your firm had provided it to him in violation of the court order, and he didn't want to compromise you?

MS. KIRSCH: Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Did you ever wonder why Mr. Isikoff had never asked you about this transcript?
- 23 A. No. We only found out about it on September 27.
- Q. Well, you knew about the leak long before September 27, correct?

Kreindler - Cross

- A. I knew about the leak when I read Mike Isikoff's article
 the day it was published in Yahoo! News.
 - Q. That's just where we're going next.

So you did see the story on the day it was published, correct?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you knew that the transcript that the reporter had was a confidential transcript, correct?
- 9 A. All I knew was what the story said, and my recollection is
 10 Mike Isikoff's story said I've -- I've obtained pages of the
 11 Jarrah deposition.
- 12 | Q. Well, that's not my question.

Did you know it was a confidential transcript that Mr. Isikoff had obtained?

- A. I knew the transcript was confidential. I didn't know what really happened. All I knew was what Mike Isikoff said in his piece.
- Q. And you knew on July 15 that your firm was a likely source of the leak, didn't you?
- A. No, absolutely not. I had an idea who the likely source was, but I absolutely believed it was no one from our firm.
- Q. So let's look at Exhibit 56A at paragraph 5, which is your declaration, and we'll go to paragraph 5. You wrote in your declaration filed September 27 that you asked Mr. Maloney, your partner, to conduct an internal investigation, and that was

Kreindler - Cross

- right after getting off the telephone call on or about July 15, correct?
 - A. I'm sorry. You lost me. Which telephone call on July 15?
 - Q. Let's go up a paragraph. Let's go up to four.
 - You say on the 15th you had a conference call with other members of the PEC?
- 7 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q. And was that on July 15?
- 9 A. I think so.
- 10 | Q. So now let's go to five.
- 11 | A. Yeah.
- 12 | Q. After the call, so is this on the 15th as well?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. You say you asked Mr. Maloney "to conduct an internal
- 15 | investigation to determine whether anyone at Kreindler was
- 16 | responsible for providing the information to Isikoff," correct?
- 17 A. Yeah, that's exactly what it says.
- 18 Q. And all of the people on your team who had access,
- 19 | according to you, to the Al Jarrah transcript were suspects in
- 20 | that investigation, weren't they?
- 21 A. No, none were suspects.
- 22 | Q. Well, then who were you investigating?
- 23 A. We had to do an internal investigation. We talked about it
- 24 | first, obviously.
- 25 | Q. Wait, wait, wait. It's a "who" question. Who were you

Kreindler - Cross

investigating when you tell Mr. Maloney to conduct an internal investigation?

A. I -- OK.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KIRSCH: Mischaracterizes the witness' testimony, but he can testify now.

A. Sure. I was asking Duke to work with John Hartney on our computer system to search all communications with Isikoff, the Jarrah deposition to see if — if there's anything on our system that would indicate anyone at the firm sent it, because it was my absolute belief that no one did or would, but we had to prove it by going through the whole computer system.

- Q. Can I ask my question one more time?
- 13 | A. Sure.
- Q. Who were you investigating? Anybody?
- MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 16 | A. No one.
- 17 | Q. So Mr. --
- 18 THE COURT: Objection's sustained.
- 19 A. It was blanket.

THE COURT: Sorry. I think we're getting a little caught up on the terms "investigation" and "investigating." I don't think, Mr. Hansen -- the Court is not interrupting it as a loaded word. There's an investigation.

I think the question, Mr. Kreindler, that Hansen is asking is did you give any direction or have any conversation

Kreindler - Cross

with Mr. Maloney about who he should speak with or whose files he should look to as part of this internal investigation that he was leading?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: OK. I think Mr. Hansen would like to know who you suggested should be part of that search and review.

THE WITNESS: I -- I or Duke said: Why don't you,

Duke, work with John Hartney to run through the whole computer

system to make sure that there was nothing about the Jarrah

deposition transcript to Isikoff.

- BY MR. HANSEN:
- 12 | Q. And that's it? Nothing more?
- $\|$ A. That was it.
 - Q. Well, we know from Mr. Hartney now that there's no way looking at your computer system would tell you anything about who had downloaded the transcript, who had printed it out, and who had given it to Mr. Isikoff, correct?
 - MS. KIRSCH: That's actually not an accurate statement of Mr. Hartney's declaration.
 - MR. HANSEN: Let me rephrase, then.
 - Q. Do you understand that anyone with Kreindler & Kreindler employee login access can get access to the sealed materials on the Kreindler system or could before September 27?
 - A. No, I think not everyone could do that, only people who had signed the protective order.

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

Is that because there was a policy of that, or was there 1 2 anything in the computer that prevented them from getting it? I can't answer how the computer works. I can tell you that 3 4 from the time we had to deal with the first order through the 5 second DOJ order, we made sure that no one in the firm who 6 hadn't, as I did, gave my word to follow these orders could 7 look at -- could see the material. Q. How did you do that technologically? 8 I have no idea. That's -- you're asking the wrong person 9 Α. 10 on computer systems and technology. 11 In fact, there was nothing technologically that prevented 12 anyone with Kreindler & Kreindler employee access from getting 13 that transcript, printing it off, and leaving it on a bus for 14 Mr. Isikoff? 15 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. Mr. Kreindler just said he's not the guy who knows how the system works. That was actually 16 in this statement of what's in the record, and that's 17 18 inappropriate. 19 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 20 Mr. Kreindler, if you want to look at confidential 21 material on your system --

THE COURT: -- can you describe for me generally what

THE WITNESS: I only did one thing. If I wanted to

THE WITNESS: Yes.

you have to do to access that information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

see material, I would ask John, can you send me one of the documents we're talking about? So I'm trying not to use anybody's names, but if I wanted to see --THE COURT: Document X. THE WITNESS: -- document X on Jarrah, I would just call John --THE COURT: John Fawcett. THE WITNESS: -- John Fawcett, yeah, and say, Can you show me this document. THE COURT: So you personally, in preparing for a deposition or a motion or whatever, would never enter the server yourself and look for documents? You would rely only on Fawcett to provide it? THE WITNESS: I never entered the search for myself. THE COURT: OK. THE WITNESS: I confess to that complete limitation. I don't know how the server works. A dozen of my partners are here to confirm how frustrating it is because I need to ask someone like John, can you send me a particular document. THE COURT: So I think the witness has established that he is not competent to answer questions about how the server works or how access is provided, so let's move on from that topic of conversation. MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, of course, but I will return to it when I get to Mr. Kreindler's declaration later when he

Kreindler - Cross

talks about who had access to the transcript because I believe that's a fair question, but I'm not going to do it now.

BY MR. HANSEN:

3

- 4 | Q. All right. So let's talk about this investigation. You
- 5 could have assigned other lawyers in your firm who had no
- 6 | involvement in the 9/11 case or access, in your words, to the
- 7 | 9/11 transcripts to do the investigation, correct?
- 8 A. Anything is possible, but that would make no sense.
- 9 Q. Well, you would agree with me, would you not, that in any
- 10 | investigation to actually try to get to the facts, it would be
- 11 | important to move quickly, correct?
- 12 A. Of course. No one wants to move slowly.
- 13 Q. Well, one thing you'd want to do is keep evidence from
- 14 | being destroyed, wouldn't you?
- 15 A. No one wants to destroy evidence, or I certainly don't want
- 16 | to destroy any evidence anytime.
- 17 Q. Well, that's not my question, Mr. Kreindler.
- 18 You've told us in your sworn testimony that you
- 19 directed your colleague to do an internal investigation. I
- 20 | think you've agreed that it should be done quickly, and I'm
- 21 asking you were you aware, when you gave this instruction, of
- 22 | the need to move quickly in order to prevent the destruction of
- 23 | evidence?
- 24 | A. It never dawned on me that anybody would destroy evidence,
- 25 so I did not have that in my mind. And I also have to say it

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | wasn't my directive or order to Duke to do it. We talked about
- 2 | it, and Duke said, I'll work with John Hartney and run through
- 3 our whole system to make sure that no one here had anything to
- 4 do with it.
- Q. In fact, if Mr. Fawcett is to be believed, evidence was
- 6 destroyed here, wasn't it?
- 7 A. That's what John said later.
- 8 Q. So as part of this internal investigation, again, only if
- 9 you know, were all of the Kreindler & Kreindler professionals
- 10 | who you believed had access to the Al Jarrah transcript
- 11 | immediately questioned?
- 12 A. I think we were all there.
- 13 Q. What do you mean you "were all there"?
- 14 A. OK. I am struggling with your question because it doesn't
- 15 | reflect the actual discussions we had as soon as we got
- 16 | together and talked about it.
- 17 \parallel Q. So who got together and talked about it?
- 18 A. Here's what I remember. I read the article when I was at
- 19 | home. I came to the office, I think, later that day. I
- 20 | believe Steve Pounian was taking a deposition that day. When I
- 21 got to the office, I spoke to John, John Fawcett, Duke, Andrew
- 22 | Maloney, and said, we also -- oh, my God, I mean, where, you
- 23 | know, did this come from? Where did Isikoff get it? And we
- 24 | had -- we were talking about it kind of mystified, and I said
- 25 there's only one source that I could think of who would have

LB1H9113 Kreindler - Cross

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

given it to Mike Isikoff now. I can tell you what I said, if 1 2 you want to know or ask me later.

- Q. So other than that meeting that you've described, did you give Mr. Maloney any directive to actually interview witnesses, including all the members of the team who had access to the transcript?
- A. It was at that meeting, that discussion, that Duke said I will work with John Hartney. I think Megan was in her office, 8 and I recall speaking to her later. If I'm remembering 9 10 correctly, Steve was doing a deposition or preparing for a deposition, so whatever I said to Steve later in the day was 11
- 12 very brief. And then there was some internal discussion. 13 set up a PEC call to see -- talk about this and see if anybody 14 had any idea how Isikoff got it.
- 15 0. So if I'm hearing your answer correctly, and correct me if I'm wrong, you did not give Mr. Maloney any instruction to 16 17 actually interview witnesses, did you?
 - A. Interview? I don't know who the witnesses you're talking about are.
- 20 Q. How about all the people who had the transcript who might 21 have leaked it?
- 22 A. We all were -- all the lawyers working on the case, the 23 four of us and John, were part of this discussion. Duke 24 volunteered to head up the -- you're calling it an 25 investigation -- to go through the system and make sure that no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Kreindler - Cross

1 one at the firm had anything to do with it.

Q. OK. I think you've answered my question. Let me just ask another question.

You worked very closely with John Fawcett. Did you personally ask John Fawcett: John, did you leak this transcript?

A. I -- I did not do it as a Q & A. I spoke to John. I didn't do it. I know you didn't do it. I have no idea who could have done it. The only party I could think of that would have leaked this to Isikoff now was somebody on the Saudi side.

Q. Now, Mr. Kreindler, let me just ask you to focus on my question, please.

At any point, at any time, did you ever ask John Fawcett whether he had provided the confidential transcript to Mr. Isikoff?

MS. KIRSCH: That was asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't think it was answered, so you can answer the question.

- A. I talked to John --
- Q. No, no, no, I don't want "talked to." It's a simple question. I'd like a direct answer.

At any point in time, did you ever ask John Fawcett, in words or substance, John, did you provide the Al Jarrah transcript to Mr. Isikoff?

25 A. No.

Kreindler - Cross

Q. Did you direct anyone else to ask Mr. Fawcett that question?

- A. No. We just -- we talked about it.
- Q. The question is not what you talked about, with all respect.

So since you started an investigation on July 15, when was it that all of the firm's outgoing emails to Mr. Isikoff were pulled and reviewed?

MS. KIRSCH: Objection. I think we've already talked about the fact that Mr. Kreindler was not running that part of the investigation and the technology piece was not something that he was intimately involved with.

THE COURT: Did you ever learn when the emails were pulled in order to search for communications with Isikoff?

THE WITNESS: More or less. I don't remember the date, but relatively quickly Duke, you know, spoke to John Hartney and said, we've got to go through the whole system to see if anyone emailed or had some way of sending the deposition transcripts. My recollection is Duke did that with John Hartney pretty soon. I just can't tell you the day or time when he said to me, Jim, it's done, and there was nothing there.

THE COURT: OK.

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q. So, Mr. Kreindler, based on your testimony, were you made

4

5

aware within a few days after July 15 that this email search 1

- 2 had pulled up the fact that Mr. Fawcett was in email
- communication with Mr. Isikoff during the month of July? 3
 - I knew John had some email --Α.
 - Q. No, no, this is about the email.
- 6 Were you made aware that they'd done a search; the
- 7 search had found an email from July 12 from Mr. Fawcett to
- Mr. Isikoff sending case materials? Were you aware of that? 8
- A. I was aware of the privilege log, if that's what you're 9
- 10 referring to.
- 11 Were you aware of the email sending the privilege log?
- I must have been. I don't know how else he'd send the 12
- 13 privilege log to Mike Isikoff.
- 14 Q. So when did you first become aware of the email sending the
- privilege log from Fawcett to Isikoff? 15
- I don't know. 16
- 17 Q. Was it before the leak was disclosed -- I'm sorry.
- 18 sorry.
- Was it within a few days of July 15? 19
- 20 I just don't remember when I saw the email from John to
- 21 Isikoff saying, here's the privilege log.
- 22 Q. Again, I'm just asking whether you know. You may or may
- 23 not. When were the phones, the computer, cell phones, and
- 24 other devices of the Kreindler & Kreindler 9/11 case team
- secured so they could be evaluated for evidence? 25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

- Recently, with our lawyer Emily Kirsch, we had a whole 1 2 technological issue, and I was without my cell phone, my one means of communication, for about four or five hours, which I 3 didn't particularly like, but managed. 4
 - Q. I'm sorry. Just so I'm clear, that was in October sometime, wasn't it?
 - That's the only time I gave my cell phone to tech people to do whatever alchemy they did to pull information or the system out of it.
 - Q. As far as you're aware, no one else was asked to provide devices, records, or other evidence prior to us asking for it and the Court ordering it in October of this year?
 - I'm not aware of anyone else turning over their cell phones Α. or computers until we went through this technological search fairly recently.
 - Q. Just before we leave this topic of your investigation, according to your conversation with Mr. Maloney, your expectation was the investigation was going to be Mr. Hartney looking for evidence in your computer system that someone had from your computer system provided the Al Jarrah transcript to Mr. Isikoff, correct?
 - MS. KIRSCH: Again, Mr. Kreindler was not involved in the details of this so-called investigation. That was something that was tasked to Mr. Maloney. He's not the right witness for this topic. This is a waste of time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Kreindler - Cross

THE COURT: I think he's testified, though, as to the conversation he had with Mr. Maloney about what was expected, so I think he can answer those questions. I recognize he has demonstrated he doesn't know the details of that investigation.

- Q. So can you answer my question?
- A. I've lost it by now.
 - Q. Let's read it back to you.

(Record read)

- A. I think it was broader than that, that Duke would say I'll check on all communications between anyone here and Mike Isikoff in that time period. But, you know, you'll be asking him later today, I assume.
- Q. So what did you understand "all communications" to mean?

 Phone calls? Email? Texts? What?
 - A. Whatever the system would show. Certainly, I had in mind emails. If someone sent a text from a personal phone, I don't know that that would be reflected anywhere in our computer system.
- Q. So let's go to --
- 20 A. I just don't know.
- Q. OK. Let's go to July 21. It's a few days after the

 publication of Mr. Isikoff's story describing the confidential

 transcript that was leaked to him. Were you aware on July 21

 that lawyers for the Kingdom requested that the PEC agree to go

 to the court for a court-ordered investigation into the leak?

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 A. I don't remember the day, but, certainly, I remember us
- 2 | saying to the Court at around that time we, too, want to do
- 3 whatever we can to see who gave this prohibited information to
- 4 | Mike Isikoff.
- 5 Q. Well, let me give you little more specifics here. Let's
- 6 pull up Exhibit 42 at page 3.
- 7 | A. OK.
- 8 Q. This is an email that was sent to you, correct?
- 9 A. Yeah. Well, looks like an email sent to Steve, me, and
- 10 Duke.
- 11 | Q. And in the email, counsel for Saudi Arabia asks whether you
- 12 | would consent to a motion requesting that the court direct all
- 13 entities or individuals to submit declarations, and so on. Do
- 14 | you recall getting that?
- 15 | A. Just generally. I don't specifically recall this email,
- 16 | but --
- 17 | Q. And that's --
- 18 A. -- I do recall it generally.
- 19 | Q. That put you on notice that there was going to be a serious
- 20 problem, didn't it?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 | Q. Well --
- 23 A. It did not.
- 24 | Q. -- let's look at the phone records, then. If we go to
- 25 Exhibit 135, the very next morning, after the Kingdom is asking

4

5

6

7

8

9

for a court-ordered investigation, you and Mr. Fawcett have a phone call at 9:17.

Do you recall having that phone call with Mr. Fawcett the morning after you got this communication from counsel for Saudi Arabia saying that they're going to go to the court on this?

- A. No. I remember -- I don't remember what this phone call was about.
- Q. It's a pretty long call, isn't it, 46 minutes?
- 10 A. Yeah, it's 46 minutes.
- 11 Q. You talked about the leak, didn't you?
- 12 | A. No.
- Q. You talked about the fact that the Court might order an investigation, didn't you?
- 15 A. Maybe, but I don't remember what this phone call was about.

 16 It might have been the executive order.
- Q. You talked about the need for Mr. Fawcett to get a criminal defense counsel, didn't you?
- 19 A. No, absolutely not. That would never have crossed my mind.
- 20 Q. Who's Liz Crotty?
- 21 A. Liz Crotty worked in our office as an associate.
- Q. And then she left your firm to go become a white-collar defense lawyer, correct?
- 24 A. I think so.
- 25 | Q. So explain for us why it was that immediately after your

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | call with Mr. Fawcett, he calls Liz Crotty and talks to her for
- 2 | 22 minutes?
- 3 A. I have no idea why John called Liz Crotty. I don't think
- 4 | I've spoken to her for years.
- 5 | Q. Did you give Mr. Fawcett her information?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Do you know if they're friends?
- 8 A. I know he knew her, as we all did, because she worked in
- 9 the office.
- 10 | Q. But the only reason he'd be calling Liz Crotty after your
- 11 | 46-minute phone call would be his concern that there was going
- 12 | to be a big problem based on what you all had done with this
- 13 | sealed transcript, right?
- MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 15 A. Wrong.
- 16 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. All of this calls for
- 17 speculation. There's no foundation why Mr. Fawcett made any
- 18 | call that he did.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 Q. Do you have any explanation for this call sequence,
- 21 Mr. Kreindler?
- 22 A. I don't see it as a sequence. I have no idea why John
- 23 | called Liz Crotty then, absolutely none.
- 24 | Q. How about why did John call you back immediately after
- 25 | hanging up with Ms. Crotty?

LB1H9113 Kreindler - Cross

1 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. More speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You can answer.

- A. I have no idea. I don't remember what we were talking about then. Given the time period, I think it was more likely moving toward Joe Biden's executive order than anything we're discussing today.
- Q. Do you remember anything about your five-minute call with
- 9 Mr. Fawcett that followed his 22-minute call with Ms. Crotty?
- 10 A. I don't remember anything about this call, but as I've told
- 11 you before, probably not a day goes by that I'm not talking to
- 12 | John Fawcett about our case and how we can move it forward.
- 13 Q. Well, on the 23rd of July, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia did
- 14 | indeed go to court and request a thorough court investigation,
- 15 | correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 16 A. I can't remember the date, but I remember that that's what
- 17 | Saudi Arabia did, and there was a brouhaha. We suggested a
- 18 meet-and-confer, and that never happened. They moved. There
- 19 was the limited meet and confer. The order resulted.
- 20 | Q. Kreindler & Kreindler, alone among all firms in this case,
- 21 resisted a court-ordered investigation, isn't that the truth?
- 22 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 MS. KIRSCH: It's both false and it mischaracterizes
- 25 | the facts.

24

25

MR. HANSEN: Well, I don't want to slow things up, so why don't I just ask Mr. Kreindler to look at it for himself. I won't show it on the screen.

Kreindler - Cross

- Q. If you'd look at Exhibit 43A, pages 4 and 5, in that exhibit binder.
- 3 | A. 43A?
- 4 \square Q. The A behind the tab 43.
- 5 A. I see a 43, but not a 43A. Oh, I see it. OK. Yes.
- 6 Q. So my question, sir, is isn't it true that your colleague,
- 7 on behalf of your firm, said you weren't consenting to a
- 8 | court-ordered investigation?
- 9 A. No, I don't think that's what -- no, it's not true.
- 10 | Q. In fact, Mr. Pounian communicated that the Kreindler firm
- 11 | had completed an internal review of the handling of the Jarrah
- 12 | transcript and the conduct of Michael Isikoff and had
- 13 determined that it hadn't been the leaker, right?
- 14 A. I'm looking for Steve's email. Sorry.
- 15 | Q. Take your time. Read as much as you'd like.
- 16 A. Are you talking about Steve's email Friday, the 23rd, at
- 17 | 3 o'clock to Greg Rapawy?
- 18 Q. If you look at page 4 of 5 of that -- I wish I could show
- 19 | it on the screen for you, but I'm not going to -- pages 4 to 5,
- 20 | there will be emails from your colleague to opposing counsel.
- 21 A. OK. At the top of page 4, yeah.
- 22 | Q. Isn't it true that your colleague represented to opposing
- 23 counsel that Kreindler & Kreindler had completed its own
- 24 | internal review, and it had determined that the transcript
- 25 | wasn't released by Kreindler & Kreindler?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

- A. I may be looking at the wrong place, but I believe that's true.
- Oh, yeah, I see it. Each firm has determined that the transcript was not released through our firms or others working with our firms and are prepared to document the facts if necessary. So that's exactly what Steve said.
- Q. Did you approve this email before it went out?
- A. No, Steve handled it. He's my partner.
- Q. Were you copied on it?
- 10 A. Let me see. It looks that way, yeah.
- 11 Q. So we're talking about six business days after the July 15 leak, correct?
- 13 A. That's when this email went out, yes.
- Q. So what had Kreindler & Kreindler done between the 15th and this date, the 23rd, so that it could make this representation that it had determined it wasn't the leak?
 - MS. KIRSCH: I'll just renew my objection that the details of the investigation were not Mr. Kreindler's responsibility.
 - THE COURT: Understood. You can answer, to the extent you have information to answer.
- 22 | A. I don't. Duke and Steve were working on it.
- Q. OK. Let's just cut ahead to July 27. After Saudi Arabia applied to the court, your firm and the other firms went back to the court and submitted a letter in which you again stated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that each of the lead PEC firms was confident it was not the source of the leak. Did you approve that letter before it went out?

- Α. I don't know which letter you're --
- Q. I'm sorry, Exhibit 43.

I don't know if there's an objection to -- if anybody wants to object to us putting it up.

MS. KIRSCH: The Kreindler firm has no objection, but again, I don't know if the other PECs do.

MR. MIGLIORI: Your Honor, Donald Migliori. Obviously, without the document, we don't know what's being shared. I think the way it was handled just recently protects any potential disclosure of work product or communications within the plaintiffs. So as long as the questions are limited to the conduct of the Kreindler law firm and not disclosing communications, we would object to any public disclosure of the document or questions that go outside the scope of that narrow language.

THE COURT: I believe this is a July 27 letter filed to the court that I believe is currently under seal, but it may not be under seal at some point in the future, but it's currently under seal and subject to the MDL protective order.

MR. HANSEN: Well, your Honor, I don't want to take more time than we need. It certainly would be better if we could show Mr. Kreindler what we're talking about, but I'll try LB1H9113 Kreindler - Cross

- 1 and do it another way.
- 2 BY MR. HANSEN:
- 3 Q. So, Mr. Kreindler, take a look --
- THE COURT: You want to take a minute and show counsel briefly?
 - MR. HANSEN: I'll just show Mr. Kreindler. I don't think he objects to me showing Mr. Kreindler without showing it on the screen. I don't really want to take the time.
- 9 THE COURT: OK.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Kreindler, take a look at Exhibit 43.
- 11 A. OK.

6

7

- 12 Q. It's a letter. Did you review that letter before it was
- 13 | filed with the court?
- 14 A. No. Steve did.
- Q. As the cochairman of the PEC, do you not at least review
- letters filed with the court by the PEC?
- 17 A. Sometimes, but I also rely upon my partners.
- 18 Q. So, once again, this time in an official document sent to
- 19 the court, Kreindler & Kreindler is signing on to a letter in
- 20 which it is saying it's finished its internal investigation,
- 21 and it's confident that Kreindler & Kreindler didn't do it,
- 22 | isn't that correct?
- 23 | A. If we're looking at the same thing, this July 27 letter
- 24 | signed by Steve, Bob Haefele, and Sean Carter says we've done
- 25 | investigations, and we're confident that these firms did not

- have anything to do with the leak.
- Q. Just in the interest of completeness, I'll ask you again,
- 3 | if you know, what investigation actually had been done by
- 4 | Kreindler & Kreindler prior to July 27 in order to make this
- 5 representation to the court?
- 6 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: You can answer the question.
- 8 A. I can't tell you anything in addition to what I've said
- 9 | already, that Duke headed up this effort to make sure that, as
- 10 | far as we knew, no one at the firm gave Jarrah portions to Mike
- 11 Isikoff.
- 12 | Q. As the leader of your firm's team, as the cochairman of the
- 13 Executive Committee, wouldn't you want to satisfy yourself
- 14 about the accuracy and basis for any factual representation
- 15 being made to this Court?
- 16 A. In this case, with the millions of letters, there are many
- 17 | times when I've relied upon my co-lead counsel at Motley or
- 18 Cozen or my partners Steve, Megan, or Duke. We do not -- it
- 19 would be impossible for every lawyer to review every document.
- 20 We'd never get anything out the door.
- 21 | Q. So let's go to July 29.
- 22 THE COURT: May I ask a question on this exhibit, if
- 23 | you don't mind?
- MR. HANSEN: Of course.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Kreindler, I'm just curious on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

signature line of this letter submission, it lists Mr. Pounian, who appears to be the signer of the letter, and Mr. Maloney and Ms. Benett. Was there a deliberate decision for you not to sign this letter? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Typically, all the firms are listed -- all the lawyers, excuse me, are listed under your firm name. THE WITNESS: No, there was no deliberate decision. What I can tell you is it was Duke who was doing the investigation, later Megan prepared the declarations. THE COURT: Could you be sure to speak into the microphone. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: That's OK. Duke prepared the investigation. THE WITNESS: With the mask, it's even more difficult. To answer the question is those were my three partners who were really handling the details of this. And to put it in context so you know where I was and what I was doing, my principal focus this last -- or a principal focus, the very end of July and August was getting the 26 team review publicly released, as Joe Biden did on 9/11, and getting a process under way for declassification of the FBI documents. So we had a division of labor.

I had the discussion with Duke and John and Steve and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

Megan about the leak, and since I had no idea and was confident no one at the firm was doing it, this matter of communicating with your Honor and providing information, other additional information, you wanted was really being handled by Duke, Steve, and Megan, and my focus was seeing that the FBI documents would begin to come out in a public way by 9/11. THE COURT: So you don't have a specific recollection of electing not to be on this letter? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: OK. You can proceed. BY MR. HANSEN: So if we go to July 29, Mr. Kreindler, on that date two of the four Plaintiffs' Executive Committee firms, without court order, put in detailed proof, including sworn statements from everybody at their firms who had access to the transcript, and they described their internal investigations. And I'm referring specifically to Cozen, O'Connor and Motley Rice. Were you aware they had done that? Α. Yes. Why didn't you do it? Ο. Our approach and the approach of some the other firms was Α. to provide the information that the Court requested. If the Court wanted more information, we would provide it. But in the history of this case, I can tell you that oftentimes, maybe on a daily basis, we'll have disagreements in the committee about

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

25

Kreindler - Cross

1 how to respond to any of the 12 balls that were up in the air.

So half the committee said, we're going to do more than the

judge wanted; the other half said, let's give the judge what

she wants. If she wants more, we'll provide it. It was just a

difference in approach.

Q. Actually, the third firm, Anderson Kill, went ahead and did

7 | the same as the other two firms on August 13, and they

announced they were doing this voluntarily and not in response

to a court order. You recall that?

A. Yes.

11 Q. So three of the four firms wanted their names cleared. Why

12 | didn't you want your name cleared?

13 MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I'm sorry if I missed a

moment. Of course, the order for declarations came down on

August 12, which is prior to Anderson Kill's submission, so the

record should be clarified.

17 MR. HANSEN: No, the record shouldn't be clarified.

18 | She's not testifying. In fact, they put their thing in, and

they said they weren't doing it in response to the court order.

And he knew that and testified. Now his lawyer wants to change

the testimony. This is improper, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think the record here is clear. So to

the extent that is an objection, it's overruled.

24 BY MR. HANSEN:

Q. OK. Mr. Kreindler, why don't you answer my question.

- A. I'm sorry. So what's the question?
- 2 Q. Three of the four firms in the Plaintiffs' Executive
- 3 | Committee welcomed an investigation and provided extensive
- 4 | proof of their noninvolvement?
- 5 | THE COURT: Mr. Hansen, I wouldn't characterize how
- 6 the other firms -- whether they were welcoming it or not. They
- 7 | made their filings. The record reflects that.
- MR. HANSEN: Thank you, your Honor. I'll rephrase.
- 9 Q. Three of the four firms submitted sworn testimony from
- 10 every person at the firm who had the Al Jarrah transcript and a
- 11 | sworn declaration describing their internal investigation.
- 12 | A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. Why wouldn't you also want to prove that you had nothing to
- 14 hide here?
- 15 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. That's just not true. The
- 16 declarations and the description were filed in response to the
- 17 | court order.
- 18 THE COURT: I don't think that was the question. But
- 19 can you rephrase your question, and then I think in five
- 20 | minutes we're going to break. So find an appropriate place for
- 21 a break.
- 22 MR. HANSEN: I'll make my question nice and simple.
- 23 | Q. With all of your colleagues submitting sworn testimony from
- 24 | every person who had access to the transcript and sworn
- 25 | testimony about what they had done to investigate, what

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

possible reason was there for Kreindler & Kreindler to not provide that proof other than Kreindler & Kreindler had something to hide? MS. KIRSCH: Asked and answered. THE COURT: You can answer it. Sure. It has nothing to do with having something to hide Α. because we had nothing to hide. The short answer is the way we operate -- I operated, my dad, most of the partners -- is kind of the KISS principle. The judge asked for something narrow. We all gave our word that we had nothing to do with it. We had Duke do an internal investigation, and I'm -- we decided, let's keep it simple. If the Court wanted more information like some other of the other firms were providing, or anything else, we'd comply. But we were doing what the Court asked and keeping it simple. It had nothing to do with trying to hide anything, because there is nothing to hide. Q. Wait a second. Your answer just a minute ago you said we had all "given our word" that we had nothing to do with it, but you answered my prior question saying you're not aware of anybody ever directly asking Mr. Fawcett whether he leaked the transcript. How do you reconcile those two statements? MS. KIRSCH: Objection. THE COURT: What's the objection?

MS. KIRSCH: It's a compound question, it

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 3 A. Because in everything John said to me, I was certain that
- 4 he had nothing to do with it. And for him to -- it never would
- 5 | be possible, in my mind, for him to have something to do with
- 6 it. And that's why we were talking about the only conceivable
- 7 party who might have wanted this to get out was Saudi Arabia
- 8 months before the attention of 9/11.
- 9 Q. On August 12 the Court orders you to provide sworn
- 10 | testimony because the circumstantial evidence suggests
- 11 | Kreindler & Kreindler is the leak. Do you recall that order?
- 12 A. I recall the order.
- 13 Q. And the Court ordered, at a minimum, that you provide
- 14 declarations from four named attorneys. Remember that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. It didn't limit you to four attorneys, did it?
- 17 A. I don't think there was any limitation. It just said
- 18 provide declarations from myself, Steve, Megan, and Duke.
- 19 Q. And you were perfectly free to provide additional
- 20 declarations from people who had the transcript, weren't you?
- 21 | A. I'm not aware of who else had the transcript.
- 22 | Q. How about Mr. Fawcett?
- 23 A. Oh, from John? OK. So what -- I'm sorry. I don't
- 24 understand what your question is.
- 25 | Q. You had every right to not do the bare minimum, but to

LB1H9113 Kreindler - Cross

1 provide full declarations from everyone at Kreindler &

- 2 Kreindler who you know had access to the Al Jarrah deposition
- 3 | transcript, correct?
- 4 A. I guess we could provide unlimited depositions from
- 5 | everybody if we wanted to.
- Q. That's not my question, but I think you understand the
- 7 point.

- On August 16 --
- 9 A. Can I respond to that comment?
- 10 | Q. On August 16, Mr. Kreindler, what you submitted --
- 11 | THE COURT: You'll have an opportunity on redirect.
- 12 | Q. -- was bare one-and-a-half-page boilerplate denials from
- 13 you, Mr. Pounian, Mr. Maloney, and Ms. Benett, correct?
- 14 A. I would not call it bare boilerplate. I would say we
- 15 answered the question that the judge asked truthfully. You're
- 16 | the one who's calling it bare boilerplate.
- 17 | Q. You didn't provide any IT declaration, did you?
- 18 A. I don't think so, no.
- 19 Q. You didn't provide any description of whatever internal
- 20 | investigation you'd supposedly done?
- 21 A. I don't think we did.
- 22 | Q. You didn't disclose to the Court that everybody with
- 23 | employee login access could have gotten that transcript?
- 24 A. It is probably dozens of people who could have gotten the
- 25 | transcript, from translators, all the tech people doing the

LB1H9113 Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | video depositions.
- 2 | Q. I'm talking about Kreindler & Kreindler employees.
- 3 | A. OK.
- 4 | Q. You didn't disclose to the Court on August 16 that anybody
- 5 | with employee login credentials could have accessed the
- 6 Al Jarrah transcripts, right?
- 7 A. All I can tell you is we provided the information that the
- 8 | Court asked for.
- 9 MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, would now be a good time to
- 10 | break? I know you wanted to break at 1:00.
- 11 | THE COURT: OK. Let's take a break. As I indicated,
- 12 I'd like counsel back in the room by 1:45 so we can begin
- 13 | questioning again at 2 o'clock.
- MR. HANSEN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 MR. GERBER: Your Honor, a quick procedural question.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- 17 MR. GERBER: Is it permissible for the attorneys here
- 18 | in the courtroom to have lunch with our client? Obviously, we
- 19 | will not be discussing the substance of the testimony. We just
- 20 | want to get clarity from the Court on --
- 21 | THE COURT: I don't mind you having lunch with your
- 22 | clients, but not to discuss the subject of the testimony.
- 23 MR. GERBER: All right. Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 | THE COURT: Enjoy your lunch, everybody. We'll see
- 25 you back here at 1:59. (Lunch recess)

LB189114 Kreindler - Cross

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 2:00 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. Please be 3 4 seated. I hope everybody had some lunch, even if it wasn't a 5 6 great lunch. 7 Mr. Kreindler, I will remind you that you are still under oath. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Hansen, your witness. 11 BY MR. HANSEN: Q. Mr. Kreindler, on August 30, the court issued an order. 12 13 Did you read that order? 14 I read all the court's orders, yes. Q. So, you learned on August 30 that Kreindler & Kreindler was 15 going to have to put in a sworn declaration from John Fawcett, 16 didn't you? 17 A. Yes. Offhand, I don't remember the date, but that's right. 18 If the order provided on August 30, you read it on August 19 Q. 20 30, right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. You didn't wait days to read court orders? 23 Α. No.

So you knew on August 30 you had to get a Fawcett sworn

statement. Did you go to him on August 30 and ask him to sign

24

LB189114 Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | a sworn statement?
- 2 A. I did not.
- 3 | Q. Did you ever ask him to do so?
- 4 A. No. I said, as I mentioned earlier, Megan and Steve and
- 5 Duke were handling it.
- 6 Q. Well, we have evidence that other members of your team were
- 7 asked to sign, and did sign, sworn declarations as early as
- 8 | September 2nd. And I would ask you to look at Exhibit 62B.
- 9 A. Hang on a second.
- 10 Q. 62B.
- 11 A. Sorry. It's a big book.
- 12 \mathbb{Q} . 62B, as in boy.
- 13 | A. OK.
- 14 | Q. I am just interested in the signature page and the date.
- 15 | THE COURT: I will just remind Mr. Kreindler that the
- 16 person who signed this is known as Consultant-1 in the public
- 17 | record.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. What I have in front of me does
- 19 | not have a signature page. It's blacked out.
- 20 THE COURT: OK.
- 21 Q. But there is a date of September 2, 2021, right?
- 22 | A. On the one I have, the date is partly blacked out. It
- 23 could be September 2nd.
- 24 | Q. And it was for a person identified as Consultant-A?
- 25 THE COURT: I believe it was Consultant-1.

LB189114 Kreindler - Cross

1 MR. HANSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor.

- Q. Consultant-1, Mr. Kreindler?
- 3 A. I don't see Consultant-1 on here. Maybe I am looking at
- 4 | the wrong page. 62B, it's declaration of blank redacted.
- Q. Well, that's not important. It's a declaration for use in
- 6 | this proceeding about the transcript leak, isn't it?
- 7 | A. Yeah.

- 8 Q. It's got a date of September 2, 2021, doesn't it?
- 9 A. I'm not being difficult with you, but on this page the date 10 is partly blacked out.
- 11 Q. It's a single digit, how about that?
- MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, this is not Mr. Kreindler's
- declaration. There is no foundation that he had any experience
- 14 | with this document.
- THE COURT: Well, it's a declaration that was filed by
- 16 | the law firm in response to the court's order.
- 17 MS. KIRSCH: Correct.
- 18 THE COURT: So I think Mr. Hansen is just asking a
- 19 question about what is on this declaration. And if Mr.
- 20 | Kreindler can't identify it because of a redacted version, I
- 21 don't know if we have an unredacted version or whether the
- 22 parties can stipulate to the date of this.
- 23 MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I understand that this was
- 24 | filed by the law firm, but that's a different matter from
- 25 whether Mr. Kreindler was involved in the process of obtaining

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Kreindler - Cross

the declaration itself. There is no indication that he would know anything about this document.

MR. HANSEN: That's what I am trying to figure out.

THE COURT: Can we stipulate that this declaration is signed September 2, 2021?

MR. HANSEN: I hope so.

MS. KIRSCH: Yes, I will stipulate to that.

THE COURT: Mr. Kreindler, it's signed. I know it's blacked out partially, but the date that you could partially see is September 2, 2021.

THE WITNESS: OK.

- BY MR. HANSEN:
- Q. So the question, Mr. Kreindler, if you know, is whether a similar declaration was prepared for Mr. Fawcett on or about
- 15 | September 2, 2021?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- Q. Why would it be prepared for one member of your team and signed on September 2 and not for Mr. Fawcett?
- 19 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 20 Q. If you know.
- 21 A. I don't know. I'm not even sure whose declaration this is.
- 22 Q. When, to your knowledge, was Mr. Fawcett first asked to
- 23 give a sworn statement in response to the court's order
- 24 | requiring him to do so, dated August 30, 2021?
- 25 A. I don't know.

Kreindler - Cross

- Q. Did you ever go to him and say, John, we are going to need a sworn declaration from you, let's get it done?
- 3 | A. No.
 - Q. Did you ever talk to him about it?
- 5 A. I talked to him about the Isikoff article the day we saw
- 6 | it.

4

- Q. I am talking about a sworn statement.
- 8 A. No, I never talked to him about a sworn statement.
- 9 Q. As the team leader, didn't you think it was important, in
- 10 response to the August 30 court order, to go to everyone
- 11 covered by that order and make clear that they were going to
- 12 | have to submit sworn testimony in this proceeding?
- 13 A. It's important, but that doesn't mean I am going to be
- 14 doing everything; we divide up responsibilities.
- 15 | Q. Mr. Kreindler, after the court's August 30 order, which is
- 16 going to reveal the evidence we now know today, Yahoo News
- 17 | filed a motion with the court to either stop or substantially
- 18 | limit the court's investigation into what happened. Do you
- 19 recall that?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. You personally supported that motion, didn't you?
- 22 | A. I really had very little to do with it, but I thought sure.
- 23 | Q. Let's put on the screen Exhibit 51. This letter you did
- 24 | sign. You didn't delegate it to one of your subordinates.
- 25 September 9, 2021. Let's go to the second page. That's your

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | electronic signature, right?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 | Q. Let's go to the first page. Isn't the substance of this
- 4 | letter you supporting Yahoo News' motion?
- 5 A. Let me just see it for a second.
- Yes. This is our letter saying we think the order should be modified.
 - Q. You're supporting Yahoo News' motion, correct?
- 9 A. To modify the court's order, yes.
- 10 | Q. And this one you think is important to sign yourself,
- 11 | right?

- 12 | A. Sure.
- 13 | Q. In this one, you again make your statement that materials
- 14 | shouldn't be covered by these protective orders, which you have
- 15 otherwise called disgusting in other places?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. What are you pointing out to me in this letter?
- Q. Don't you also say in this letter that you think materials
- 18 covered by the protective order shouldn't be covered?
- 19 A. I say exactly what you say. We have argued in favor of
- 20 public access to all judicial materials.
- 21 | Q. And you again disparage the two protective orders, don't
- 22 you?
- 23 A. In this letter, no.
- 24 | Q. But the Yahoo -- by the way, which other of your
- 25 | plaintiffs' firms joined you in this request to squash or

Kreindler - Cross

- 1 | limit, the Yahoo News' motion, the court's investigation?
- 2 A. I don't know from memory.
- 3 | Q. The answer is zero, right?
- 4 A. If you say so.
- 5 | Q. Well, do you remember any other firm joining you in support
- 6 of Yahoo News' motion to try and obfuscate or obstruct the
- 7 | court's investigation?
- 8 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 A. I don't. And I will tell you exactly why my --
- 11 | Q. I don't need why. It's a "what" question. Did anybody
- 12 | else join you in your efforts to support the Yahoo News motion?
- 13 A. Not that I recall.
- 14 Q. So another couple of questions and we will be done.
- 15 You submitted a declaration as demanded by the court
- 16 | in its August 30 order. It's at 56A, and I will get that up in
- 17 | front of you.
- 18 We have talked at some length this morning about what
- 19 | you did and didn't do to try and be accurate in what you were
- 20 | submitting to the court, but isn't it true, Mr. Kreindler, that
- 21 | this declaration is false or misleading?
- 22 | A. I do not believe it's false and misleading. If there is
- 23 something that concerns you, please point it out to me.
- 24 | Q. Let's go to page 3.
- 25 You say, underlined, "I never discussed the content of

LB189114 Kreindler - Cross

the Jarrah deposition with Isikoff or anyone acting on his
behalf."

Do you see that?

- A. Not yet. Oh, yes, I see it now.
- 5 | Q. Those are your words, correct?
- 6 A. Yes. Correct.
- 7 Q. In fact, you talked with Mr. Isikoff on his podcast about
- 8 what he characterizes as three principles, including Bayoumi,
- 9 Thumairy, and Jarrah by name, correct?
- 10 | A. Are you asking me if he referred to Jarrah, Bayoumi, and
- 11 | Thumairy by name?
- 12 Q. Yes.

3

- 13 A. I think so. I don't specifically remember it.
- 14 | Q. And you claimed, again in the podcast, and we played the
- 15 podcast, I am quoting here, you claimed you "exposed all kinds
- 16 of lies" in the sworn and sealed testimony.
- Do you recall saying that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. In fact, that's content of depositions, isn't it?
- 20 | A. No. It's my characterization, my opinion of what we
- 21 | accomplished during the deposition.
- 22 | Q. It's not an opinion, it's what the witnesses supposedly
- 23 || said, isn't it?
- 24 A. Not supposedly, what they did say.
- 25 | Q. I see. Now you're communicating the truth about these

23

24

25

Kreindler - Cross

sworn depositions. And that's what you do, Mr. Kreindler, you 1 decide what is OK to communicate, even though you are 2 3 purporting to communicate things that you are not allowed by order to try and communicate, correct? 4 5 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. It's argumentative. 6 misstates facts and six other things. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 Rephrase the question, Mr. Hansen. I will just ask it very simply, Mr. Kreindler. 9 0. 10 When you say that you exposed all kinds of lies, in the sworn sealed testimony, are you telling this court under 11 12 oath that this isn't purporting to communicate content from the 13 depositions? 14 A. It is not communicating the content of what anyone said. It is me saying to Mike Isikoff that we accomplished what we 15 hoped to accomplish, and pointing out -- now I am struggling 16 17 because there are things I can't say, but it is me communicating to Mike Isikoff that the depositions were a 18 success because we got witnesses to contradict each other, 19 20 lies, etc. It is my absolute belief that I said nothing about 21 the content of what any of the witnesses said because I wasn't 22 allowed to.

Q. How about when you said to Mr. Isikoff on the air, "One witness will contradict another." That was content too, wasn't it?

LB189114 Kreindler - Cross

1 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.

THE COURT: Basis.

3 MS. KIRSCH: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You can answer it.

- I do not believe that's content. That's characterization, in my opinion.
- Q. How about when you said, "Each person wants to minimize their own role and point fingers at others." That was content too, wasn't it?
- 11 A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q. How about when you claimed you had a "smoking gun or guns," 13 that's content too, right?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. How about when you said Mr. Isikoff said it sounded like "a 15 confession, "but then you responded by saying, "No, not a 16
- 17 confession," but it was still "damning dramatic." That's not content either? 18
- A. No. It's a characterization that it's damning and 19 20 dramatic. It is not the content because I am not allowed to talk about the content.
- 22 Q. Let's look at another part of your declaration. You said 23 in your declaration under oath that no one other than people at 24 your firm who had submitted sworn statements on the 27th of 25 September had access to the deposition transcripts, correct?

- A. That's what I said in my declaration?
 - Q. Let's put it on the screen so we are all looking at the same thing. 56A, pages 3, 4.

THE COURT: Mr. Hansen, to the extent you are going to read anything, can I remind you to speak more slowly, please.

MR. HANSEN: I apologize for my speed.

Let's get to the right place. This is the last page carry-over. I am going to discipline myself to try not to rush.

Q. It says, "State every person that they know had access to the deposition transcripts who has not already supplied a declaration in this investigation." And you answered "none."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I don't want to go back and do all the questions we did this morning, but I think we established this morning that you had no idea who within Kreindler & Kreindler had access to the deposition transcripts. Isn't that true?

MS. KIRSCH: Objection. He can point to the testimony if that's what he wants to do. I don't know what he is talking about.

THE COURT: You don't know?

MS. KIRSCH: He is purporting to quote earlier testimony. If he wants to purport to quote earlier testimony of Mr. Kreindler, he can show us the earlier testimony.

25

Kreindler?

THE COURT: Overruled. 1 You can continue. 2 I'm sorry. Your question is? 3 Α. 4 I will ask it again so we are not confused. And I won't Q. 5 characterize your prior testimony. 6 What was your basis, your basis for giving a sworn 7 statement that no one other than the people from Kreindler & Kreindler, who had provided sworn declarations on September 27, 8 9 had, quote, access to the deposition transcripts? 10 A. Again, I am relying upon my partners who were working on 11 this. 12 Q. Who told you that? 13 A. We had a -- we talked about the declarations. Duke told 14 everyone about his --15 Q. Who told you that the only people at Kreindler & Kreindler who had access to deposition transcripts were those that 16 17 submitted declarations? Real simple. MS. KIRSCH: Objection. Mr. Hansen is respectfully 18 misreading the sentence. If he wants to go back and read the 19 20 sentence in Mr. Kreindler's declaration, he can do that, but 21 let's not skip words, let's read the whole sentence. 22 MR. HANSEN: Can you put it up again? 23 THE COURT: The "they" here is the firm Kreindler &

MR. HANSEN: It's Mr. Kreindler responding to this.

25

access.

Kreindler - Cross

MS. KIRSCH: Respectfully, your Honor, the "they" is 1 not the firm. This is for each person to answer these 2 3 questions. 4 MR. HANSEN: He is the affiant here. It goes to his 5 knowledge. 6 THE COURT: The "they" is the affiant. 7 MR. HANSEN: It's got to be. MS. KIRSCH: "They" is the affiant. 8 9 MR. HANSEN: They can't be an affiant. 10 MS. KIRSCH: Actually, nowadays it is. 11 BY MR. HANSEN: Q. Mr. Kreindler, did you understand yourself to be giving an 12 13 affidavit here in this sworn statement? 14 Α. Yes. Were you answering this as to your own personal knowledge? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yes. 17 In response to my prior question, you said someone told you Ο. the information that gave you the basis to answer this last 18 question the way you answered it. The last question is, who 19 20 was the person who told you that? 21 A. Now looking at it, I understand it. "They," in this case, 22 being me. I read the question asking me, state every person 23 who they, Jim Kreindler, shared the deposition transcript with. 24 Q. Wrong question. They had access. The question was about

LB189114 Kreindler - Cross

A. I'm saying, from my personal knowledge, I didn't know that someone had access other than my partners who we have been talking about.

- Q. That's still not answering my question. In response to my earlier question, you said someone had provided you information that gave you what you considered to be a valid basis for giving this answer. So who is the someone?
- A. Now we have got to -- I am looking at the question now.

 Let me answer it as I see it and understand it now.

State every person that I knew had access to the deposition transcript who has not already supplied a declaration. I didn't know of anyone who had access other than my partners who were supplying declarations.

- Q. What did you do to inform yourself as to who actually did have access before you provided this sworn testimony to the court?
- A. We are talking in circles because I understand this to mean who did I personally know had access, and I didn't know of anyone else.
- Q. Did you ask Mr. Hartney if this was an accurate statement?
- A. No, because I am answering from my personal knowledge.
- Q. I will give you a hypothetical, Mr. Kreindler. If you had been told by Mr. Hartney before submitting this that, in fact, everybody with employee log-in credentials at Kreindler & Kreindler had at least access to these transcripts, would you

Kreindler - Cross

1 | answer this question this way?

MS. KIRSCH: Objection. It's an improper hypothetical.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. I think I would have tried one way or another to get it clarified so that I can answer the question properly. So if someone came to me and said, John Hartney knows that everybody in the firm had access to the deposition, when looking at this, I would have discussed it with my partners and tried to figure out if we should seek clarification or I should answer it the way I understand it, so that there is no mistake or misunderstanding.
- Q. So one last question on this topic.

What, if anything, did you do to inform yourself about who actually did have access before you gave this sworn statement to the court?

- A. Since I understood this question to refer to my personal knowledge, I didn't do anything to find out if people who I didn't know about had access.
- Q. All right. Just some wrap-up questions, Mr. Kreindler.

You have already said in your declaration that you never instructed anyone, including Mr. Fawcett, to turn over the sealed transcript to Mr. Isikoff or anyone else. But given your press strategy, your corrosive statements about protective orders being disgusting and your own personal violation of

5

6

7

8

9

10

- protective orders in 2017, as well as what we have shown today,
 there is substantial reason to doubt your word on that, isn't
 there?
 - A. None whatsoever. And your question contains statements that are not true.
 - Q. You still dispute that you were found guilty of a protective order violation in 2017?

MS. KIRSCH: Objection.

THE COURT: He doesn't need to answer the question.

- Q. You didn't even need to give an express instruction to Mr.
- 11 | Fawcett to make this leak happen, did you?
- 12 A. That's nonsense. I have no idea the leak could happen, and
 13 the leak was antithetical to what we were trying to proceed.
- Q. According to your testimony, you didn't have an idea about a lot of what was happening, correct?
- 16 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. Mr. Fawcett knew what you wanted, and he did what you wanted, and that's why we are here today, isn't that right?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. That is absolutely 100 percent false and has no relation to reality.
- MR. HANSEN: No further questions, your Honor.

Kreindler - Redirect

THE COURT: Thank you. 1 Ms. Kirsch, are you ready or would you like a moment? 2 MS. KIRSCH: I would like a moment. 3 THE COURT: We will take a quick two-minute recess. 4 (Recess) 5 6 THE COURT: Ms. Kirsch, your witness. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KIRSCH: 8 Q. Mr. Kreindler, good afternoon. 9 10 There was some discussion earlier about the protective 11 orders in this case, correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. You were asked, or the point was made that you personally 14 have not made a motion to lift those protective orders. Can you tell me, have you ever considered making such a motion? 15 A. Yes. More than considered it. We had it drafted and under 16 17 discussion for really quite some time, as we, the committee, tried to formulate the best motion to bring. We never filed 18 it, actually, because at the time we were ready to file, in the 19 20 lead up to 9/11, we knew that there was going to be an 21 Executive Order, which, in fact, Joe Biden issued on September 22 4th. And, as you know, that Executive Order mandated that the 23 2016 review be given to us and the public, mooting any orders 24 from DOJ as to that, and providing for declassification of other 9/11 documents, which is going to happen tomorrow. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

Kreindler - Redirect

Now, tomorrow we don't know what will be released and whether there will be redactions or how many, but tomorrow we expect a lot of what we have and have had to keep secret to be made public. I should also add that I talked to a number of people in the Administration about this to suggest that they do it on their own.

- Q. So I wanted to turn your attention briefly, there should be a witness book of exhibits by the Kreindler firm, not that big book.
- 10 A. This is the only one up here now.
- MS. KIRSCH: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- 13 A. Thank you. OK.
- Q. I am going to ask you to flip all the way to the back, if you would, to Exhibit 102.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that this is the July 23rd motion filed by the

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in relation to getting some discovery

- 19 and looking into the Isikoff leak. Do you see that?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If you turn a few more pages, there is a document that is
- 22 | the declaration of Michael Kellogg, Exhibit D3 to that filing.
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And then I am going to turn you to what looks like Exhibit

LB189114

Kreindler - Redirect

- 1 D3-A, which is an e-mail from Mr. Isikoff to Mr. Kellogg. Do
- 2 you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. I am going to give you a moment to look at that e-mail and
- 5 ask you whether you recognize the quotation that Mr. Kellogg is
- 6 being asked to comment on, the quotation that is attributed to
- 7 you.
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is that a quotation that you said on the podcast?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. We actually just heard the podcast with you saying these
- 12 | very words?
- 13 | A. Right.
- 14 | Q. And that podcast aired on July 10, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. This was filed on July 23, is that correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So if you look back, the previous page of Mr. Kellogg's
- 19 declaration filed in support of his motion, you will see the
- 20 | very last sentence says, "A true and correct copy of a July 5,
- 21 | 2021 e-mail from Mr. Isikoff to me is attached as Exhibit A."
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And does Mr. Kellogg in his statement to the court advise
- 25 the court that this is a publicly made statement?

LB189114

Kreindler - Redirect

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Does Mr. Kellogg advise the court that this was a podcast
- 3 | that had aired two weeks prior that Mr. Kellogg was offered the
- 4 | opportunity to comment on?
- 5 A. No, he does not.
- 6 Q. Does Mr. Kellogg provide in his declaration to the court
- 7 | any context about this e-mail whatsoever to help the court
- 8 understand what it is?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | Q. I wanted you now, can we just flip to what is Exhibit 29 in
- 11 | our book?
- 12 | A. Sure. OK.
- 13 Q. By the way, Mr. Kreindler, do you think it would have been
- 14 | helpful to the court to understand that Mr. Isikoff is asking
- 15 Mr. Kellogg to comment on a publicly made statement as opposed
- 16 | to something that may have been said privately?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. If we look at Exhibit 29, that cover page, this is the
- 19 August 16 filing made by the Kreindler firm in response to the
- 20 court's order. Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And your declaration, Mr. Kreindler, is the second one.
- 23 | It's labeled page 5 of 10 at the top. If we could take a look
- 24 at that.
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Now, the two introductory paragraphs we are going to skip over.

Paragraph 3. "I obtained a copy of the rough transcript of the Jarrah deposition at the end of each day that he was deposed and a final copy of the transcript from both days of the Jarrah deposition on June 28 from Golkow Litigation Services, both in unredacted and a redacted copy."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that a true and accurate statement, Mr. Kreindler?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. Paragraph 4. "On July 7, Golkow Litigation Services sent an e-mail with links to the video of the Jarrah deposition."
- Is that a true statement, Mr. Kreindler?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. "At no time did I share the Jarrah deposition transcript or videos with anyone unauthorized to see it under the protective
- 18 order and the FBI protective order."
- 19 Is that a true statement?
- 20 | A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. "At no time did I direct anyone to share the Jarrah
- 22 deposition transcript or videos with anyone unauthorized to see
- 23 | it under the protective order and the FBI protective order."
- Is that a true statement?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. "To my knowledge, no one with the Kreindler firm or anyone acting on its direction shared the Jarrah deposition transcript with anyone unauthorized by the protective order in the FBI protective order."
 - Is that true statement?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. In your opinion, Mr. Kreindler, is there anything about this that is misleading?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Is there anything in this declaration that is incomplete?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Is it your opinion that this is what the court had asked
- 13 | for the declarations to opine on?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. If you could flip to Exhibit 87 in our book also, Mr.
- 16 Kreindler.
- 17 | A. Sure.
- 18 | Q. This is the September 27 filing that was made by the
- 19 Kreindler firm. Do you see this?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. We spent some time on this on your cross-examination, or
- 22 Mr. Hansen did.
- 23 If you look at page 3 of 53 through 6 of 53.
- 24 | A. OK.
- 25 Q. That's your declaration, is that true?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. If you would start with paragraph 5 which refers -- let's go back to paragraph 4.
 - "I learned on July 15th." So you learned the day the article came out, you learned that there had been a leak of the Jarrah transcript, is that right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that was discussed with others from the Kreindler firm working on the 9/11 litigation, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. On that call, Mr. Kreindler, was there a discussion of whether any of the participants of that call, the Kreindler
- 9/11 team, was there a discussion as to whether any of you had
- 14 any knowledge of how the Jarrah transcript was transmitted to
- 15 | Mr. Isikoff?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Can you tell me what that discussion entailed?
- 18 A. Sure. It was each of us saying, I have no idea how this
- 19 could have happened, does anybody have any ideas? And going
- 20 around in the group saying the same thing. Where did this come
- 21 | from? And this is not good for us. Any clue?
- 22 | Q. If you look at paragraph 5 -- well, let me just finish that
- 23 | question. I assume the takeaway was that everyone indicated
- 24 | that they had no knowledge, is that true?
- 25 A. Yes. We all had no knowledge, and then there was some

LB189114

Kreindler - Redirect

speculation as to who might have done it. Is it possible for somebody in the technical group to do it? I volunteered, I said, the only entity that might have done it that would make sense would be the Saudis, to get it out now before 9/11 when there is great media attention, if it's going to come out anyway. But other than that guess, speculation, no one had any idea where it could have come from.

- Q. Mr. Kreindler, was Mr. Fawcett on that call?
- A. I think so.
- Q. Was it your takeaway that Mr. Fawcett was one of the many who said he had no idea how this could have happened?
 - A. Yeah. What I distinctly remember more than the call was our in-person discussion in the office. But, yeah, John, to me seemed as surprised as everyone.

THE COURT: Who was involved in that in-person discussion?

THE WITNESS: The sequence, your Honor, I read it at home, got to the office later. The first people I spoke to were Duke and John. And I think, we can check the dates, that Steve was doing a deposition that day. I'm not positive, but I remember him being tied up and I think then later talked to Megan. And in an earlier call, we decided that, as of that moment, Duke would lead the investigation into all the computer stuff and who could have had access or e-mailed Mike Isikoff.

Then, later that day we had a call with the whole PEC.

LB189114

Kreindler - Redirect

- 1 | First, we had an internal Kreindler call and then a PEC call a
- 2 | little later that day. The specific question, I don't
- 3 distinctly remember John being on the PEC, but he might have.
- 4 | I just don't remember. But I do remember the personal
- 5 discussions with him in the office face-to-face.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 7 BY MS. KIRSCH:
- 8 Q. Mr. Kreindler, when you pulled together this declaration
- 9 that was filed on September 27, did you make your best efforts
- 10 | to ensure that every statement here was accurate and not
- 11 | misleading?
- 12 A. Of course.
- 13 | Q. In your opinion, sitting here today, is any of it
- 14 | inaccurate?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. Or misleading?
- 17 A. No, not at all.
- 18 | Q. It says on page 6 of 53, at paragraph 7, "For the first
- 19 | time today" -- which is September 27 -- "I learned the
- 20 | information set forth in the declaration of John Fawcett."
- Is that a true statement?
- 22 A. It is. Later that afternoon.
- 23 Q. Mr. Kreindler, as a general matter, how often did you
- 24 communicate with Mr. Fawcett in the course of your work on this
- 25

case?

- A. Probably more than I talked to my wife. 9/11 is all I do,
 what John was working on. There were times when John's
 attention was needed by one of my partners, getting ready for a
 deposition, but I would talk to John all day. I can't say I
 spoke to him every single day, but constantly.
 - Q. Would you say you spoke to him most days?
 - A. Oh, sure. Many times at night, I would wake up at 3 in the morning with an idea, shoot him a message. And sometimes it's still dark when I hear from John, get your first cup of coffee, and we would talk about something. But it's constant. We were working on the Saudi case all the time, every day.
- Q. Did you communicate with Mr. Fawcett about the protective orders in this case?
- 14 A. Yes. Sure.
- 15 | Q. What was the nature of those communications?
 - A. They were really two-fold. We would often talk about our efforts to get these orders lifted, the motion we were working on. But even long before that, I talked about these orders with Kirsten Gillibrand, Chuck Schumer, Dick Blumenthal, all the time, Tony Blinken, Brian McKeon, later with Jonathan Cedarbaum in the NSA, DOJ people. And in talking to them about our need for the FBI documents so the whole story can come out, and our need once we have documents to be able to share them with the families and the public so everyone can know and we could really talk to our clients, I would always talk to John

LB189114

Kreindler - Redirect

and say, hey, can you dig up something or other that I might refer to in these communications with people in our government.

The other distinct area where I talked to him was what we talked about before, 2017, with the phone number in the letterhead of the Saudi Embassy, and that was found to be a violation. And John and I — John would deal with protective stuff every day for 20 years. And that brought home to us, even if we are not revealing content, you can't show a page, even if it's in Arabic and no content can be communicated, particularly something that's public like you could get the phone number from the yellow pages.

So, John and I had that discussion in 2017 so that, to the extent humanly possible, there would never be any violation of these court orders. That was a discreet discussion. The other discussion about how we can, number one, and most importantly, get the documents, and that stuff I raised with President Trump and others, that has been constant for these last four years.

- Q. So, is it fair to say that in the course of these different types of discussions, Mr. Fawcett indicated that he understood his obligations under these protective orders?
- A. Absolutely. What we tried to do is often, if you have the two versions side by side and you look at it, it's hard to tell because a word is hidden in one and not the other, so before sending anything public to anyone, even if I had the document

LB189114

Kreindler - Redirect

in front of me on my phone, I would say, John, please send me the public one to be doubly sure that we are not making a mistake and passing on one that we can't.

So John was involved in helping me try and live with this procedure that everyone knows is a lot of work with the two versions, in the public or not, to make sure there was no mistake.

- Q. Did Mr. Fawcett ever give any indication that he did not intend to abide by his obligations under the protective orders?

 A. Not at all.
- 11 Q. Did Mr. Fawcett ever act in an unprofessional manner in any respect?
 - A. No. John, for almost 20 years, it will be 20 years in February, John is one of the most committed -- I'm sorry -- and honest and trustworthy people I ever met.

I'm sorry for getting emotional. I have told you this story. It's neither here nor there. But I believe John came to work for us the day after my dad died, and my dad died when we were settling the Pan Am 103 case with Libya. We were almost done, and it's like Moses dying when he is bringing home the Ten Commandments. And John got to me through a high school classmate, and John has been so central to the families and has this unique ability to uncover and handle information. In my entire life, I have never met anyone who I think of as a more honest and noble person than John, with one exception, my

1 father.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

We would not be here today, and we would not be getting FBI documents tomorrow, and this coming out, if it wasn't for John. And truthfully, it breaks my heart for us, to the families who he has devoted 20 years of his life to, I wish it didn't happen, it was a mistake, but he is such a good person and so important to thousands of people. And when this is over, when the whole case is over, and hopefully sooner rather than later, and we all are where we want to be, he just deserves so much credit for what he has done and what he has given.

I know I am violating in talking so much, but this has been my life for 20 years, and his. I had to say it. I apologize for being emotional, and I apologize for diverting from the specific question, but I think it just needs to be said. So I'm sorry for talking too much.

- Q. I just have a couple more questions, Mr. Kreindler.
- 18 A. Sure.
- Q. Did you order or direct Mr. Fawcett to send the copy of the Jarrah transcript to Mr. Isikoff?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Did you know that Mr. Fawcett was going to send the Jarrah transcript to Mr. Isikoff?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. Did you know at any time prior to September 27 that Mr.

LB189114

24

25

Kreindler - Redirect

Fawcett had sent the transcript to Mr. Isikoff? 1 No. I had no idea at all until I heard that afternoon. 2 Α. Did you ever have any reason to suspect that Mr. Fawcett 3 would send the Jarrah transcript to Mr. Isikoff? 4 5 A. None whatsoever. 6 MS. KIRSCH: I have no further questions. 7 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Hansen. 8 MR. HANSEN: No more questions for Mr. Kreindler, your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: I just have one question for you, Mr. 11 Kreindler. Did you ever reach out to Mr. Isikoff and ask him 12 how he received the transcript? 13 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't. Once I saw the story, I 14 didn't say anything to anyone until we got to the office and 15 talked about what we are going to do with this revelation. But I thought, we all thought that none of us should be calling 16 17 Mike Isikoff. Q. Did anyone on the PEC side ask you, given your relationship 18 with Mr. Isikoff, to reach out to him to find out how he got 19 20 the transcript? 21 A. No, that never came up. And we all expect that if he was 22 ever asked, I am not going to reveal my sources. 23 THE COURT: Thank you.

You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 8670-1 Filed 10/25/22 Page 121 of 219 131

	LB189114 Kreindler - Redirect
1	Can I stay in the courtroom now?
2	THE COURT: Yes, you may. In fact, you should.
3	(Witness excused)
4	THE COURT: Who is your next witness?
5	MR. SHEN: Saudi Arabia calls John Hartney.
6	(Continued on next page)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	II I

LB1H9115 Hartney - Cross THE COURT: Mr. Shen, while we're waiting, do you have 1 2 an estimate of how long we'll need this witness time-wise? 3 MR. SHEN: For Mr. Hartney? 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. SHEN: Maybe an hour and a half. 6 THE COURT: Maybe an hour and a half. It's nearly 7 3 o'clock. Maybe in a half-hour, we can take a five-minute leg stretch. 8 9 MR. SHEN: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Hello, sir. Have a seat here. Stay standing for a second. 11 12 JOHN HARTNEY, 13 called as a witness by the Defendants, 14 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 THE WITNESS: John Hartney. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEN: 18 19 Good afternoon, Mr. Hartney. Q. 20 A. Good afternoon. 21 Are you currently employed for Kreindler & Kreindler? Q. 22 Α. Yes. MR. SHEN: And can we show Exhibit 74, please. 23 24 Do you recognize this as your profile in the Kreindler

25

website?

LB1H9115 Hartney - Cross 1 MS. KIRSCH: I'm sorry. Can I have a moment to look 2 at the document, please? 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 MR. SHEN: We can do it without the document. 5 THE COURT: It's the signature line on the website. 6 MS. KIRSCH: I'm sure it is. 7 BY MR. SHEN: Is your title LAN administrator? 8 9 Correct. Yes. Α. Sir, does "LAN" stand for local area network? 10 Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 And how long have you had that title, sir? 13 Since I've been at Kreindler. Α. 14 And when did you start at the firm? Q. 15 Α. April 1991. Sir, do you report to Javier Cisneros? 16 Ο. 17 Α. No. 18 Who's your direct supervisor, sir? I'd say the partnership. 19 Α. 20 The attorneys at the firm? Ο. 21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. Now, sir, you signed a declaration in this case, correct? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Can we see the declaration at 56F. Ο. 25 You have a binder of exhibits that are in front of

- 1 | you. Sir, you did not draft this declaration, correct?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 | Q. The lawyers at the firm drafted it for you?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And that was Mr. Maloney, correct?
- 6 A. The first one, yes.
- 7 | Q. And Ms. Benett --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. -- correct?
- 10 And Mr. Pounian also drafted the language in your
- 11 declaration, correct?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- 13 | Q. Now, sir, the lawyers at the firm, you said that you report
- 14 | to them. They're generally in a position of authority over
- 15 | you?
- 16 A. I report to the partnership.
- 17 | Q. The partners are in a position of authority over you, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And generally speaking, if one of those partners asked you
- 20 | to do something, you do it, right?
- 21 A. Well, it matters what they're asking me to do.
- 22 | Q. All right. Well, they asked you to sign a declaration. So
- 23 you reviewed the declaration, and you signed it, correct?
- 24 A. They asked me to sign the declaration that I felt was
- 25 | truthful.

Hartney - Cross

- 1 Q. Now, sir, you're generally familiar with the fact that
- 2 | Kreindler & Kreindler is representing the plaintiffs in the
- $3 \parallel 9/11$ litigation?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. And you're generally familiar with the fact that certain
- 6 material, such as documents and deposition transcripts, are
- 7 governed by protective orders in this action, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Have you reviewed the MDL protective order?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. You've never looked at it?
- 12 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 13 Q. Have you looked at the FBI protective order?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. You've never looked at it?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. You've never signed it?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You never agreed to abide by it?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. Did the Kreindler firm maintain a list of the individuals
- 22 | who had signed each of the protective orders?
- MS. KIRSCH: Objection. Foundation.
- 24 THE COURT: If you know the answer, you can answer it,
- 25 || sir.

1 THE WITNESS: Say that again.

THE COURT: You can answer the question if you are able.

- Α. No, I do not.
- 5 Did the IT department maintain a list of the individuals 6 who had signed the MDL and FBI protective order?
 - Α. No.

2

3

4

7

- 8 Q. So you have no idea who at the firm had signed the MDL or the FBI protective order, correct?
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 Q. Now, sir, prior to September 27 of 2021, the protected 12 confidential material relating to the 9/11 case, they were 13 saved in electronic form in various places at the Kreindler
- 14 firm, correct?
- 15 A. Can you repeat that question?
- I'm referring to the confidential and protected 16
- material relating to the 9/11 case. Those were saved in 17
- various location at the Kreindler firm. I'm talking 18
- electronically. 19
- 20 A. Are you saying that every document that was classified was
- 21 saved in different locations or --
- 22 Q. Classified documents were saved in different locations,
- 23 correct?
- 24 What do you mean by "locations"? Α.
- 25 One of those locations was an internal proprietary server

- 1 | that the Kreindler firm maintained?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. One of those locations was a cloud-based storage system,
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Some of that protected information was also saved as
- 7 attachments to emails and were discussed in Kreindler firm
- 8 | emails, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. They were also saved on firm or home computers used by the
- 11 | Kreindler attorneys, correct?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 | Q. You don't know one way or the other?
- 14 A. What do you mean "one way or the other"? Of what?
- 15 | Q. You have no idea whether any of the Kreindler attorneys
- 16 kept protected confidential information on their home
- 17 | computers --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- or on their devices, their phones? You have no idea?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. You were never asked to investigate that issue?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 Q. Now, you testified that one of the places that protected
- 24 | information is stored is on the Kreindler firm's internal
- 25 proprietary server. What's that server called?

Hartney - Cross

- 1 A. The main server? The exact name, it's NYFS1.
- 2 \ Q. What do you refer to it as?
- 3 A. Well, there's a share on that network called Case Media.
- 4 | Q. You refer to it as Case Media?
- 5 A. And there's other shares also.
- 6 Q. Was the 9/11 protected material stored in Case Media?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. That includes -- well, do you know whether that includes
- 9 MDL-protected information as well as FBI-protected information?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 | Q. You just know that it's confidential, protected information
- 12 was stored in the Case Media server, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. Now, prior to September 27 of 2021, anybody who worked at
- 15 the Kreindler firm had access to the Case Media server,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 | A. Could you repeat that.
- 18 Q. Prior to September 27 of 2021, anybody who worked at the
- 19 | Kreindler firm had access to Case Media where the protected
- 20 | information relating to the 9/11 case was saved, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. That's all of the lawyers who worked at the Kreindler firm?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. That's all of the staff at the Kreindler firm?
- 25 A. Yes.

Hartney - Cross

- 1 | Q. That is individuals like John Fawcett as well?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. So long as you had a Kreindler login, you could access that
- 4 Case Media, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So that includes people who did not work on the 9/11 case,
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. That includes people who had never seen the FBI or the MDL
- 10 protective order, correct?
- 11 A. Can you repeat that question.
- 12 | Q. That includes people who had never seen the protective
- orders in this case. They had access to Case Media, too?
- 14 A. You mean the users that have login credentials that have
- 15 | never seen that information?
- 16 Q. People who have not signed the protective orders in this
- 17 | case, they had access to the Case Media server, too, correct?
- 18 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. He doesn't know who did or
- 19 | didn't sign, so how can he answer that question?
- 20 | THE COURT: I think the testimony is that everybody
- 21 who has access to the server has access to this file. Is that
- 22 | correct, sir?
- 23 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 24 THE COURT: OK.
- 25 | Q. And the firm has no ability to track who actually accessed

1 any of the confidential and protected material saved in Case

- 2 | Media, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. So you have no idea, for instance, whether Jim Kreindler
- 5 | accessed the Al Jarrah transcript, correct?
- 6 A. On.
- 7 Q. On Case Media?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Or anybody else at the firm. You just have no idea?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So sitting here today, throughout the history of this case,
- 12 | you couldn't tell the Court who accessed any confidential
- document relating to the 9/11 case, is that correct?
- 14 A. That was on Case Media, correct.
- 15 | Q. And that's where the Al Jarrah transcript was saved,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. All right. Let's look at your declaration, 56F, please,
- 19 and I'm looking at paragraph 5.
- Now, sir, in paragraph 5 you stated that only
- 21 | individuals given Kreindler login credentials have access to
- 22 | Kreindler's server. Do you see that?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. You're referring to Case Media there?
- 25 A. Yes.

Hartney - Cross

- Q. When you say only individuals given Kreindler login credentials, that's everybody at the firm, correct?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. And then you say, "I created a directory on a network share drive where the 9/11 litigation materials are saved," and then you say that the Al Jarrah transcripts were saved there.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Then you go on to say: I was told the following had been able to access the Jarrah transcripts, and then you list a number of individuals.

Do you see that?

- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Who told you that?
- 15 A. Well, I guess somebody along the way of the litigation had
 16 told me that these certain individuals worked on the case.
 - Q. Who told you that only those individuals had access to the Jarrah transcript?
 - MS. KIRSCH: I'm sorry. Mr. Shen needs -- if he's going to ask him about the words of the declaration, please quote them properly. That was --
 - THE COURT: I think the word "only" is not there.
- 23 MS. KIRSCH: I'm sure it was an accident.
- 24 BY MR. SHEN:
- 25 | Q. "I was told the following had been able to access the

1 | Jarrah transcripts." Those are your words, correct?

- A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Actually, someone else drafted that language for you,
- 4 correct?

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. But you signed it under penalty of perjury?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Who told you the following had been able to access the
- 9 | Jarrah transcript? Who told you that?
- 10 A. In particular, one particular person? I guess it would be
- 11 | Megan Benett.
- 12 | Q. Your best recollection is Ms. Benett told you that, is that
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Now, when you drafted -- before you signed this
- 16 declaration, you told Ms. Benett and the other attorneys that
- 17 | everybody at the Kreindler firm had access to the Case Media
- 18 where the Jarrah transcript was saved, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. And, nonetheless, they urged you, and actually drafted the
- 21 | language in your declaration, so you don't disclose that,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 24 | THE COURT: What's the objection?
- 25 A. The word "urge" I wouldn't say. I signed the document that

Hartney - Cross

- was truthful in that the only people that should be saving documents and accessing documents in that -- in that directory were these individuals listed.
 - Q. Sir, you told Ms. Benett that everybody at the Kreindler firm had access to everything in Case Media, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That doesn't appear anywhere in your declaration, does it?
- 8 A. No.

4

5

6

7

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. All right. Ms. Benett drafted your declaration, right?
- 10 | A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Did she tell you that she didn't want to disclose that to 12 the court?
- 13 | A. Yes. Well --
- 14 | Q. Let's look, sir, at Exhibit --
- THE COURT: Sorry. Can I ask a question, follow-up question on this section before we move on?
- 17 MR. SHEN: Absolutely.
- THE COURT: Would you mind putting the declaration back up.
 - I was confused by a statement there. The last at the same time of this paragraph 5 says: "I did not find any evidence that the Jarrah transcripts had been downloaded, printed, or emailed by anyone else."
 - I confess to not being the most technologically savvy person, but my understanding of your declaration was that you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hartney - Cross

couldn't tell whether anyone had downloaded, printed, or emailed the transcript. So one question I have is, is there evidence that the people in the sentence preceding that last line -- there is evidence that they had downloaded, printed, or emailed the transcript? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you're saying. THE COURT: Your last sentence says: "I did not find any evidence that the Jarrah transcripts had been downloaded, printed, or emailed by anyone else." I read that to say that the people in the line above, namely, Jim Kreindler, Steven Pounian, all the way through to John Fawcett, that there was evidence that they had either downloaded, printed, or emailed the declaration. THE WITNESS: I meant that anybody other than those people did not download. THE COURT: Anybody other than those people what? THE WITNESS: Yeah, did not download, print it, or email. THE COURT: So you were able to see that those people did, in fact, download, print, or email? THE WITNESS: We don't keep track of downloading and printing or emailing. THE COURT: What does this sentence mean, "I did not find any evidence that the Jarrah transcripts had been

downloaded, printed, or emailed by anyone else"? I don't know

what that means. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I quess what I'm trying to say there is that I didn't really find any real solid evidence that anybody 3 other than those people downloaded, printed, or emailed. 4 5 THE COURT: The transcript? But you did have evidence 6 that those people did download, print, or --7 THE WITNESS: I had evidence that they -- two of them emailed. 8 9 THE COURT: Evidence that two of them had emailed it? 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: And those two people were? 12 THE WITNESS: Were Debra Pagan and John Fawcett. 13 THE COURT: Debra Pagan and John Fawcett. 14 So your system does allow you to see who downloaded, printed, or emailed? 15 THE WITNESS: I can only see the tracking of email. 16 We don't track downloading, printing. But I guess I maybe 17 should have elaborated more and said that we don't track 18 downloading. 19 20 THE COURT: So there would never be any evidence that 21 anybody downloaded or printed the transcript? 22 THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: OK. 23 24 THE WITNESS: I mean, the downloading, that might 25 pertain to the downloading of it on the cloud server also.

THE COURT: Downloading it from the cloud? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's being kept track. 3 THE COURT: Right. I think you go on to talk about 4 that in the next paragraph. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 6 THE COURT: But this is the proprietary server. 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, OK. Yes. 8 THE COURT: So with respect to the proprietary server, 9 you cannot tell if anybody downloaded or printed? 10 THE WITNESS: No, no, you cannot tell. 11 THE COURT: So when you listed that there's no 12 evidence that the Jarrah transcript had been downloaded, 13 printed, or emailed by anyone else, what you're saying is you 14 know two people emailed it because you can track the email? 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: But you can't see if anybody downloaded it 16 17 or printed it? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: OK. Thank you. Sorry. 20 MR. SHEN: Sure. 21 BY MR. SHEN: 22 Q. Mr. Hartney, you said that Ms. Benett didn't want to tell 23 the Court that anyone at the Kreindler firm had access to all 24 of the protected 9/11 material. What other attorneys told you 25 that they didn't want to tell that to the Court?

- 1 A. No other.
- 2 Q. Only Ms. Benett?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you discuss that issue with Mr. Maloney?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you discuss that issue with Mr. Pounian?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did you ever discuss access issues at all with
- 11 Mr. Kreindler?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Can we look at paragraph 6 of your declaration in front of
- 14 you.
- 15 Paragraph 6 discusses the cloud-based storage system.
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 A. I don't see it yet. It's not on the screen. Yes, now it
- 18 is.
- 19 Q. Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes, I see that.
- 21 | Q. Sir, the cloud-based system, is that called share file?
- 22 A. Citrix share file, C-i-t-r-i-x.
- 23 | Q. And certain FBI and other protected information were saved
- 24 on that Citrix share file, is that right?
- 25 A. My understanding, only thing I saw saved on it was the

- 1 depositions that recall.
- 2 | Q. Particular depositions or many depositions?
- 3 A. Many depositions.
- 4 Q. All right. Now, consultants, experts, and others could
- 5 access this cloud-based system if they were provided login
- 6 access. That's what you write, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. But Mr. Fawcett, he was provided access to the internal
- 9 proprietary server, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. The firm actually considered Mr. Fawcett to be staff,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A. I can't answer that question.
- 14 | Q. From an IT perspective, did you treat Mr. Fawcett any
- 15 differently from any other employee at the firm?
- 16 A. You mean through access, login access?
- 17 | Q. Sure.
- 18 A. Yes, we gave him login access and we gave him email access.
- 19 Q. The question was did you treat him any differently than any
- 20 | other employee at the firm?
- 21 A. Did I treat him differently? I guess not, no.
- 22 | Q. You were aware he had a phone at the firm?
- 23 A. I was aware he had a phone.
- 24 | Q. Sorry?
- 25 A. You mean a telephone, a desk phone?

- 1 | Q. A desk phone, yes, sir.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. All right. And did he have his own email from the firm?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. He had an office at the firm, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Now, to view documents that were saved on this cloud-based
- 8 server, the user would actually have to download them, and so
- 9 you have a record of that, is that right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. That's the only location where documents were actually
- 12 stored on a server that keeps a record of who downloads them,
- 13 | is that right?
- 14 A. Can you -- when you mean "download," you mean download from
- 15 outside the office or do you mean download --
- 16 | Q. Just accesses the documents.
- 17 A. Access the documents, yes.
- 18 Q. That's the only system that tracks access to the documents?
- 19 (Discussion off the record)
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. You state in paragraph 6 that you reviewed the user history
- 22 | of this cloud-based share file system on July 29, 2021. Do you
- 23 | see that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And that's the first time that you did that, correct?

Hartney - Cross

- 1 | A. First time I ever reviewed it, I believe so, yes.
- 2 | Q. You were instructed to review it on July 29, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Now, are you aware that Mr. Fawcett actually managed and
- 5 | maintained confidential, protected materials on other
- 6 cloud-based systems?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Are you aware that he had a Dropbox account that he managed
- 9 | that contained protected material?
- 10 | A. I know he had a Dropbox account.
- 11 | Q. Did you know that it contained protected material on it?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. That's not something that you investigated?
- 14 A. I wasn't asked to investigate that.
- 15 | Q. All right. No one at the Kreindler firm asked you to
- 16 | investigate any other cloud-based systems that contained
- 17 protected information, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. All right. Now, let's show Exhibit 68, please. Now, this
- 20 | is a screenshot of a Dropbox account. If we zoom in on the
- 21 | right-hand side, it says that John Fawcett is the owner of the
- 22 | account.
- Now, you had no idea that Mr. Fawcett was saving
- 24 confidential and protected materials to this Dropbox account,
- 25 correct?

1 MS. KIRSCH: Objection. This is a screenshot without 2 a date on it, without any context.

MR. SHEN: There is a date on the document.

MS. KIRSCH: Where is the date?

MR. SHEN: It's in the bottom right-hand side,

October 15, 2021.

MS. KIRSCH: OK.

BY MR. SHEN:

- Q. Sir, the question is did you have any idea that Mr. Fawcett was saving protected material on other cloud-based servers such
- 11 | as this Dropbox account?
- 12 | A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Did you ever take any actions to limit his access after the
- 14 | breach of the protective order?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 || Q. -- to --
- 17 A. I'm sorry. Sorry.
- 18 Q. -- to other cloud-based systems that he had, such as this
- 19 | Dropbox account?
- 20 A. Can you repeat the question.
- 21 Q. Yeah. Let me ask a cleaner question.
- 22 Sir, you had no idea that he maintained this Dropbox account, right?
- 24 A. I know he had the Dropbox.
- 25 | Q. You had no idea he had protected information on this

- 1 | account, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Did you undertake actions to limit his access to the
- 4 | Dropbox account?
- 5 A. After what time?
- 6 Q. After the breach.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When?
- 9 A. I don't recall the exact date, to tell the truth. I'm
 10 sorry.
- 11 Q. Was it in October?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- MR. SHEN: All right. Let's show Exhibit 67, please.
- 14 \parallel Q. Exhibit 67 is an email from an attorney at my firm to
- 15 counsel for Kreindler & Kreindler identifying this particular
- 16 Dropbox account containing protected information. It's dated
- 17 | October 15.
- Do you recall that after October 15 is when you
- 19 | actually stopped Mr. Fawcett's access to that material?
- 20 A. Can you repeat the question.
- 21 Q. The email is dated October 15. Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: If you want to take a look over that
- 24 | email, you can.
- 25 THE WITNESS: OK. OK.

Hartney - Cross

- Q. Sir, my question to you is, was it after October 15 that you stopped Mr. Fawcett's access to that Dropbox account?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. You don't know one way or another?
 - A. I cannot tell you the exact date.
- Q. Sir, did you know that Mr. Fawcett maintained a cloud
 platform called Tresorit that had MDL-protected information on
- 8 | it?

3

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

- 9 A. No.
- MS. KIRSCH: I'm going to object here. I really don't know where these lines of questions are going, but to the extent it goes to our work product, and -- this could be something that we would have an issue with this being discussed in any depth publicly. I don't know.
 - MR. SHEN: This goes to the reasonableness of the investigation and where protected materials were actually saved.
 - THE COURT: All right. Thus far I don't see any issue with work product, but if we get close, please raise an objection.
- 21 BY MR. SHEN:
- Q. Now, sir, the question was did you know that Mr. Fawcett
 was maintaining protected material on a cloud platform called
- 24 Tresorit?
- 25 A. No.

1 Did you ever stop Mr. Fawcett's access to a cloud platform 2 called Tresorit? A. No. 3 4 THE COURT: Can I ask a clarifying question. 5 Dropbox account, was that a Kreindler & Kreindler account or 6 was that a Fawcett personal account? 7 THE WITNESS: I can't tell from the screenshot where that was coming from, whether it was from his personal account. 8 9 We did maintain an account for him. 10 THE COURT: You maintained a Dropbox account for him? THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't know from that 11 12 screenshot whether he had his own Dropbox account. 13 THE COURT: Understood. Thank you. 14 THE WITNESS: I can't say either way. It's possible. BY MR. SHEN: 15 Q. Sir, in the investigation that Mr. Maloney instructed you 16 to do pertaining to the breach, did you do any investigation of 17 Dropbox or Tresorit? 18 19 A. No. 20 MR. SHEN: I'm going to show an Exhibit 23, but before 21 I do that, I want to give Mr. Fawcett's counsel a chance to 22 comment on confidentiality. 23 THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. Shen, you said Exhibit 23? MR. SHEN: Yes, your Honor. 24 25 (Counsel confer)

- 1 MR. SHEN: Could we put up Exhibit 23, please.
- 2 | Q. This is a letter that counsel for Mr. Fawcett has written
- 3 to me. If we could show the second page, there is a discussion
- 4 of a Tresorit-encrypted storage platform. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes.

6

- Q. Do you even know what that Tresorit platform is?
- 7 A. I've never used it.
- 8 | Q. And you had no idea that Mr. Fawcett was using it?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | Q. Now, we discussed that confidential documents are also --
- 11 | can be saved on the email server if they're attachments to
- 12 | emails or they're discussed in the body of emails, correct?
- 13 A. Can you say that one more time. Repeat that.
- 14 | Q. Sure. Confidential, protected documents, they could also
- 15 | be attached to emails that are sent around. If they are, then
- 16 | they're saved on the email server, is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Now, part of your responsibilities is managing the
- 19 | Kreindler email system, is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Now, the Kreindler firm, in connection with the 9/11 cases,
- 22 | has hired a number of investigators, former FBI agents.
- 23 | They're all disclosed on the public record. Do you give
- 24 | Kreindler email accounts to those investigators?
- 25 A. No.

Hartney - Cross

- Q. All right. Now, you have administrative access to the email server, so you can search that server, is that right?
- 3 A. Correct.

8

9

10

12

13

14

- 4 Q. And you can monitor the email activity at the firm, right?
- 5 A. What do you mean by monitoring?
- Q. If you wanted to search for particular content on the email server, you could do that, right?
 - A. Yeah, I can search for content. I don't see content moving, watching it where it's going to and from, but I can do a search from where it's going to and from.
- 11 Q. Can we look at your declaration, 56F, again, paragraph 8.
 - Now, sir, in paragraph 8 of your declaration, you described the search of the Kreindler email system that you conducted, is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. You say that you searched for incoming and outgoing
 messages to three -- or four email addresses relating to Mike
 Isikoff from June 1, 2021, to August 1, 2021, is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Did you search for any deleted messages?
- 21 A. It would search -- my understanding was Office 365 that it 22 saves 30 days and stays under the purged directory.
- Q. So if a user manually deletes an email, it goes into a purge file and Office 365 will search that purge file and can locate that deleted email?

- 1 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 2 | Q. What if it's gone past 30 days?
- 3 A. Then I don't know.
- 4 | Q. Is that email gone forever?
- 5 A. I believe so, yes.
- 6 Q. All right. So you say that you conducted this search. The
- 7 search parameters were June 1 to August 1, 2021. That means
- 8 | that you necessarily conducted that search after August 1,
- 9 | right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. So if the user had deleted a document in June
- 12 and manually deleted that document, your search would not have
- 13 | located that document, is that right?
- 14 A. I should say I don't really know.
- 15 | Q. Did you search for emails that were saved on the hard
- 16 drives of any of the attorneys or staff?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Did you conduct a search of any home computers, laptops,
- 19 devices?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you search any text messages?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Phone logs?
- 24 A. Phone logs for what?
- 25 | Q. Did you search any phone records?

- Phone records, yes. 1 Α.
- You did search phone records? 2 Q.
- Phone records for certain phone calls, I -- yes. 3 Α.
- 4 Let me ask a clean question. Q.
- 5 Yes, not exactly sure. Α.
- 6 Did you look through Kreindler & Kreindler's phone records? Q.
- 7 I didn't personally look through Kreindler & Kreindler. Α.
- Did you pull them? 8 Q.
- I had them. I had the counsel for our -- our phone vendor, 9 Α.
- 10 our phone provider.
- 11 This was after Ms. Kirsch had been retained?
- 12 Α. Correct, yes, yes.
- Q. Correct. 13
- 14 But in connection with what you're describing as the investigation in your declaration, did you pull any phone
- records? 16

15

- 17 A. No, no, no.
- 18 Q. Now, if the user does not delete an email, does that email
- get purged automatically from the Kreindler system? 19
- 20 A. My understanding, we used a default Office 365, which is
- 21 30-day window.
- 22 Q. 30-day default?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 So if an email is in an inbox and it's now been 31 days,
- 25 that email is deleted off the server?

- 1 A. Oh, no, no. I misunderstood your question.
- 2 | Q. OK.
- 3 A. Nobody -- unless it's deleted by the user.
- 4 | Q. But is there an automatic purging of emails if the user
- 5 does not delete it?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. All right. So if someone had an email from five years ago
- 8 | that they didn't delete, it would still be in the inbox?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Now, paragraph 9, you describe another email search, is
- 11 | that right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. You say that you searched the email server for outgoing,
- 14 | incoming, saved, and deleted messages containing the names
- 15 | Jarrah or Isikoff or the name that the court reporter had given
- 16 to the transcript. You see that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And, again, the same search period -- well, strike that.
- 19 Did you do that after August 1, or did you do it
- 20 before?
- 21 A. Well, that's a good question. It had to be after August 1,
- 22 | I believe. I can't -- yeah, actually, yes, I can -- it was
- 23 done -- I've done multiple searches to make sure that it was a
- 24 | thorough investigation of our email system, and so I performed
- 25 | the searches two or three, maybe even four, five times. I had

- 1 redone that search either if it was before or after.
- 2 Q. You did that search several times?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. The same search, searching for Jarrah or searching for
- 5 | Isikoff or the name in the transcript?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. You did that several times, and you say that the only
- 8 | emails that resulted are attached at Exhibit 1 of your
- 9 declaration. You see that?
- 10 | A. Yeah.
- 11 | Q. That's in paragraph 10.
- 12 A. I see that.
- 13 Q. And you attached several emails in Exhibit 1. You see
- 14 | that?
- 15 A. I'm supposed to be looking at the exhibits, the emails
- 16 or --
- 17 | Q. Yes. Can we look at Exhibit 1, and we'll look in
- 18 particular at page 6. This is one of the emails that you cite
- 19 | in your declaration. You with me?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And this particular email is one from Jim Kreindler to Mark
- 22 | Seman of Yahoo! News, and it's discussing Mr. Kreindler's
- 23 | appearance on the Conspiracyland podcast. Do you see that?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And you see that Mr. Kreindler is actually sending the

- 1 | email from his personal device, right?
- 2 A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. It says "sent from my iPhone." You see that? You see
- 4 | that? You have to say yes or no.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Sir, you see that of all of the emails that you've attached
- 7 | in your exhibits, I will represent to you that this is the one
- 8 | earliest in time. This is the earliest email, June 28 of 2021.
- 9 Now, given the fact that Mr. Kreindler is being sent
- 10 details on when to appear on the *Conspiracyland* podcast, it
- 11 certainly stands to reason that he had earlier communications
- 12 | with Mr. Isikoff, right?
- MS. KIRSCH: I'm going to object to that. I'm not
- 14 | really sure why this witness should be opining on what the
- 15 pattern of communications should or should not have been.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 Q. Sir, did you search for any emails prior to June 28, after
- 18 you saw this email?
- 19 A. You're asking is this search --
- 20 | Q. Let me ask --
- 21 | A. -- June 1?
- 22 | Q. When you saw this email June 28 coming from
- 23 Mr. Kreindler's iPhone setting up an appointment to appear on
- 24 | the podcast, did anyone ask you to determine whether there were
- 25 | earlier communications?

Hartney - Cross

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. Sir, you never asked for Mr. Kreindler's personal devices
- 3 or his personal emails, is that right?
- 4 A. What do you mean by "personal email"?
- 5 | Q. Like if he has a Yahoo! account or Gmail account, you never
- 6 asked for access to those?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. And even after Ms. Kirsch got involved in this case, do you
- 9 know if Kreindler ever searched the personal emails of any of
- 10 | its attorneys?
- 11 A. Do I know? No, I don't know.
- 12 | Q. If you could look at page 13 of your declaration -- of the
- 13 exhibits to your declaration. Page 13 is an email from
- 14 Mr. Fawcett to Mr. Isikoff dated July 12, 2021, is that right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And this email attaches a privilege log, but there's no
- 17 | actual content in the body of the email, correct?
- 18 A. I believe that's true. I'm not sure. I can't remember.
- 19 THE COURT: Sir, can you put the microphone just
- 20 closer to you so the court reporter can hear you.
- 21 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 22 | Q. This email certainly suggests that there were earlier
- 23 communications with Mr. Fawcett. Did anyone ask you to search
- 24 | for those communications?
- 25 MS. KIRSCH: I'm sorry. I'm going to object to what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

Hartney - Cross

1 | this document does or doesn't imply.

THE COURT: OK. He can answer the question, though, as to whether or not anyone asked him to follow up. I'll accept your objection as to its implications, but Mr. Shen can ask the question.

- A. No.
- Q. Now, sir, because the firm has the ability to monitor its firm emails, it's certainly the case that if someone were to leak confidential information, it wouldn't make any sense to leak it over firm email, correct?
- 11 | A. It's my opinion you're asking?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. My opinion -- can you repeat the question.
- Q. Sure. The firm has the ability to monitor firm email. You testified to that, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, because it has that ability, if someone were to leak confidential information, it would make no sense to do that over firm email, correct?
 - MS. KIRSCH: I'm going to object to that. Who knows what monitoring there is or isn't? It's a ridiculous question for this witness.
- THE COURT: Sustained. You can ask a different question, please.
- 25 | Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your declaration discusses -- it

- 1 | states that all of the results of your searches are attached
- 2 to -- in Exhibit 1. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. The exact language is "the only" emails returned are in
- 5 | Exhibit 1. Do you see that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. If you could, there's a binder to your right. It might be
- 8 | easier to flip through that.
- 9 THE COURT: It's going to be the larger one, sir.
- 10 | I'll take this one.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Not that one?
- 12 | THE COURT: You're going to want that one.
- 13 A. OK. What number?
- 14 | Q. Now, sir, Exhibit 1, I'm going to represent to you, doesn't
- 15 contain any of the emails from the court reporter with the
- 16 | Jarrah transcript, and you can confirm that.
- 17 A. Where would I find it?
- 18 Q. 56F, please.
- 19 A. Can you -- which document are you talking about? Can you
- 20 | show me the document?
- 21 Q. Sure. It's 56F. It's Exhibit 1. It's on page 5.
- 22 | A. OK.
- 23 | Q. Behind page 5 are what you describe in your deposition as
- 24 | the only emails returned as a result of the searches you
- 25 conducted, and specifically, the searches you conducted are

Hartney - Cross

- described as a search of emails for Jarrah, Isikoff, or the
- 2 name of the transcript.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. All right. So you don't see any email from the court
- 5 reporter providing the transcript, correct?
- 6 A. Can you repeat that.
- 7 | Q. You don't see an email from the court reporter providing
- 8 | the transcript in Exhibit 1?
- 9 A. I'm not sure. I'm sorry. I'm not following you.
- 10 Q. All right. I'm going to make a representation to you.
- 11 Exhibit 1 does not contain any transmission from the court
- 12 reporter to anyone at the Kreindler firm containing the Jarrah
- 13 | transcript.
- 14 A. The court reporter?
- 15 | Q. The court reporter who actually took down the transcript of
- 16 the deposition and then sent the transcript to the Kreindler
- 17 | firm by email.
- 18 | A. So you're saying that there's -- I'm not following you.
- 19 | I'm sorry. Can you just --
- 20 Q. Sure. All right. Let's take a step back.
- 21 | A. OK.
- 22 | Q. Your declaration says that all of the emails that you
- 23 | located in your search are contained in Exhibit 1, right?
- 24 A. That's only one email.
- 25 | Q. Paragraph 10 says: "The only emails returned as a result

- 1 of the searches I conducted are in Exhibit 1." That's what it
- 2 says, right?
- 3 A. I don't think it's showing all the emails.
- 4 | Q. So Exhibit 1 does not contain all the emails that you
- 5 | located?
- 6 A. No, there's more emails than that.
- 7 | Q. Can you speak into the microphone.
- 8 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, there's more emails.
- 9 Q. There's more emails than are in Exhibit 1?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What happened to those emails?
- 12 A. I thought they were all part of the exhibits.
- 13 | Q. All right. But what we know is that the actual statement
- 14 | in paragraph 10 of your declaration is false?
- 15 A. I don't understand why all the other emails wouldn't be in
- 16 | there.
- 17 Q. OK.
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 | Q. Sir --
- 20 A. I don't think it's our --
- 21 | Q. Did you actually do a search through all of the emails for
- 22 | the name Jarrah or Isikoff?
- 23 | A. I don't recall the exact search. I think it would be both
- 24 one or the other, yes, not for both.
- 25 Q. One or the other?

Hartney - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. OK. The term "Jarrah," you would agree, would come up with
- 3 dozens and dozens and dozens of hits, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, I believe so, yes.
- 5 | Q. How many hits came up when you did that search?
- 6 A. I don't recall.
- 7 | Q. Did you provide those documents to Mr. Maloney?
- 8 A. The -- no, I reviewed them myself.
- 9 Q. You reviewed them yourself?
- 10 | A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. But what we know from your declaration is that when you
- 12 state that all of the results of your searches are listed in
- 13 | Exhibit 1, that's not a correct statement?
- 14 A. Well, for some reason, I don't -- well, the only emails
- 15 returned -- there is an email. So this is only one email. Are
- 16 | there two emails? There was a couple of emails between --
- 17 | THE COURT: Why don't you spend two minutes and just
- 18 | flip through.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 20 | THE COURT: That's OK. Because there are a handful of
- 21 | emails in that attachment. Why don't you spend just a minute
- 22 | to flip through it so you can see.
- 23 A. Oh, it's this part. I'm sorry, because I'm going back and
- 24 | forth to the screen.
- 25 So all this is part of Exhibit 1. In between here

- 1 | is -- I'm not understanding it. So everything in between --
- 2 | I'm sorry, all the emails are. I was thinking -- confused
- 3 because it came up to this document. Why is this --
- 4 Q. Take a minute to review the emails that are in Exhibit 1,
- 5 sir.
- 6 A. Okay. I thought Exhibit 1 ended here because it had this.
- 7 Yes, I didn't --
- 8 Q. OK.
- 9 A. -- I thought the exhibit list ended when it hit this letter
- 10 here.
- 11 | Q. All right. Sir, I've counted a total of ten emails. I can
- 12 make that representation to you, sir.
- 13 A. I wish there were more. Yes, these are all the emails.
- 14 Q. All right. Did you actually do a search for all emails for
- 15 | the term "Jarrah"?
- 16 A. Say that again. Sorry.
- 17 | Q. Did you actually do a search of the email server for the
- 18 term "Jarrah"?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. What did you do an email search of?
- 21 A. Sorry, emails. I'm sorry. When you said "search," I
- 22 | apologize, I thought you meant network search. Email server.
- 23 | Q. Did you do a search for just the term "Jarrah"?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And all of the searches that came up are in these ten

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 8670-1 Filed 10/25/22 Page 159 of 219 169 LB1H9115 Hartney - Cross emails attached as Exhibit 1? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Did you --Q. 4 I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Say that again. All the emails Α. 5 that had Jarrah in it? 6 O. Yes. 7 Are in these emails? Yes. 8 Q. 9 There would be more emails because it was discussed, yes. Α. 10 Q. How many more emails? 11 Α. I don't know. 12 Did you review those emails? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Was it hundreds of emails? 15 I don't remember. Α. Q. All right. But what we do know is that the statement in 16 17 your declaration saying all of the emails that hit upon your searches are contained in Exhibit 1, that's not a true 18 statement? 19 20 MS. KIRSCH: I'm sorry. 21 A. That's --

MS. KIRSCH: Could I have the question read back? I

think I have an objection. I don't think that states his

THE COURT: Can you read the question.

testimony, but I could be mistaken.

22

23

24

25

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 8670-1 Filed 10/25/22 Page 160 of 219 170 LB1H9115 Hartney - Cross (Record read) 1 2 MS. KIRSCH: That's fine. 3 THE COURT: OK. You can answer it. 4 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that again. I'm reading 5 what I wrote here. 6 (Record read) 7 A. No, all the searches -- no, the only -- they talk about -what I'm talking about is emails with the portion of the Jarrah 8 9 deposition transcript in it. 10 Q. That's not what your declaration says, sir. Paragraph 9 of your declaration says --11 A. I'm sorry. I'm looking at 10. I also searched -- I have 12 13 10 up on my --14 Q. Can we show paragraph 9. 15 Paragraph 9 says that you searched emails for Jarrah or Isikoff or the name of the transcript. 16 17 A. Yes. Q. Did you do that search? 18 Yes, I did that search. 19 Α. 20 All right. Were there hundreds of emails that came up with 21 just the term "Jarrah" in it? 22 Α. I can't recall. 23 There were more than ten, right? Ο.

And your Exhibit 1 attaches only ten emails?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

Hartney - Cross

- A. Because on my -- the only emails return results conducted are attached, because I was referring to that didn't have the
- 3 Jarrah deposition.
- 4 Q. Say that again, sir.
- A. I was referring to that didn't discuss -- that didn't have
- 6 any Jarrah deposition transcripts attached to them, so --
- 7 Q. You're only referring to the emails that didn't have Jarrah
- 8 deposition transcripts attached to them?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. SHEN: All right. Let's show Exhibit 31, please.
- 11 | Q. Exhibit 31 is an email that my colleague sent to a number
- 12 | of attorneys at the Kreindler firm. It has the term "Jarrah"
- 13 | in it. It's not attached as Exhibit 1 to your declaration.
- 14 A. No, but I was referring to anything that has to do with the
- 15 | Jarrah transcript. Any text of Jarrah transcript, that's what
- 16 | I was referring to.
- 17 | Q. Just so the record is clear, what is in Exhibit 1?
- 18 A. It's the emails. It is just the emails that are between
- 19 | Isikoff and Jim Kreindler.
- 20 Q. All right. Sir, we're going to move on from this point.
- 21 These paragraphs, were they drafted by Ms. Benett?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Did she pull together the documents for this declaration?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 | Q. All right. Are you aware, sir, that on July 21 my firm

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

- informed the Kreindler firm that we were aware of the leak and that we were going to move the Court for certain discovery pertaining to the leak?
 - A. Say that again. Can you?
 - Q. Were you aware, sir, that on July 21 my firm reached out to the Kreindler firm and told the Kreindler firm that we were aware of the leak of the transcript, and we were going to move the Court for certain relief pertaining to the leak?
 - A. No, I wasn't.
 - Q. Are you aware that the Kreindler firm wrote to the Court on July 27 and took the position that there should not be discovery?
- 13 | A. No.
- Q. On July 27 -- I'm not going to show you on the screen, but
 I will read it to you. It says that plaintiffs advised
 Saudi Arabia that each of the lead PEC firms had already
 conducted internal investigations into the handling of the
 Jarrah transcripts and communications with Mr. Isikoff. That
 was on July 27.

For the Court's reference, that's Exhibit 43.

When those representations were made by the Kreindler firm, you had not, in fact, done any search of the cloud-based server, correct? That was done on July 29, as we discussed?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. On July 27, you had not done any review of the Case Media

1 proprietary internal server, correct?

MS. KIRSCH: I'm sorry. What's the foundation for that question?

MR. SHEN: I'm asking, as of that date, whether Mr. Hartney had done the search.

MS. KIRSCH: Objection. I don't know what the foundation is for that date.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't --

THE COURT: The date is the date of a letter that was filed with the court. I believe the -- Mr. Shen is asking about a letter that was filed with the court by the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee on July 27, and I think Mr. Shen is asking about what investigation had been conducted at that point in time.

MS. KIRSCH: I understood Mr. Shen to say, Isn't it true that your investigation did not happen by July 27? which struck me as an inappropriate. We have no foundation for when Mr. Hartney's searches took place. That was the basis of my objection.

BY MR. SHEN:

- Q. Let me ask the question. July 27, the Kreindler firm writes to the court saying that the investigation is complete. As of that date, had you done an investigation of the Case Media internal proprietary server?
- 25 A. Only to the fact that we found that document in Case Media,

- 1 but no.
- 2 Q. OK. Only the fact that it was stored on that media server,
- 3 but no other investigation, correct?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 | Q. And as of that date, you knew that anyone at the Kreindler
- 6 | firm had access to everything on that server, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now, as of July 27, you knew that there were email
- 9 communications between Mr. Kreindler and Mr. Isikoff, as well
- 10 as email communications between Mr. Fawcett and Mr. Isikoff, is
- 11 | that right? Those are attached as Exhibit 1 to your
- 12 declaration.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you knew that some of those email communications came
- 15 | from Mr. Kreindler's personal device, correct? We saw --
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. -- that it was sent from his iPhone, and no one asked you
- 18 | to search for his iPhone, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Or his personal email?
- 21 A. That came up on the Kreindler email.
- 22 | Q. No one asked you to reach out to Jim Kreindler and provide
- 23 | his personal email, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. So it's certainly the case by July 27 that you could not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Hartney - Cross

- have concluded that no one at the Kreindler firm was the source of the leak, right?
 - A. Yeah, I believe so.
 - Q. Let's look at Exhibit 49. This is the August 30 order from the court. On page 2 of that order, it says that the Kreindler firm is required to provide a declaration from the head of the law firm's information technology group that should demonstrate that a forensic analysis was done to identify who accessed the

deposition transcripts and determine the dates of that access.

You see that?

- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. And you knew it was impossible for you to conduct any
- forensic analysis of who had actually accessed the Jarrah
- 14 | transcripts on the Case Media server, correct?
- 15 A. I was never asked to do one.
- 16 0. You were never asked to do one?
- 17 A. I don't recall, no. I mean, in the sense that we don't
- 18 keep any tracking of that information.
- 19 Q. All right. So no one asked you to do a forensic analysis,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Now, are you aware, sir, that on September 27 the Kreindler
- 23 | firm informed the court that John Fawcett had leaked the Jarrah
- 24 | transcript to Michael Isikoff?
- 25 A. Can you repeat that.

Hartney - Cross

- 1 Q. Are you aware that the Kreindler firm on September 27
- 2 | informed the court that John Fawcett had leaked the Jarrah
- 3 transcript to Michael Isikoff?
- 4 A. One more time. What date?
- 5 Q. September 27.
- 6 A. Correct, yes.
- 7 | Q. And after that disclosure, were you asked to conduct any
- 8 | forensic investigation of anybody's computer to determine
- 9 whether they knew of that leak or directed it?
- 10 | A. Was -- no.
- 11 Q. After that disclosure and before Ms. Kirsch got involved in
- 12 | October, were you asked to search personal devices, text
- messages, personal emails?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Were you asked to search phone records?
- 16 A. After the --
- 17 | Q. After the leak but before Ms. Kirsch got involved.
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. All right. So as you sit here today, you have no ability
- 20 | to conclude that no one at the Kreindler firm had directed the
- 21 | leak or had knowledge of it, correct?
- 22 | A. I was just directed to do what the --
- 23 | Q. And you just did what the attorneys told you?
- 24 A. Well, and we all discussed a -- a -- you know, a way of,
- 25 you know, doing searches on emails to see if it went out from

Hartney - Cross

- 1 | the Kreindler.
- 2 Q. You're referring to searches of the Kreindler email server?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And that's it, that's the only search you did, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

- THE COURT: I don't want to interrupt you, Mr. Shen, but I think, for the court reporter's sake, we're going to take a break at some point, but you tell me if you think you're getting close or if you want to take a break. I don't know how
- 11 MR. SHEN: Five to ten minutes, and I'll be done.
- 12 | THE COURT: OK. So let's finish this witness for you,
- and then we'll take a break and then Ms. Kirsch will go.
- 14 BY MR. SHEN:

much longer you've got.

- 15 | Q. If we could look at Mr. Fawcett's declaration, Exhibit 59,
- 16 | from September 30 and paragraph 3. Mr. Fawcett says -- first
- of all, have you seen this declaration before?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. No. So this is your first time looking at it?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Now, Mr. Fawcett says that "I privately communicated with
- 22 | Michael Isikoff several times between June 1 and August 1 of
- 23 | this year."
- 24 After September 27, did anyone ask you to look at the
- 25 | firm's phone records for Mr. Fawcett's communications with

- 1 Mr. Isikoff or with anyone else?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Was that after Ms. Kirsch got involved?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. But not before that, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Now, are you currently in the process of installing an
- 8 | internal proprietary server that actually restricts access to
- 9 | who can access the 9/11 materials?
- 10 A. Yes, that's in place.
- 11 | Q. It's already in place?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you did that after September 28, correct?
- 14 A. I can't recall the date I did it.
- 15 | Q. But it was after the disclosure of the leak?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And are you currently installing or have you installed a
- 18 | system that tracks who actually accessed proprietary material?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. All right. Are you aware that that type of software is
- 21 | routinely available and used by law firms to keep protected
- 22 | information actually protected?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Now, paragraph 7 of Mr. Fawcett's declaration says that he
- 25 used a ProtonMail account to send the transcript to

- 1 Mr. Isikoff. Do you see that?
- 2 | A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Anyone ever ask you to get Mr. Fawcett's ProtonMail
- 4 | account?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. And you never did any investigation of that ProtonMail
- 7 | account, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You never reached out to ProtonMail to determine whether
- 10 any of the messages Mr. Fawcett had sent to Mr. Isikoff were
- 11 | still available?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Did you ever, after the disclosure that Mr. Fawcett was the
- 14 source of the leak, seek the return of his home computer to the
- 15 | firm, his personal computer?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. No one asked you to do that?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Do you have any idea what protected and confidential
- 20 material is on that computer?
- 21 MS. KIRSCH: I'm going to object here. There's
- 22 | implications in these questions that are inappropriate.
- 23 Mr. Fawcett was an outside consultant. He had his own personal
- 24 | laptop. This line of questioning has no foundation and is not
- 25 | relevant, and it's misleading, as Mr. Shen well knows.

24

25

MR. SHEN: I'm asking the witness whether he 1 2 personally engaged in certain conduct, and the question here is 3 whether he sought the return of devices that held protected and confidential material. 4 5 MS. KIRSCH: And I object to the use of the word "return" because if it's his own personal computer, there's 6 7 nothing to return. It's a misleading question, and Mr. Shen knows it. 8 9 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You can 10 answer the question. 11 THE WITNESS: Say it again. 12 THE COURT: You can answer the question. 13 OK. Can you repeat the question? Α. 14 Yeah. Did you ever ask or did anyone ever ask you to get Q. Mr. Fawcett's laptop and inspect it? 15 16 Α. No. 17 Did anyone ever ask you to get Mr. Fawcett's cell phones Q. 18 and inspect it? 19 Α. No. 20 MR. SHEN: No further questions. Thank you very much. 21 THE COURT: OK. We'll take a very quick recess, and 22 we'll be back in five minutes. 23 (Recess)

Hartney - Redirect

- 1 THE COURT: Ms. Kirsch, you may proceed.
- 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MS. KIRSCH:
- 4 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hartney.
- 5 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Mr. Hartney, we just spent a fair amount of time talking
- 7 about the searches that you performed and what you were
- 8 directed to perform over the summer.
- 9 So there is a book that's not that big yellow one.
- 10 | It's a slightly smaller one that has tabs in it. We are going
- 11 to look at some of the documents in that book.
- 12 | A. Sure.
- 13 | Q. So let me turn your attention, to begin with, right to
- 14 Exhibit 2, tab 2. If you look down at the bottom, which is the
- 15 | first e-mail in this thread, it seems to be Mr. Maloney sending
- 16 you a note on July 21st saying "any questions?" Do you see
- 17 | that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then, if we go up to the next e-mail, you are reporting
- 20 on what it is you have done on the e-mails called "Jarrah
- 21 search." Do you see that?
- 22 A. Correct.

search?

- 23 | Q. Now, can you tell me what your search, looking at this
- 24 | e-mail, what did you do and what turned up as a result of this
- 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. It was a pretty broad search in the beginning. So it turned out a good number of hits, like I said, but I don't know exactly how many hits.
 - Q. I am going to ask you if you could please get a little closer to the microphone. That's just me personally. I don't hear so well.

I see the last line you write, "I did not see any e-mails going out of anyone's e-mail box with that particular transcript."

Do you remember why you wrote that line or what you meant by that?

- A. I meant that I didn't find the Jarrah transcript being e-mailed out by anybody at Kreindler.
- Q. Was that the first thing you were looking for as of July 21st?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Then the next two e-mails suggests that you and Mr. Maloney are looking to speak by phone. Do you recall that?
- 19 A. The conversation?
- 20 | O. Yes.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Do you remember what you discussed on that phone call?
- A. It was to narrow the search down with particular search terms and e-mail addresses.
- 25 | Q. Then, if you turn to Exhibit 4, this document appears to be

- 1 | a text message exchanged on July 22nd between you and Mr.
- 2 | Maloney. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And Mr. Maloney appears to say to you, "Please finish your
- 5 | second search on Isikoff ASAP and let me know tomorrow before
- 6 noon if possible. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you remember what that second search was on July 22nd
- 9 | that you were asked to perform?
- 10 A. The exact search, no. But it was for the e-mail -- adding
- 11 more e-mail addresses that Isikoff used and using the name of
- 12 | the deposition. It was a developing search criteria that we
- 13 were gathering each time we would find it.
- 14 | Q. So, prior to July 22nd, you had done a first immediate
- 15 | search that turned up some results, is that right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And then as more search terms became available, or more
- 18 | targeted search terms, you went back at Mr. Maloney's direction
- 19 | to do a second search, is that my understanding?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 \parallel Q. So, if you look then at tab 5, can you read me -- so this
- 22 | appears to be another text on July 22nd. Would you read that
- 23 | text message that you sent to Mr. Maloney here?
- 24 A. "Performed search on Isikoff name and e-mail address and
- 25 | found no one sent him the transcript. There was e-mail

- communication with Jim discussing lifting of the gag order and
 Isikoff writing an article."
- 3 Q. So, can you just tell us, reading that, what exactly you
- 4 had been asked to do and what that turned up?
- 5 A. That was -- we performed the search on Isikoff's name and additional e-mail addresses.
- 7 Q. And specifically what were you looking for with those 8 searches?
- 9 A. We were looking for whether the transcript was sent out by anybody in Kreindler.
- 11 Q. So, if you look now at tab 8, this is something that you,
- 12 Mr. Hartney, are forwarding to Mr. Maloney on July 22nd. Is
- 13 this one of the e-mails that you found in your search?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you were sending it to Mr. Maloney for his review?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you look at tab 9, Mr. Hartney, is this also an
- 18 e-mail that you had found by July 22nd responsive to your
- 19 search that you're sending to Mr. Maloney?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What does Mr. Maloney respond to you, up at the top?
- 22 A. "Thank you. Is this all there is?"
- 23 | Q. Do you remember if that was all there is?
- 24 A. I can't recall. I think there were some other e-mails.
- 25 Q. OK.

- 1 A. I can't recall. I'm sorry.
- 2 Q. If we turn to Exhibit 14, would you take a look at this
- 3 document Exhibit 14, and can you just tell me what it is,
- 4 please?
- A. This is the users that have access to the deposition files
- 6 that were shared on the shared file system.
- 7 Q. Can you walk me through this chart and tell me what it
- 8 | reflects? There's check boxes and names. Can you explain it
- 9 to the Court, please?
- 10 A. It's hard to see, but it looks like the first one is
- 11 | view/download. So any check mark would be, you would be able
- 12 to view or download the transcript.
- The other one is download alerts, that you would
- 14 receive an alert if anybody had downloaded any documents from
- 15 | the system.
- 16 | Q. Is it fair to say that these boxes reflect different
- 17 permissions or different actions?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. This was on the Citrix system?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: Which is the same or different from the
- 22 | cloud system?
- 23 | THE WITNESS: That is the cloud system.
- 24 THE COURT: That is the cloud system.
- 25 Q. Do you remember why you were asked to forward this

- 1 screenshot or this document to Mr. Maloney?
- 2 A. I think he initially wanted to -- he wanted to know who had access to these files.
- 4 Q. So you were performing one set of searches on the shared
- 5 | file and another set of searches through the servers, do I
- 6 understand that?
- 7 A. You mean the e-mail server?
- 8 Q. Correct.
- 9 A. We did one set of searches on the e-mail server, and we 10 reviewed the documents on the shared server, cloud server.
- 11 Q. So then let's turn to tab 20, please.
- If you look at the second e-mail here, this is dated

 July 29 from you to Mr. Maloney. Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Can you tell me what this e-mail represents?
- 16 A. This represents searches that were performed on these
- 17 users' mailboxes, and if anybody had e-mailed the Jarrah
- 18 deposition transcript outside of the office and inside.
- 19 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 20 A. And internally, too.
- 21 Q. So does this reflect the records of where the Jarrah
- 22 | transcript went by e-mail?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Did you discuss this summary document with Mr. Maloney at
- 25 | all or did you just forward it to him for him to do whatever

Hartney - Redirect

work he needed to do with it? 1 I forwarded it to him and he did the work. 2 Α. Q. Was that the case with most of the searches, you performed 3 4 the searches, provided the information to Mr. Maloney, and he took it from there? 5 A. Correct. 6 7 Q. Let's look at tab 34, if you would. THE COURT: Can I ask a clarifying question on this 8 9 document? 10 MS. KIRSCH: Yes. 11 THE COURT: I want to make sure that I understand. 12 On this document, if you can go back at what we were 13 looking at. 14 THE WITNESS: Which one is that? 15 THE COURT: 20. Where we just were. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm there. 17 THE COURT: Sometime before you sent this July 29th 18 e-mail, you performed searches on the e-mail boxes to see if anyone e-mailed the deposition transcript, and this list are 19 20 your results? 21 THE WITNESS: Right. 22 THE COURT: It indicates that Debra Pagan e-mailed it 23 to John Fawcett, is that correct? 24 THE WITNESS: Correct. 25 THE COURT: We also know that John Fawcett e-mailed it

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 8670-1 Filed 10/25/22 Page 178 of 219 188 LB189116 Hartney - Redirect to somebody, but we don't know who that is, is that correct? 1 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Then, are these e-mails part of Exhibit 1 3 4 of your declaration? THE WITNESS: These e-mails, I don't think they were 5 included. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Sorry. BY MS. KIRSCH: 8 Q. So, Mr. Hartney, let's turn to tab 34. 9 10 If you go down to the bottom there, there is an e-mail 11 on August 30th from Mr. Maloney to you that says, "Here is my first draft, please review for accuracy." 12 13 Do you know what he is referring to there, Mr. 14 Hartney? 15 A. My declaration. Q. Then, if you go up, the next day you write back to Mr. 16 17 Maloney, presumably after you have reviewed the declaration, and you say, "I would like to redo the searches on the 18 journalist e-mail addresses. I don't feel confident I searched 19 20 both e-mail addresses. Can you send me the two e-mails 21 addresses?"

22 Do you see that?

A. Yes.

23

24

25

Q. Do you recall sitting here today why you weren't feeling confident?

specifically the search of the Isikoff e-mails, and any other

2

- 1 A. Well, the court had ordered the declarations and
- 3 e-mails that he may be associated with. Then Duke sent me the
- 4 declaration and I didn't want to sign it until I was confident
- 5 enough. So I redid the searches and re-reviewed the searches
- 6 | just to be confident enough that what I was saying was truthful
- 7 in the declaration.
- 8 | Q. As you're getting ready to prepare this declaration on
- 9 August 31st, you are redoing the searches for the journalist's
- 10 e-mail addresses, Mr. Isikoff?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. But you didn't at this point redo the full panoply of
- 13 searches for the name Jarrah, correct?
- 14 A. I did everything.
- 15 | Q. You did everything?
- 16 A. Yeah. I did all the searches again and re-reviewed just to
- 17 | make sure that I wasn't missing anything.
- 18 Q. OK. And then when you're double-checking and doing
- 19 everything, you also looked to confirm with Mr. Maloney what
- 20 was the date range. Do you see that?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And then Mr. Maloney responds to you that the court
- 23 actually asked us to cover June 1 to August 1.
- Do you know what you did after you received Mr.
- 25 Maloney's e-mail there?

5

- A. I corrected the dates on the searches and reran them and re-reviewed all the results.
- Q. With these Isikoff e-mail addresses, did anything new turn up when you expanded the date range?
 - A. I don't recall. I don't think so.
- 6 Q. You don't recall or you don't think so?
- A. I think there was one e-mail to John Fawcett that talked about some privilege log. I don't know if I got that before the searches or after the searches. That would have been the
- 10 only thing I could think of.
- 11 Q. Now, if you can turn to Exhibit 66. The date of this
- 12 e-mail is September 26. And this is from Ms. Benett to you.
- 13 | She writes, "Just confirming. I need all incoming and outgoing
- 14 and in any delete folders of the following e-mail addresses
- 15 between June 1 and August 1:" And then there is a bunch of
- 16 e-mail addresses, four addresses for Mr. Isikoff. Do you see
- 17 | that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you rerun the search again at that time?
- 20 A. Yes. I would have reran the search, yes.
- 21 | Q. Do you know whether this turned up anything new that you
- 22 | hadn't found earlier?
- 23 A. No, it didn't.
- 24 | Q. It was the same?
- 25 A. The same, yeah.

Hartney - Redirect

- Q. But Ms. Benett is not asking you here for all of the e-mail
- 2 addresses that hit on the word "Jarrah," is she?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. And she is not asking you to rerun the searches that hit on
- 5 | the name of the transcript file?
- 6 A. No, she is not.
- 7 | Q. It's just the incoming and outgoing with Mr. Isikoff,
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. So let's take a look at Exhibit 87.
- If you look, your declaration, the September 27
- 12 declaration, begins, if you look at the bottom right-hand
- 13 corner, at 19 of 53.
- 14 | A. Wait. You said 87?
- 15 | Q. Yes. Tab 87, page 19 of 53.
- 16 | A. OK.
- 17 | Q. Do you see this is your declaration that you were just
- 18 | looking at with Mr. Shen a few minutes ago?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Now, if we look at the third page of your declaration,
- 21 which is actually page 21 of 53, I want to focus your attention
- 22 | for a minute on paragraphs 8, 9 and 10.
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. In light of the fact that we just looked at the e-mail
- 25 | where Ms. Benett, who was helping you with this declaration,

asked for all of the e-mails responsive to the search described in paragraph 8, do you think it's possible that there was an error and that paragraphs 9 and 10 are reversed? In other words, that paragraph 10 was intended to refer to the results of the searches described in paragraph 8 as opposed to the results of the searches described in paragraph 9?

Is that too confusing a question?

- A. No. I believe so. Because the only e-mail results found, it was my understanding, was the searches on the e-mail addresses. And that's what confused me.
- Q. So, despite what the words say, which is undoubtedly confusing here, was it your intention that you were attaching only the e-mails that hit on the Isikoff e-mail addresses, incoming and outgoing and deleted?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Hartney, something else came up. In connection with preparing your declaration with Ms. Benett, did Ms. Benett force you to sign anything that you thought was not truthful?

 A. No.
- Q. Can you tell me what your discussions with Ms. Benett about your declaration were?
- A. Basically, it was to describe where the location of the document was found on our internal network server, and also to determine where the location was of the transcripts that were classified, and searching of the e-mail.

Hartney - Redirect

- Q. Did Ms. Benett pressure you to write your declaration in any particular way that you were not comfortable with?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. Is it your opinion that Ms. Benett would ever pressure you
- 5 to do something you were not comfortable with?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you discuss the language with Ms. Benett and why things
- 8 | were drafted the way they were?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Did Ms. Benett provide you good explanations for any
- 11 questions that you had about the drafting?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 | Q. When you signed the declaration, were you comfortable that
- 14 | it was complete and accurate and not something that Ms. Benett
- 15 | was asking you to sign that you were not comfortable with?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | O. I would like to ask you also, there was a discussion about
- 18 a Dropbox account that Mr. Fawcett had?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you recall that that Dropbox account was assigned to Mr.
- 21 | Fawcett's Kreindler e-mail address?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And do you recall that when Mr. Fawcett's Kreindler e-mail
- 24 address was shut down, that you were comfortable that he would
- 25 no longer be able to access that Dropbox account?

LB189116 Hartney - Recross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. In fact, that's true, once he lost his e-mail credentials,
- 3 he could not access that Dropbox account on the screenshot we
- 4 were looking at, isn't that true?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- 6 MS. KIRSCH: I have no further questions.
- 7 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 MR. SHEN: Just a few questions, your Honor.
- 9 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. SHEN:
- 11 | Q. Mr. Hartney, you were asked questions about your
- 12 | declaration. And if you have it in front of you, our Exhibit
- 13 | 56F, but it's in the binder that you went over with Ms. Kirsch
- 14 as well.
- 15 You were asked questions about whether paragraph 9 and
- 16 | paragraph 10 may have been switched in your declaration. Do
- 17 | you recall that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Now, paragraph 10, if you move it up, that would describe
- 20 | the search reference in paragraph 8, right?
- 21 A. I believe so, yes.
- 22 | Q. And paragraph 9 describes a search for Jarrah, Isikoff, or
- 23 | the name of the Jarrah transcript, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Then there is no discussion at all as to what that search

LB189116 Hartney - Recross

- 1 | revealed in your declaration, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

- 3 Q. And that wouldn't make any sense for you to just put a
- 4 search in but not describe what it showed, right?
- 5 | A. I quess, yeah.
- 6 Q. Now, Ms. Kirsch asked you about the preparation of your
- 7 declaration and your discussions with Ms. Benett. Do you
- 8 | recall that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Let's look at Exhibit 115.
- 11 | A. You said 115?
- 12 | Q. It's on the screen in front of you as well.
- Now, Exhibit 115 is a document that's been
- 14 produced --
- 15 A. It's not on the screen.
- 16 | THE COURT: The witness's screen has gone black.
- 17 | Q. Do you have the document in front of you, Exhibit 115?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- 19 Q. Now, Exhibit 115 is an e-mail produced by the Kreindler
- 20 | firm just this week.
- 21 Sir, you see at the bottom e-mail from Ms. Benett,
- 22 | dated September 27, 2021, Ms. Benett is sending you a draft of
- 23 | your declaration. Do you see that?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And you write back to Ms. Benett on the 27th at 10:27 a.m.

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

1

2

- Q. And you identify an issue with the statement that the 3
- shared drive is for use only by the Kreindler attorneys and 4
- 5 staff involved in this litigation, right?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 And you actually highlight it in the e-mail, correct?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- And you tell Ms. Benett that, I don't think it is truthful, 9
- 10 correct?
- 11 Α. Correct.
- 12 Q. And that's because everyone at Kreindler has access to
- 13 everything on the Case Media server; that's what you write in
- 14 the e-mail, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Then Ms. Benett insists on not including that language in 16
- 17 the declaration, right? She doesn't want to tell the court
- 18 that everyone has access to everything, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. SHEN: No further questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 21
- 22 You may step down.
- 23 (Witness excused)
- 24 THE COURT: I think we should keep going because we
- 25 are taking a lot of time here. I would like to call our next

25

have somebody.

witness and press through at least for another 20 minutes. I 1 think we are going to start early tomorrow because I really 2 want to finish tomorrow, but let's call a witness now. 3 4 MR. SHEN: Saudi Arabia calls Andrew Maloney. 5 MS. KIRSCH: Does Mr. Hartney have to stay in the 6 courtroom per your order as the others do? 7 THE COURT: Any objection to Mr. Hartney being dismissed from the hearing for good? 8 9 MR. KELLOGG: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And he doesn't have to come back tomorrow? 11 MR. KELLOGG: No, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Hartney, thank you for your service. 13 You are excused and you don't have to return tomorrow. 14 My hope is we can do 20 to 30 minutes of this witness and then break and then start, hopefully, at 9 tomorrow 15 16 morning. 17 MR. SHEN: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: You may end up not being able to use the 19 monitor right now. 20 Unfortunately, there is not a HEPA filter and 21 therefore under our court protocols you need to keep your mask 22 on. Second, we are having some IT problems. Ordinarily the 23 screen in front of you might reveal a document. We are on the 24 phone trying to get someone to come up now so maybe we will

LB189116 Maloney - Cross

1 THE WITNESS: I will roll with it.

THE COURT: Until then, you have a very large binder to your right and Mr. Shen may ask you to look at exhibits in that binder.

ANDREW J. MALONEY III,

called as a witness by the defendants,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Andrew J. Maloney III.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. SHEN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 15 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Maloney.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- 17 Q. Mr. Maloney, you attended the Jarrah deposition on June 17
- 18 and June 18, correct?
- 19 A. Remotely, correct.
- 20 | Q. A number of your colleagues at the Kreindler firm attended
- 21 | as well, particularly, Steve Pounian, Megan Benett, and Jim
- 22 | Kreindler, those were the attorneys that attended?
- 23 A. I was not at their location, but I believe they were all on
- 24 | the line.
- 25 | Q. And a consultant for the firm named Catherine Hunt attended

- 1 | the deposition as well, correct?
- 2 A. I don't know. I don't remember seeing her on the screen so 3 I can't tell you.
- 4 | Q. I can represent to you that she is on the appearance sheet.
- If we had the screen working I can show you, but it is also at
- 6 Exhibit 32.
- Now, you know from attending the depositions that there is a real-time feed of the deposition transcript,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you can view that real-time feed on your own home
- 12 computer as you're watching the deposition, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And the link to that real-time feed, that's in a chat box
- of the Zoom application that we used for remote depositions,
- 16 || right?
- 17 A. I think there was a link in the chat box. When I did it, I
- 18 usually had a separate laptop that I would use the link to the
- 19 court reporters real-time, and I would use my other computer to
- 20 watch the screen of the witness or exhibits displayed. On the
- 21 | Jarrah deposition, I didn't do that because I was in Kansas
- 22 | City.
- 23 | Q. You were the individual who conducted the investigation of
- 24 | the leak at the Kreindler firm once the July 15 Isikoff article
- 25 | came out, right?

Maloney - Cross

1 | A. Yes.

- 2 | Q. Did you ever contact Catherine Hunt to interview her?
- 3 A. I did not. I don't believe she ever got a copy of the
- 4 | transcript.
- 5 | Q. You never asked her whether she downloaded the real-time
- 6 | transcript?
- 7 A. I would have known if she had downloaded the transcript. I
- 8 | didn't need to ask her.
- 9 \| Q. The real-time transcript?
- 10 A. I'm not aware that you can do that, but I did not ask her
- 11 that.
- 12 | Q. Now, sir, you read the July 15 Isikoff article when it came
- 13 | out, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you know that Mr. Isikoff stated that he had a copy of
- 16 | the Jarrah transcript, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And you know that that article also describes what happened
- 19 | at the Bayoumi and the Thumairy depositions as well, right?
- 20 | A. I don't recall specifically. There may have been a general
- 21 reference that we had deposed Bayoumi and Thumairy. I don't
- 22 know if there was a description.
- 23 | Q. Do you recall that there is a discussion about invocation
- 24 of the Vienna Convention privilege at the Jarrah transcript,
- 25 and then Mr. Isikoff said that, "The families' lawyers also got

24

25

Α.

Hold on.

Exhibit? Sorry.

an equally frustrating lack of response in their closed-door 1 video depositions last month with Thumairy and Bayoumi"? 2 3 MS. KIRSCH: I object. Mr. Maloney just said he doesn't recall the article verbatim. I think it would be 4 5 better to put the article in front of him. 6 MR. SHEN: I am just refreshing his recollection. 7 I don't recall the details of the article except for the reference to -- I remember the title was something about FBI 8 9 attempting to flip Mr. Jarrah. And I remember the thing that 10 stood out in my mind immediately was his reference to the transcript itself, that he claimed to have a copy of the 11 12 transcript itself. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Shen, can I interrupt you for one 14 second. We have AV here. Is it worth spending a minute fixing 15 it? Q. Let's look at Exhibit --16 17 THE COURT: Hold on. I think we need to have AV 18 interrupt you is the question. 19 Do you want to take a quick look? 20 Thank you so much. 21 BY MR. SHEN: 22 Exhibit 40 in front of you is the July 15 article by 23 Mr. Isikoff. If we could just scroll down --

- 1 Q. It's Exhibit 40.
- Do you recognize this, July 15, 2021?
- 3 A. Yes. I don't think I saw it in color, but yeah.
- 4 | Q. Now, sir, you said that what struck you about this article
- 5 | is that there is a reference to someone had given Mr. Isikoff
- 6 | the transcript, correct?
- 7 A. Yes. That he had obtained one, yes.
- 8 | Q. And you understood that to be a serious violation of the
- 9 protective orders in this case, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, at that time, had you had any discussions with
- 12 Mr. Isikoff?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Are you aware of any discussions that Mr. Pounian or Ms.
- 15 | Benett of your firm had with Mr. Isikoff?
- 16 A. Am I aware? I am not aware of any. I think that I at one
- 17 | time would have asked him, and I was told no.
- 18 Q. Now, you're certainly aware that Mr. Kreindler had
- 19 discussions with Mr. Isikoff, correct?
- 20 A. He has had discussions with him at various times over the
- 21 | last few years, as well as probably two dozen other
- 22 | journalists.
- 23 | Q. But he has known Mr. Isikoff for a long time and he
- 24 | frequently speaks with him, right?
- 25 A. I would not characterize it that way. I don't think that's

- 1 | accurate.
- Q. You knew that Mr. Kreindler had spoken to Mr. Isikoff over
- 3 a number of years, correct?
- 4 A. Well, as I said, Mr. Kreindler spoke to a number of
- 5 | journalists, including Mr. Isikoff.
- 6 Q. That's not my question. My question is, did you know that
- 7 Mr. Kreindler was speaking with Mr. Isikoff over a number of
- 8 | years?
- 9 A. I would say, no, I didn't know that. I know from time to
- 10 | time he spoke to him. If you are asking me the first time I
- 11 became aware he spoke to him, was it a few years earlier,
- 12 possibly.
- 13 | Q. Look at Exhibit 79 in your binder, please.
- 14 || Sir, on page 2 --
- 15 A. Hold on.
- 16 I'm with you.
- 17 | Q. Sir, on page 2 of this article about Mr. Isikoff, he
- 18 describes an interview that James Kreindler gave to him. Do
- 19 you see that?
- 20 A. Two thirds of the way down? Yes.
- 21 | Q. And this is, for the record, a March 1, 2021 article.
- 22 Were you aware that Mr. Kreindler had spoken to
- 23 Mr. Isikoff in connection with this article?
- 24 A. I can't recall.
- 25 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Kreindler had appeared on the

- 1 | Conspiracyland podcast?
- 2 A. I was not aware at the time. I found out when we did our
- 3 | investigation of the leak.
- 4 | Q. Did you become aware on or about July 15 right after the
- 5 | Isikoff article came out?
- 6 A. I don't think it was day one, but it was within the first
- 7 | two weeks, probably. There are numerous searches that we did
- 8 during the course of the investigation. The first one was to
- 9 see if there was any transmission of the Jarrah transcript to
- 10 Mr. Isikoff or anyone at Yahoo News. That's what we looked for
- 11 | initially and then we expanded the search later.
- 12 | Q. Just so I understand your testimony, when the July 15
- 13 | Isikoff article came out, you never asked Mr. Kreindler whether
- 14 he had been in recent contact with Mr. Isikoff?
- 15 | A. I asked him if he knew anything about the transcript that
- 16 Mr. Isikoff referred to in the article, and he told me he had
- 17 | no idea.
- 18 | Q. But you never asked him whether he had been in contact with
- 19 Mr. Isikoff?
- 20 | A. I don't think I put it that way. I was interested in
- 21 whether or not he knew anything about the transcript getting to
- 22 | Isikoff.
- 23 | Q. I am just asking whether you asked him whether he had been
- 24 | in contact with Mr. Isikoff. Did you ask him that question?
- 25 A. Not on the first day, no.

- 1 | Q. When did you ask him that question, if at all?
- 2 A. Probably within my first week or two of the investigation.
- 3 | Q. Do you specifically recall that?
- 4 A. I do because we found, when I had the IT, head of IT John
- 5 Hartney do the search, we eventually found e-mails from Mr.
- 6 Kreindler to Mr. Isikoff. So I asked him about those.
- 7 Q. So, when you found out that Mr. Kreindler had appeared on
- 8 | the Isikoff podcast, did you listen to that podcast?
- 9 A. No, I did not.
- 10 | Q. Have you listened to it to this day?
- 11 A. I have not.
- 12 | Q. You don't know what Mr. Kreindler said on that podcast?
- 13 | A. I do not.
- 14 | Q. Now, were you aware that Ms. Hunt also had contacts with
- 15 Mr. Isikoff?
- 16 A. I can't recall that. It's possible I knew at one time, but
- 17 | I don't recall as I sit here.
- 18 Q. Do you know that Ms. Hunt appeared on the exact same
- 19 podcast that Mr. Kreindler appeared on with Mr. Isikoff?
- 20 | A. I was not aware, but I knew that Ali Soufan had appeared,
- 21 | or at least that's what the e-mail traffic showed.
- 22 | Q. Let's look at Exhibit 38, please.
- 23 Exhibit 38 is a printout of the specific
- 24 | Conspiracyland podcast. You will see it describes who appears
- 25 on this particular podcast. You see that Ms. Catherine Hunt

5

- 1 | and Jim Kreindler appeared on it?
- 2 | A. Are you in -- I am trying to find it here.
- 3 THE COURT: At the bottom in the black box.
- 4 | Q. It's the second-to-last line in the black box, sir.
 - A. Yes, I see her name there.
- 6 | Q. And sitting here today, this is the first time that you are
- 7 | realizing that Catherine Hunt, an investigator for your firm,
- 8 appeared on the same podcast that Mr. Kreindler appeared on?
- 9 A. Yeah. I had never seen this document before.
- 10 | Q. It's not something that you discovered in the course of
- 11 your, quote, investigation here?
- 12 | A. No. It wasn't relevant to the investigation.
- 13 | Q. You never spoke to Ms. Hunt, you never asked whether she
- 14 | had any discussions with Mr. Isikoff about the Jarrah
- 15 | transcript?
- 16 A. I did not.
- 17 | Q. Now, when you first saw the July 15 article by Mr. Isikoff,
- 18 were you aware that Mr. Fawcett had also had contacts with
- 19 Mr. Isikoff?
- 20 A. On July 15 was I aware? No.
- 21 \square Q. On or about July 15.
- 22 A. On or about could mean a lot of things.
- 23 | Q. July 15 or prior, did you know that Mr. Fawcett was talking
- 24 | with Mr. Isikoff?
- 25 A. I did not.

- Q. On or around July 15, did you ask Mr. Kreindler if he knew whether Mr. Fawcett was in discussions with Mr. Isikoff?
- 3 A. I don't recall. If I did, it probably wasn't July 15. It
- 4 was probably within the first two weeks when we got more
- 5 | information.
- 6 Q. Did you ever ask that question?
- 7 A. I may have asked that question, but I don't recall.
- 8 | Q. You don't remember one way or the other?
- 9 | A. I don't.
- 10 | Q. When, to the best of your recollection, when is the first
- 11 | time you learned that Mr. Fawcett had contacts with
- 12 Mr. Isikoff?
- 13 A. I think sometime late July, I want to say between July 22
- 14 and 29, Mr. Hartney told me about e-mails from Mr. Kreindler,
- 15 | to and from Mr. Kreindler and Mr. Isikoff, and one from John
- 16 | Fawcett, and naturally I asked him, did it have anything about
- 17 | Jarrah? And he said no. I later asked to see a copy of each
- 18 | and every one of those e-mails, and there was no mention of
- 19 | Jarrah or the Jarrah transcript.
- 20 | Q. So, the first time you actually learned Mr. Fawcett was in
- 21 communications with Mr. Isikoff is after Mr. Hartney did the
- 22 | e-mail searches that you directed him to do?
- 23 | A. Well, if you're asking for my memory today, it's the first
- 24 | time I remember, certainly in connection with this. If he had
- 25 spoken with Mr. Jarrah a year or two before, I wouldn't

2

Maloney - Cross

1 | necessarily remember that even if I knew it at the time.

THE COURT: You mean Mr. Isikoff.

- 3 A. I wasn't keeping track of every person who spoke to every
- 4 | journalist necessarily. It's possible I knew he spoke to or
- 5 | had contact with Mr. Isikoff at some other time, but not as I
- 6 remember it in July.
- 7 Q. You knew that Mr. Kreindler and Mr. Fawcett were speaking
- 8 | with dozens of journalists concerning 9/11?
- 9 A. I don't think Mr. Fawcett was. I knew Mr. Kreindler was.
- 10 | I was as well.
- 11 Q. Did you know that Mr. Fawcett was also speaking to
- 12 | journalists?
- 13 A. No. I should say I know from time to time he was asked to
- 14 provide a nonconfidential document or something. We often
- 15 asked Mr. Fawcett to provide us information, and it's possible
- on occasions I knew that he had said something to a person we
- 17 | had asked him to do or something like that. It was obviously
- 18 clear every time we always checked to make sure it was not
- 19 confidential or protected material.
- 20 Q. So, Mr. Kreindler and yourself, you're the face of the firm
- 21 | and you speak to the press about the 9/11 case, right?
- 22 A. I do on occasion.
- 23 Q. And when you need to provide documents to the press, you
- 24 | enlist Mr. Fawcett to provide those documents, right?
- 25 A. Yeah. Usually I would have him give me the document. I

Maloney - Cross

- would look it over and determine whether it is something we can make available.
- Q. Before Mr. Fawcett actually provides the document, he seeks the approval of the attorneys at the firm?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Now, you're certainly aware of Mr. Kreindler's history of violating the protective order in this case, right?

MS. KIRSCH: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You can answer it.

- 11 A. I am aware of the Dartmouth speech. I think I was actually
 12 in the court when Judge Netburn admonished him for saying
- 13 something.
- Q. You're also aware he violated the protective order in
- connection with the 2017 Politico article by Caleb Hanan. Are
- 16 you aware of that?
- 17 A. Vaguely. I do remember some of that. I don't remember the details.
- Q. So, you're aware of multiple times that Mr. Kreindler had violated the protective order, correct?
- 21 MS. KIRSCH: I am going to object. That misstates the record.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 Continue.
- 25 | Q. Sir, you're aware and you have heard Mr. Kreindler express

- 1 his disdain for the protective orders in this case, right?
- 2 A. I have heard many people say that, including Mr. Kreindler.
- 3 | Q. You have heard him call the protective orders damn gag
- 4 orders?
- 5 A. Yeah, I have probably heard him say that. I have heard
- 6 that from almost every one of our clients.
- 7 Q. You heard him say those orders are disgusting, you have
- 8 | heard that, right?
- 9 A. I never heard it. I think I read that in one of the
- 10 articles.
- 11 | Q. You have heard him say that he hates the protective orders
- 12 | in this case?
- 13 A. We all do, every client and every lawyer on the plaintiffs'
- 14 side.
- 15 | Q. So every lawyer on the Kreindler side, yourself included,
- 16 Ms. Benett, who is sitting at counsel table, you hate the
- 17 protective orders that the court has entered in this case. Is
- 18 | that your testimony?
- 19 A. My testimony is that I can tell you I have never been
- 20 | involved in a case where everything is kept secret from our own
- 21 | clients.
- 22 | Q. You just testified that you and all the lawyers at the
- 23 | Kreindler firm hate the protective orders, right?
- 24 A. That was your words, Mr. Shen.
- 25 MS. KIRSCH: I am going do object. That misstates his

LB189116 Maloney - Cross

1 testimony.

Q. Sir, when the July 15 --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ SHEN: I am going to move on and withdraw the question.

Q. Sir, when the July 15 Isikoff article came out and it stated that he had a copy of the Jarrah transcript, which was leaked to him, were you concerned, given Mr. Kreindler's extensive violations of the protective order and his disdain for the protective order, that he was the source of the leak?

MS. KIRSCH: I would like to object one more time. I don't know how many times Mr. Shen is going to misstate the record. I don't know what he is talking about with "extensive violations," but it makes a very messy record to bake that into a question that Mr. Maloney could possibly otherwise answer.

MR. SHEN: Mr. Maloney testified to two violations of the protective order, the Dartmouth speech and the 2017 order.

A. I didn't say they were violations of the protective order.

- I said I was aware of two or three occasions where that issue was discussed.
- Q. Now, sir, given those issues and given the disdain that Mr. Kreindler has expressed with the protective order, were you concerned at the time that he was the source of the leak?
- A. I was not.
- Q. Did you have any concern that anyone at Kreindler was the source of the leak?

- A. I was not. I asked everyone and then I did my own investigation to confirm that.
- 3 Q. But before you conducted the investigation, were you
- 4 confident that Kreindler wasn't the source of the leak?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. So, before doing anything, in your mind, you said it couldn't have come from this firm, correct?
- A. In my own mind, before I spoke to him, I would have been very surprised if it came from the firm. Then I started to ask people that had access to the transcript and I was told they had nothing to do with it and didn't know anything about. And
- 12 then I started the e-mail investigation with IT.
- 13 Q. You submitted two declarations in this case?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. There is the August 16 declaration that's at 48.C in the binder.
- 17 \parallel A. I recall.
- Q. The September 27 declaration, for the record, that's at Exhibit 56B.
- Now, you're aware, sir, that the August 16 declaration in this case, it doesn't describe any investigation that was conducted, right?
- 23 A. We were not asked by the court to describe it. We gave the court what the court asked for.
- 25 | Q. So, after the leak occurred, you took the initiative to do

LB189116 Maloney - Cross

- 1 | the investigation?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. That was you and Mr. Hartney?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Anyone else involved in that investigation?
- 6 A. I don't think so. I asked everybody else. I told
- 7 | everybody else what I was doing after I had begun it. I don't
- 8 | think they were involved. I think Ms. Benett later got
- 9 | involved when we did another sweep, probably a triple check,
- 10 | I'd say, much later in the process. But the first month it was
- 11 myself and Mr. Hartney.
- 12 | Q. So Ms. Benett might have gotten involved when you were
- 13 submitting the September 27 declaration, but certainly not the
- 14 | August 16 declaration?
- 15 A. I'm not sure when she got involved, but I know the initial
- 16 part of the investigation, for the first few weeks, was just
- 17 | myself and Mr. Hartney.
- 18 Q. Let's look at the actual declaration, 56B.
- 19 Let's look at paragraph 4.
- 20 A. Paragraph 4?
- 21 Q. Paragraph 4.
- 22 A. Yes. My declaration.
- 23 | Q. It says that "I learned on July 15," and it talks about the
- 24 | article, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Then it says, "That day I discussed the article with others
- 2 at Kreindler working on the 9/11 litigation." Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And who did you actually discuss that article with on the
- 5 | 15th?
- 6 A. I believe Jim Kreindler, Steve Pounian, possibly Megan
- 7 Benett and John Fawcett, and I think that was it, and myself.
- 8 Q. Did you discuss it with them in person?
- 9 A. No. I did discuss it with Mr. Fawcett in person because I
- 10 | think he was in the office and I was in the office that day,
- 11 and I think the others were not in the office that day.
- 12 | Q. Did you say you did discuss it with Mr. Fawcett in person?
- 13 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 14 | Q. And the two of you were the only ones in the office that
- 15 | day?
- 16 A. No. But in terms of the terror group that had access, he
- 17 | and I were in there. I don't think anybody else was. It's
- 18 possible Ms. Benett was, but I don't recall speaking to her in
- 19 person, but I remember we had a phone call.
- 20 | Q. You don't recall Mr. Pounian being there in person?
- 21 A. I don't believe he was, but I can't recall. I don't
- 22 | believe he was.
- 23 | Q. You don't recall Mr. Kreindler being there in person?
- 24 A. He was not.
- 25 | Q. Now, in these discussions with your colleagues at the

- 1 | Kreindler group -- and these were the core members of the 9/11
- 2 | team, right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. So Mr. Fawcett is included in that core group that
- 5 | litigates this case?
- 6 A. He is not a lawyer litigating it, but he is part of the
- 7 | team, yes.
- 8 | Q. He is the only nonlawyer in that group, right?
- 9 A. Well, no, we have other nonlawyers that are part of the
- 10 | team. I think they have been identified, and we have
- 11 paralegals, and we have a lot of consultants and investigators.
- 12 | Q. My question to you is just Mr. Fawcett was a core member of
- 13 | the 9/11 team?
- 14 A. Can you define core?
- 15 | Q. Key member, very important member.
- 16 A. Certainly.
- 17 | Q. Now, in these discussions on July 15, did you ask whether
- 18 the other core members of your team had discussions with
- 19 | Michael Isikoff?
- 20 | A. I asked if any of them knew anything about how Mr. Isikoff
- 21 got the Jarrah transcript.
- 22 | Q. If you could answer my question, please.
- 23 A. I think I did.
- 24 | Q. Did you ask whether they had contact with Mr. Isikoff?
- 25 A. I didn't put the question that way. I asked them the way I

- 1 | just told you.
- Q. You asked each member of the team, is that your testimony?
- 3 A. We had a conversation about it.
- 4 Q. You asked Ms. Benett, for instance?
- 5 A. I think on the call I said, does anybody know how
- 6 Mr. Isikoff got a copy of the Jarrah transcript? Everyone
- 7 said, No, no idea. I have no idea how he got it, it didn't
- 8 come from me, words to that effect.
- 9 Q. You posed a question to the entire group and you got
- 10 | negative responses in general?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. But you never sat down one-on-one with each of the lawyers
- 13 and asked them?
- 14 A. On that first day I didn't. We had multiple conversations.
- 15 | Q. Sir, did you ever do that?
- 16 A. Face-to-face?
- 17 | Q. One-on-one, face-to-face.
- 18 A. With several of them I did. Some of them it was, again,
- 19 phone calls. Mr. Kreindler in particular was probably phone
- 20 calls. Ms. Benett was probably in person at one time or
- 21 another. Mr. Pounian in person at one time or another. Mr.
- 22 | Fawcett. Probably the only one I didn't have a face-to-face
- 23 | with was Mr. Kreindler.
- 24 | Q. So I understand your testimony, you sat down face-to-face
- 25 | with Mr. Fawcett, asked him whether he leaked the transcript to

7

- 1 Mr. Isikoff, and he told you he had not?
- 2 A. Correct. I didn't sit down; I was standing.
- 3 | Q. So he lied to your face?
- 4 A. He did not tell me the truth.
- 5 | Q. That's lying to you, right?
- 6 A. Yeah. He didn't tell me the truth.
 - Q. How many times did he lie to you about that?
- A. Throughout the next several weeks it was a topic of
 conversation for all of us. At one point I asked him, and
 everyone else, if you didn't send it to Mr. Isikoff, did you
- 11 send it to anybody? So I asked that of Mr. Fawcett.
- 12 | Q. And he said he didn't send it to anybody?
- 13 | A. That's not correct.
- 14 | Q. What did he say?
- 15 A. He said he sent it to one of our consultants who is
- 16 | identified as Consultant-1. He told me that either the first
- 17 day or second.
- 18 Q. How many times did he tell you that he did not send the
- 19 | transcript to Mr. Isikoff?
- 20 | A. Well, I would say, it depends on how -- if you want those
- 21 | exact words, probably only once. But there was certainly a
- 22 | clear indication when I said, did you send it to anyone or
- 23 | anyone else, or things like that, and the answers I got to me
- 24 were consistent that he was claiming he didn't send it to
- 25 | Isikoff or didn't know how Isikoff got it. So it wasn't quite

LB189116 Maloney - Cross the same words that you used, but it was the same conclusion. Q. Each and every one of those interactions you had with him he lied to you, is that right? A. Yeah. He wasn't candid. He didn't tell me or anyone, to my knowledge, that he had sent Mr. Isikoff the Jarrah transcript. (Continued on next page)

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. All right. Let's look at paragraph 5 of your declaration, sir.

 THE COURT: Mr. Shen, let's just be sensitive to the
 - THE COURT: Mr. Shen, let's just be sensitive to the time, when you think it's an appropriate time to take a break for the day.
 - MR. SHEN: Whenever you want. I don't have a watch.
- 7 THE WITNESS: They took mine at security.
 - THE COURT: It's ten past 5:00. You want to go for another five or ten minutes, or you want to wrap up?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I'd rather go as long as Mr. Shen
 11 and the --
- 12 | THE COURT: I know, but we have the court reporters.
- MR. SHEN: Let's go for another five minutes or so, and then we'll wrap up.
- 15 THE COURT: OK. Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. SHEN:
- 17 Q. Paragraph 5 of your declaration discusses conferring with
- 18 Mr. Hartney on July 16. Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And, sir, you're a former prosecutor?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. At the S.D.N.Y.?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. So you have experience investigating these types of issues?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you understood here that the goal was to conduct an objective and comprehensive investigation to determine whether anyone at the Kreindler firm was the source of the leak, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And if someone was, in fact, the source, the goal was to investigate whether anyone had knowledge of it or directed
- 7 Mr. -- or whoever did it to do it, right?
- 8 | A. Well, yeah, that would be part of the investigation.
- 9 Q. OK. Now let's look at Exhibit 100, please.
- 10 A. My copy, the print is extremely small, Mr. Shen.
- 12 Q. I apologize for that. That's just the way it was produced to us. Is your screen working?
- | co us. 15 your screen
- 13 | A. Nope.
- 14 Q. All right. Now, on Exhibit 100, this is an email chain
- 15 between you and Mr. Hartney. Do you see that? And it's on
- 16 | July 16?
- 17 A. I have -- there's a couple email strands here. So there's
- one on June 28 and then there's a July 16 and a September 24.
- 19 | Q. Let's put it in context.
- The June 28, that is an email from the court reporter forwarding on the transcript for the Jarrah deposition, right?
- 22 A. Yes, correct.
- 23 Q. And then on July 16, in the bottom paragraph, you're asking
- 24 Mr. Hartney to do a review, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Specifically, you're asking him if it's possible to do a search of the outgoing emails on the K and K -- that's
- 3 Kreindler & Kreindler, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- server on June 28 that contains the Jarrah transcript.
- 6 And then you say you can limit that search, if you'd like, to
- 7 | the following individuals, and it lists eight individuals. Do
- 8 you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And that's the search that you're describing in paragraph 5
- of your declaration when you say: On July 16, I conferred with
- 12 Mr. Hartney and asked him to do a search, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. OK. This particular search, you asked him to do just a
- 15 search of the outgoing emails, not a search of the entire email
- 16 server, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- I should point out, to your last question on my
- 19 declaration, which is August 16, we did subsequent searches
- 20 after this initial search.
- 21 | Q. We'll get there.
- 22 | A. OK. I didn't want -- I didn't want you to be confused or
- 23 | have the record confused that this was the only search I had
- 24 | him do, but the one to begin the search on July 16, that was
- 25 what I sent to him.

Maloney - Cross

- 1 Q. You're asking him to do a search only of outgoing emails.
- 2 You're not asking him to search for incoming emails, right?
 - A. Correct.

3

- 4 Q. And you're asking him to do a search only of particular
- 5 custodians, not of everybody at Kreindler & Kreindler, right?
- A. Yeah, nobody else had access to the transcript that I was
- 7 aware of at Kreindler.
- 8 Q. OK. Sir, do you know, for instance -- well, strike that.
- 9 You're not asking him to search for any personal
- 10 devices, personal laptops, personal email accounts, none of
- 11 | that, right?
- 12 A. No. I think the email server at the firm would have
- 13 detected if somebody sent an email to --
- 14 | O. From a firm email?
- 15 A. From a firm email, yeah.
- 16 Q. But not from a personal email?
- 17 | A. You asked me about telephones. I don't know how -- I'm not
- 18 | tech savvy, but I don't think you can do that.
- 19 Q. My question to you is just did you direct Mr. Hartney to
- 20 search personal --
- 21 A. Personal.
- 22 | Q. -- laptops?
- 23 A. Of everybody at the firm or everybody on the terror team?
- 24 Q. Yes, sir.
- 25 A. No.

Maloney - Cross

- 1 Q. And you never asked him to do that, right?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 84.

Now, you discussed, Mr. Maloney, that there were some subsequent searches that you asked Mr. Hartney to do, right?

A. Yes.

6

7

8

- Q. This is an email on July 21 to you and the "terror team," right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. So it discusses two searches. The first email talks about the outgoing email boxes for the Jarrah transcripts. That's
- 12 | what we saw you asked him to do on July 16, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then you asked him to search for any emails to Mike
- 15 Isikoff in the last month, and he says he'll finish that search
- 16 tomorrow, right, so by the 22nd?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. And, again, in this second search, this is just
- 19 of the firm email server, right? This isn't any personal
- 20 | emails or personal devices?
- 21 A. I had no reason to believe that anybody used a personal
- 22 device to communicate with Mr. Isikoff.
- 23 | O. OK.
- 24 A. And certainly not to be able to export a transcript.
- 25 | Q. You know, sir, that the firm email accounts are monitored,

- 1 | right? The IT department has the ability to search them?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. You're actually asking the IT department to search them
- 4 here?
- 5 A. That's exactly what I was doing.
- Q. If someone were to leak the transcript and didn't want to get caught, wouldn't it stand to reason they would do so over a
- 8 personal account and not firm account?
- 9 MS. KIRSCH: Objection.
- 10 Q. Asking for your opinion.
- 11 A. You're asking me to speculate in terms of what I believed.
- 12 | Q. Yeah. I'm not asking you to speculate. I'm asking what
- 13 you believe.
- 14 A. Ask your question.
- 15 | Q. So you know the email accounts at the firm are monitored?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. People can search them, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. If someone wanted to leak the transcript, doesn't it stand
- 20 to reason that they wouldn't do it over a medium that could be
- 21 | easily monitored and discovered?
- 22 | A. Listen, if somebody had access to the transcript and wanted
- 23 | to hide the fact that they were going to leak it, I guess there
- 24 | are -- there may be infinite ways to do it, but, typically, I
- 25 would have thought if they -- the transcript was only available

- 1 on the Kreindler server, they would email it to themselves,
- 2 | maybe their laptop or a different email address, and we would
- 3 have picked that up.
- 4 | Q. When you say "different email address," you mean a
- 5 | different email address, personal email address?
- 6 A. Any email address we would have picked up.
- 7 | Q. And, sir, we discussed this, but you're a former federal
- 8 prosecutor, right?
- 9 A. Yes, you've asked me that.
- 10 | Q. You know how to do these investigations?
- 11 A. I've never investigated a leak, but I've done plenty of
- 12 investigations.
- 13 | Q. And as a former prosecutor, in your mind, it wasn't
- 14 reasonable for you to conclude that you needed to search the
- 15 personal emails and the personal devices of the terror team and
- 16 anyone else who had access?
- 17 | A. That's not a reasonable request, no.
- 18 Q. OK. Now let's look at Exhibit 86. 86 is a text message
- 19 between you and Mr. Hartney, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And it's dated July 22, 2021, right?
- 22 A. Yep.
- 23 Q. So you're communicating with Mr. Hartney over text message,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. On your personal device?
- 2 | A. Yeah.
- 3 | Q. Not over firm emails. Your firm email search wouldn't pick
- 4 | this up, right?
- 5 A. No, the firm email would not pick this up, no.
- 6 Q. And you actually do business over text. You text a lot of
- 7 | people for work, right?
- 8 A. Not very many. There's only basically office people, and
- 9 | it's usually if I'm not in the office and I'm not at my
- 10 desktop. If, let's say, I'm somewhere else, I may text them to
- 11 get their attention.
- 12 | Q. But you're doing that here, right?
- 13 A. Yeah, I did that here.
- 14 | Q. And this is a message that would not have been found
- 15 | through a search of the email server, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. All right. And Mr. Hartney's actually telling you what the
- 18 results of his search are in this text message, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And he says that, well, we found communications between
- 21 Mr. Kreindler and Mr. Isikoff discussing "lifting of gag order
- 22 and Isikoff writing an article, "right?
- 23 A. Yeah, I see that.
- 24 | Q. So at this point, July 22, you know for sure that
- 25 Mr. Kreindler and Mr. Isikoff are having discussions, right?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Maloney - Cross

Yeah, I think I testified to that.

discussions with Mr. Isikoff?

- Right. You also know, and you testified before, that at Ο. around this time you also knew that Mr. Fawcett was having 3
 - I think in a subsequent communication or conversation with Mr. Hartney, I did learn that, probably within days of this, if not that day, certainly that week, I think. I think I testified somewhere between July 22 and 29th.

MR. SHEN: OK. Is it a good time to stop, your Honor? THE COURT: I think so.

MR. SHEN: All right.

THE COURT: All right. Given the pace, I think we should start earlier tomorrow. And I confirmed with the court reporters that they can start as early as 9:00, so unless anyone has a reason why they can't be here at 9:00, I'd like to start at 9:00. We'll finish tomorrow. So if we need to start pacing folks, we will, but I'll ask the lawyers to please be sensitive to the time. So we'll start here directly at 9:00.

Sir, you will remain under oath. The direction not to discuss the nature of your testimony or the underlying issues remains. You're not to speak with the witnesses who testified or the witnesses who have not testified about the nature of your testimony or their testimony, and then you'll come back here at 9 a.m. tomorrow.

THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to speak to my counsel, or

LB1H9117 Maloney - Cross 1 no? 2 THE COURT: Yes, of course. 3 Anything further from anyone? 4 MS. KIRSCH: I just wanted to raise it appears 5 Ms. Benett has a commitment that would make her not able to be 6 here at 9:00. We can certainly start without her if that's 7 fine. I just wanted to make sure that if it was 9:30, that your Honor didn't think she was just being late. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you mind if we begin at 9:00 and you 10 join us at 9:30, Ms. Benett? 11 MS. BENETT: No, that's fine. I just have to drop my 12 son at school. I will be here right after that. 13 THE COURT: Sorry for the inconvenience. 14 MR. KELLOGG: Your Honor, just for my understanding of the rules, counsel cannot talk to the remaining witnesses that 15 have not appeared yet about what happened in court today or the 16 17 exhibits on cross. 18 THE COURT: Correct. You can speak to your counsel, but you can't reveal the nature of the testimony from today. 19 20 All right. You're excused. We'll see you tomorrow 21 morning at 9:00. 22 Thank you, everybody. (Adjourned to November 2, 2021, at 9:00 a.m.) 23 24

25

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JAMES PAUL KREINDLER
4	Cross By Mr. Hansen
5	Redirect By Ms. Kirsch
6	JOHN HARTNEY
7	Cross By Mr. Shen
8	Redirect By Ms. Kirsch
9	Recross By Mr. Shen
10	ANDREW J. MALONEY III
11	Cross By Mr. Shen
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	